know, then we need to know about that, too, because we're looking at the possibility of -- of consolidating classrooms and laying teachers off.

And so, you know, we're -- we're -- and I'm sure every conservative in the audience understands this.

We're just at a juncture in our -- in our -- in our budget and in our history of the state to where we just don't have a lot of money. And I -- I don't think that any taxes are going to be raised. And so every dollar that we're going to spend, we need to make sure that we have a -- a full and better understanding of -- of -- of the process when these fiscal notes come out.

Missouri spent, I think, close to \$10 million or more. They have one major television market. They have the St. Louis television market. It's a much smaller state. I'm -- I'm just really concerned that we're going to spend a lot more money than -- than what was presented here. And we've already had issues about not forecasting properly, which is one of the reasons why we're in the mess that we're in right now. So -- and -- and that's the only thing I have for you. I was very concerned and wanted you to just come and address that. And I appreciate you coming to address that today.



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

```
1
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Mr. Veasey,
 2
         Georgia -- the -- George, from the Secretary of
 3
         State, he said that they spent $842,000 on, in
 4
         essence, their media campaign --
 5
                        REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Uh-huh.
 6
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: -- and $773,000 on
 7
         education, training and producing of I.D.s. So
 8
         Georgia's not quite as large a state as Texas, but
 9
         their media campaign there was, I think, less --
10
                        REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY:
                                                 They have one
11
         major market.
                        I think Atlanta --
12
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Atlanta, correct.
13
                        REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Houston may
14
         be No. 10.
                     I think Atlanta may be No. 11.
15
         have several markets. We have at least two large
16
         media markets, Houston and Dallas. And then we take
17
         in Austin, San Antonio, Waco, Bryan College
18
         Station --
19
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: They had smaller
20
         markets, too.
21
                        REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: -- I mean, it
22
         cost a lot of money to advertise in this state.
23
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Representative
24
         Aliseda.
25
                        REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: My own
```



1 observation is what's the value of an Electoral 2 system that the public has confidence in. 3 certainly worth more than \$2 million to me for that. 4 REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: And -- and 5 that's -- and I understand the point that the --6 Representative Aliseda is making. But with the 7 money that we don't have to work with this session, 8 because everything is so tight - and we're talking 9 about, you know, nursing homes possibly shutting 10 down, schools possibly being closed, classrooms 11 being consolidated - we need to have a better 12 understanding of how much money this is actually 13 going to cost than -- I mean, it's clear, you know, 14 just from everything that I've seen thus far that we 15 don't, because there's no way that it's only going 16 to cost \$2 million. That's just absolutely -- it's 17 not even realistic. 18 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Thank you. 19 REPRESENTATIVE GUTIERREZ: 20 Mr. Chair --21 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Representative 22 Gutierrez. 23 REPRESENTATIVE GUTIERREZ: -- just a 24 few questions. Now, according to Secretary of 25 State, there's about 2.8 million registered voters



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

```
1
         that have voted without an I.D. Is that accurate?
 2
                        JOHN O'BRIEN: I have no idea. I
 3
         don't work for the Secretary of State.
 4
                        REPRESENTATIVE GUTIERREZ: Where --
 5
         the Secretary of State will be up here later.
 6
                        UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: You're
 7
         with the LBB.
                        Correct?
 8
                        JOHN O'BRIEN: Yes, sir.
 9
                        REPRESENTATIVE GUTIERREZ: And -- and
10
         so I guess what I'm getting at or what -- and I
11
         apologize, I was in the back room talking to my good
12
         friend, Representative Pena here, about some of the
13
         woes in our communities. And I didn't hear -- where
14
         did two million dollars come from? Is this a number
15
         that came out, or is it have some kind of --
                                       They provided some
16
                        JOHN O'BRIEN:
17
         level of detail on it. I'll go over it again. It's
18
         on Page 2 of the fiscal --
19
                        REPRESENTATIVE GUTIERREZ: You can
20
         give me the short version.
21
                        JOHN O'BRIEN: Okay. About $500,000
22
         to do research, and then the balance of the
23
         1.5 million was on the media campaign through
24
         different -- different television and so on.
25
         Ms. Harless said before, $24,000 on technological
```



```
1
         improvements in order to implement this provision
 2
         for the disabled.
 3
                        REPRESENTATIVE GUTIERREZ: Okay.
 4
         you didn't get into the free I.D.s --
 5
                        UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: No.
 6
                        REPRESENTATIVE GUTIERREZ: -- the
 7
         free --
                        JOHN O'BRIEN: That's -- what DPS
 8
 9
         said in response to that estimate was that they knew
10
         there would a revenue loss because of those free
11
         I.D.s, but they don't know how many people will come
12
         forward. And -- and so they did not estimate it.
13
                        REPRESENTATIVE GUTIERREZ: Okay.
14
         Well, in the interest of time, I'll save my
15
         questions for DPS, because I think that those
16
         numbers are really closer to between eight and
17
         $10 million. I think that's important to know.
18
         They -- they never suggest -- did they throw a
19
         number out to LBB, DPS?
20
                        JOHN O'BRIEN: DPS, no, sir.
21
                        REPRESENTATIVE GUTIERREZ: So you
22
         can -- it's not fair for me to ask you about DPS.
23
         We'll wait until they get up here. Thank you.
24
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Any other
25
         questions, Members? Thank you, Mr. O'Brien.
```



1	JOHN O'BRIEN: Thank you.
2	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Appreciate your
3	coming by.
4	JOHN O'BRIEN: Sure.
5	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Chair calls Russ
6	Duerstine, Tom Green GOP Chairman and Election
7	Support Association of Tom Green County for
8	Committee Substitute Senate Bill 14.
9	RUSS DUERSTINE: Thank you,
LO	Representative Bonnen. I appreciate that. Thank
L1	you, Committee. Thank you, Commissioner Harless,
L2	for supporting this bill.
L3	I present to you a rather unique scenario.
L 4	In Tom Green County we have
L 5	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Sir
L 6	RUSS DUERSTINE: we have an
L 7	election
L 8	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: sir, would you
L 9	please state your name.
20	RUSS DUERSTINE: Russ Duerstine. I'm
21	the County Chair Republican County Chairman in
22	Tom Green County, San Angelo, Texas.
23	I I present a rather unique scenario to
24	you. We have a an election support
25	administration or an association in Tom Green County



that's comprised of the Democrat Chairman, the Republican Chairman, the Libertarian chairman. And we work in close association with the elections administrator there. And we provide training information for election judges. We help support them. We have an annual celebration where we acknowledge their work as election judges. And we've had a -- a scenario that, as County Chairman, I'm going to define myself as quite a predicament here in two years.

We're -- as we were putting on one of these support in association trainings, we were doing voter registrar training and deputy voter registrar training. We had a spillover from a 2008 election where in the Democrat primary we had an African American candidate against a Mexican American candidate, and there was allegations from the African American candidate that there was voter impersonation going on, that he had a reputation of having in the neighborhood of 300 voter registration cards where he had them from folks that were dead or whatever, had multiple ones, and was having people go and vote in her behalf.

Well, it's impossible to prove that without voter reg -- voter I.D. And so there was a



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

lot of call from the -- that candidate, who did eventually lose to the Hispanic candidate, to ask the election administrator, "Please make them show voter photo I.D."

And it was part of the education process when we were doing deputy voter registration to explain to them that the law does not allow that.

And so in 2010, while we were having this, that dispute broke out all over again. Now, that Democrat candidate went on to barely lose to the Republican candidate in the only precinct in our county that is a majority Democrat precinct in Tom Green County.

The situation I find myself in is that most of the grassroots Democrats that came to that meeting were upset -- were blaming us as Republicans for not having voter photo I.D. and they couldn't understand why they didn't have that and assumed that we were at fault. What's happened in the meantime is the Democrat candidate, Hispanic candidate that barely lost to the Republican, has now changed parties. He's going to run as a Republican in 2012 and very well may face this same Democrat African American candidate. So I may have the Democrat party in Tom Green County accusing the



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1 Republican party of having a candidate who's using 2 voter I.D. cards without photo I.D and having voter 3 impersonation to win the seat as a Republican. I 4 may be the first County Chairman in Texas to face 5 Democrats accusing us of using voter impersonation 6 to win one of our races. 7 So my point is is that among grassroots 8 rank and file Democrat voters in Tom Green County, 9 the sentiment for voter photo I.D is very high. 10 only person who opposes it is the -- is the 11 Democratic Chairman. Thank you. 12 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Thank you. 13 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Thank you. 14 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Any questions, 15 Members? Thank you. 16 RUSS DUERSTINE: Thank you very much. 17 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Yes. (Inaudible). 18 Okay. We're going to see if we can have 19 the Skype work. Make it ten minutes. Have you made 20 contact with --21 Justin Levitt, professor, Loyola Law 22 School in Los Angeles. Okay. Can he see us? 23 UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: He can. 24 REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Can he see 25 all of us?



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

```
1
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Can you see
 2
         everybody? We see you quite nicely.
 3
                        REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Do we need to
 4
         turn on our microphones?
 5
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Only if you're
 6
         talking. Yeah, if you're talking.
 7
                        UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
                                                (Inaudible).
 8
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Can you begin?
 9
                        UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
                                                (Inaudible).
10
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Must be delayed.
11
                        UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
                                                (Inaudible).
12
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: I don't know if
13
         we'll still be there in two hours.
14
                        UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
                                                (Inaudible).
15
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: That would be
16
         wonderful. And if we are here in two hours, we will
17
         try and reach you again.
18
                        UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
                                                Thank you very
19
         much, Mr. Chair. (Inaudible).
20
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Apologize for the
21
         timing.
                  Thank you.
22
                   Okay. So let's hold that.
23
                        UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE:
24
         (Inaudible).
25
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: I know.
```



1 (Inaudible). Hello? Yes. Toby Moore. How are 2 you? Are you still available to give your 3 testimony? 4 TOBY MOORE: At your convenience. 5 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: We appreciate that. 6 We know we're pushing it on the time limit. 7 apologize. 8 This is Toby Moore. He is a private 9 individual, who is a researcher, who is testifying 10 neutral on the Committee Substitute Senate Bill 14. 11 Mr. Moore, are you able to see me and the 12 Committee? 13 TOBY MOORE: Yes, I am. 14 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Very good. 15 have ten minutes. And -- and if you would, please, 16 state your name and -- for the record and who you're 17 with and begin your testimony. And we appreciate 18 your time. 19 TOBY MOORE: Thank you. 20 My name is Toby Moore. I'm a researcher 21 here in Washington. I work for the Department of 22 Justice as a senior researcher from 2000 to 2006 23 where I worked on photo I.D in similar cases. 24 leaving the Department in 2006, I've held a series 25 of jobs at American University with Carter Baker



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

(inaudible) and a lot of profit and nonprofit research organizations.

I'm conducting supervising research on elections and voting. I'm currently advising the Department of Defense on research on (inaudible) voting. And as you said, I'm speaking as a private citizen. I'm neutral on the bill.

I think that my most valuable contribution to make today is to talk a little bit about the Section 5 process that the bill -- that any voter I.D. bill from a covered jurisdiction such as Texas will go through, what parts of the bill I think will come under special scrutiny. And while I will not address it in my testimony, I'll be glad to talk about in (inaudible) Baker proposal from 2005 and the (inaudible) research into voters I.D. generally.

As I said, I'll be brief and -- and leave time to answer any questions you might have.

As you know, any voter I.D. bill passed by the Texas Legislature will need to pass preclearance under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act previous for that. And so the bill, as you know, will go to the Department of Justice Civil Rights Division or the District Court in D.C.

Early in (inaudible) anticipate a fair but



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

very thorough hearing. The DOJ may be a more predictable avenue. It may be somewhat faster and less burdensome for the State. But either -- in either case, you will receive a very thorough investigation of potential impacts of bill and up there (inaudible).

Remainder of the Section 5 analysis of the voter I.D. bill would focus on -- in the comparison of the new law to what's called the benchmark, the current law. I say this to remind the Committee that the -- the voter I.D. law as -- if passed would not be compared to other voter I.D. laws in the country, not to the Georgia law or Indiana law, but (inaudible) law, but simply as a before and after comparison with Texas' own law. Obviously, the Supreme Court decision upholding the Indiana voter I.D. law will make it somewhat easier to win preclearance, but the basic analysis will be before and after the Texas -- the new Texas voter I.D. law versus benchmark.

And this is another reminder. The burden will be on the State and not in Washington, whether you go to District Court or to Department of Justice to meet the -- to meet the burden. The State will have to show that the law does not have the intent



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

nor the effect of abridging minority voters' rights and equal right to participate in the process and choose candidates of their own preference.

The cost of burden is on the State. The State will need to be specific in its justification information that it provides to Washington. In particular, it would need to be very specific about what Texas knows or understands or thinks about the impact of the law, what it knows or thinks about who does -- who does and does not have the I.D. required and whether that rate of ownership varies across racial and ethnic groups.

In the absence of any information from Texas, the Department of Justice, I would expect, or the District Court would look to other states, other studies and experience in trying to determine what this impact would be.

The State should be very specific about remedial measures, remedial measures being efforts to offset any disparate impact of the law. And these measures, as I'll talk about in a minute, need to be in law and in place and -- and (inaudible) implemented and not promised or planned. And I think the State is going to have to show, particularly with the budget crutches across the



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

country, that it is making the financial commitment to follow through on any voter education, free I.D. plans, that sort of thing.

So some elements -- particular elements of the bill I think will receive particular attention, and I think it's worth reminding. (Inaudible) this voter I.D. bill over the last five or six years. These are complicated pieces of legislation, and it's very difficult to compare voter I.D.s between -- voter I.D. bills between states. make -- we should avoid making blanket statements about whether voter I.D. is permissible and will be upheld under Section 5 of the Supreme Court. Particular pieces of legislation have been precleared or been upheld by the Supreme Court, but these are complicated. They have different Supreme Court of the United States even (inaudible) if they have the same basic goal of -- of requiring instead photo I.D.s.

Some similarities that I think are going to receive particular scrutiny from either the Supreme Court or the Department of Justice under Section 5 of the bill of voting rights.

Act analysis, one of the provisions for making I.D. free. I think the Court and Department



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

of Justice have been wary of poll tax implications, which that is -- even -- an implied burden on the individual for the right to vote. Are there guarantees in the bill that would provide -- to -- that make sure that people can get an I.D. for free for voting without having to declare indigency is -- I think is going to be the first -- is going to be a hurdle to meet.

Second, one of the specific public outreach and education programs the State is committing to. And I think you need both and -- you need both education programs, public awareness programs that inform voters of these changes, but you also need outreach programs that target vulnerable populations. I think we know enough now that we know that the poor or the elderly, members of certain racial and ethnic groups, are less likely to have I.D. than other groups. And I -- I think it goes a long way toward allaying fears of retrogression if the State is committing and has specific outreach programs to try to target those communities for I.D.

Third, what are the fail-safe provisions? What do you do if you don't have your I.D. on election day to cast a provisional ballot and come



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

out and prove it out by providing -- providing photo I.D? And these can be complicated, you know. States have traditionally provided for affidavit fail-safes, which I understand is not part of your lobbying occurring in Texas. But there are, as you know, ways for people who don't have their photo I.D. to cast a ballot and come back and make sure that their ballot is counted.

Fourth, what evidence does the State have the impact, both total impact and disparate impact, how many people don't have I.D.? Any information that the State can provide would go a long way toward meeting its burden, whether these are estimates, studies, surveys based on motor vehicle and voter registration data. You know, I would encourage the State to provide the Department of Justice or the District Court with as much information as you can, because otherwise you're going to risk being judged on the findings from other states.

Conversely, what evidence of voter impersonation does this state have? That will be important in justifying the law.

And, finally, particularly in light of the Supreme Court ruling in Georgia versus Ashcroft



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

(phonetic), the opinion of minority communities will take a special -- will add a special voice in Section 5 analysis so that the Department or the Courts will pay close attention to what minority groups or (inaudible) -- voting rights groups think of the legislation (inaudible) to which they were consulted in the process.

That's what I have for you today. I'll be glad to answer any questions that the Committee might have.

CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Thank you,
Mr. Moore. We appreciate it. Are there questions,
Members? Mr. Veasey? Vice Chairman Veasey has had
some questions, Mr. Moore.

REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Mr. Moore, I wanted you to talk a little bit more about the difference between Indiana and Texas being a Section 5 state and how that may play into the decision. I know that you said that the Texas law is more likely to be upheld. But since the -- I mean, since, the Supreme Court has already ruled that the Indiana law is okay. And so what I was wondering -- I don't believe that Indiana is a Section 5 state. It may be, but I don't believe it is. And so how will that play into what the DOJ's



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1 office looks into and how they reach a final 2 determination? 3 TOBY MOORE: Thank you for the 4 question. 5 You're absolutely right. On a technical 6 level, Indiana -- the Supreme Court ruling on the 7 Indiana law will not impact the analysis of the Texas bill, which will be compared to the current 8 9 Texas law. So that -- that analysis is very 10 different. 11 But the reality is that the Department of 12 Justice is going to be less likely to object to an 13 I.D. law after the Supreme Court has upheld its 14 constitutionality as it did in the Indiana case. 15 But the -- the root analysis, the basic analysis and 16 the fundamental one is going to be the impact within 17 Texas. And so it would not be unprecedented or 18 difficult at all for the Department of Justice to 19 object to a Texas law despite it passing 20 constitutional muster or being similar to a law that 21 is passed -- been upheld by the Supreme Court. The 22 analysis is totally different. 23 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Thank you. 24 Anything? 25 REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: No.



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Mr. Moore, 2 Representative Aliseda has a question. 3 REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: Can Texas 4 avoid a DOJ review by going directly to a Court, to 5 have that determined by a Court? 6 TOBY MOORE: Well, Texas certainly 7 has that statutory avenue available to it. 8 going to be much less -- yes, it does. I'm sorry. 9 REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: That's fine. 10 Okay. 11 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Now, Mr. Veasey --12 let me inter -- follow up on that. 13 You said it certainly does. But I guess 14 the question is would it be wise? 15 TOBY MOORE: I think that my advice 16 would be go through the Department of Justice. It's 17 going to be quicker, it's going to be less 18 expensive, and you're going to get a fair hearing 19 from the Department of Justice. 20 If you go to the District Court, you open 21 yourself up to a court hearing. You don't know what 22 the three judge panel will look like. You may get 23 judges who are very skeptical of voter I.D. 24 going to take longer, and you don't know what the 25 process is going -- how the process is going to run.



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

Texas has routinely sent changes in its election and voting laws to the Department of Justice. There's a relationship between those avenues of communication. You know what the end analysis looks like. The information can flow much faster.

So, I mean, my -- obviously, this is something that Texas will have to consider carefully to make its own decision, but I don't think that you would lose anything by going to the Department of Justice, and I think it would be faster and less expensive.

CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Thank you very much. Representative Veasey now has a question.

REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Following up on that real quickly, if the State decided to go to court -- you talked about the process being quicker. How long would it take, though? I mean, if they went to court would they possibly not have it in place in time for the next election? Like how -- how long does the process take, the legal process?

TOBY MOORE: It can really be drawn out. The Court process now -- many times redistricting processes are taken to the District Court and put on what they call the Rocket Docket



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1 and go through fast. But I can't imagine the 2 District Court would see any need to hurry in its 3 analysis of this voter I.D. law. It's not like a 4 district is redistricting where you have 5 malapportionment that needs to be remedied. 6 think it would take much longer than the analysis 7 going through the DOJ, many months more. 8 REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Especially 9 with all the --10 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: (Inaudible). 11 REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: -- yeah, 12 especially with the reapportionment plans. 13 good point with this being a redistricting year. 14 I wanted to ask you about the likelihood 15 of the bill being passed with certain element added 16 to it or -- or -- or -or to enhance it and make it 17 more fair. Like, for instance, we talked a little bit 18 19 earlier before you were on about same day voter 20 registration or about, you know, fail-safe 21 affidavits to where, you know, people's vote would 22 count and then there would be some burden upon the 23 county registrar to show that there was voter 24 impersonation that was taking place. Can you give 25 me your opinion on that?



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

TOBY MOORE: Well, I think as I've testified in Texas before, my own personal preference would be to implement fail-safe affidavits at least for an election cycle or two and then investigate what those affidavits look like and see if -- and see who doesn't -- who's signing them. I think that would be a good halfway measure before a really hard and fast I.D. law, because if you -if you ask for an I.D. and you implement the law as you've written it, but then you have the affidavit fail-safe, after the election you could go back and look at who signed the affidavit and get a sense of how many people don't have I.D., what their race and ethnicity is. And it would -- it would give you a stronger footing and better understanding of the impact of the bill. I understand that that is not -- is often seen as too much of a concession and weakens the bill too much.

Same day election day voter registration,
I think, can offset some of the fears that voter
I.D. would suppress turnout, would give people
another avenue. If you go back to the Georgia -2005 Georgia bill, it -- in -- the State of Georgia
made a rather big deal about this in 2005. That
bill loosens restrictions on absentee voting as a



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1 means of trying to -- to promote turnout or previous 2 avenues of turnout to offset any impact of voter 3 I.D. So I think all those things that -- should be 4 considered, although obviously voter I.D. or same 5 day or -- registration would be a -- it's a big 6 change. 7 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Members, any other 8 questions of Mr. Moore? 9 Mr. Moore, we really appreciate your 10 working with us and doing this through this new 11 technology of Skype, and we appreciate your time and 12 thank you very much on behalf of the Committee. 13 TOBY MOORE: Thank you very much and 14 good luck. 15 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Thank you, sir. 16 Now, obviously, it takes a moment to 17 transition from one Skype to another, but Tova Wang, 18 a senior fellow, will be testifying against. She's 19 our ex -- one of our experts. She will be provided 20 ten minutes when we get her online. Is that DEMOS, 21 D-E-M-O-S? Is that the group she's speaking on 22 behalf of? Should it be Ns? I'll ask her. 23 Mrs. Wang? 24 TOVA WANG: Yes, I'm here. How are 25 you?



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

```
1
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Oh, outstanding.
 2
         Thank you very much, Mrs. Wang. We appreciate your
 3
         taking the time to join us today.
 4
                   Just for the record, you are - and I need
 5
         you to state it again - but Tova Wang, testifying
 6
         against the Committee Substitute to Senate Bill 14.
 7
         And you're representing D-E-M-S-S [sic], is that --
         what is that?
 8
 9
                        TOVA WANG:
                                     That's DEMOS.
10
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: DEMOS.
                                                   That's
11
         Greek?
12
                        TOVA WANG:
                                     That's correct.
13
         people don't get that.
14
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Well --
15
                        TOVA WANG: Yes, for the people,
16
         democracy.
17
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Yes.
                                                 If you could,
18
         please, you have ten minutes. And then after your
19
         ten minutes, which we will not interrupt you, you'll
20
         have ten minutes uninterrupted to give your
21
         testimony, and then the Committee Members may ask
22
         you questions. And so -- can you see us? Uh-oh.
23
         She seems to have frozen, maybe not herself
24
         literally, but...
25
                   Yes.
```



```
1
                        UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: She just went
 2
         completely off.
 3
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: She needs to go get
 4
         with Mr. Moore. They're not far from each other.
 5
         That's -- the Skype went out. (Inaudible) do
 6
         anything special. Where's Hawk Burg (phonetic)?
 7
                        UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER:
                                               (Inaudible).
 8
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Well, so the --
 9
         Hawk Burg is out there. Let him know we're doing
10
         Skype testimony. No.
11
                        TOVA WANG:
                                    Hello?
12
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Yes. Can you hear
13
         us? We can't see you, unfortunately, and if --
14
                        TOVA WANG: Yeah, I can hear you.
15
                      But it looks like it's working. Now I
         can see you.
16
         can see you.
17
                        UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: We can't see
18
         you.
19
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: But we cannot see
20
         you, which is a problem. But give us one moment and
21
         see if we can fix that.
22
                        TOVA WANG:
                                    Sure.
23
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN:
                                           (Inaudible).
24
         in an hour and a half. Are you back?
25
                        UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE:
```



1	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: There's
2	(inaudible). I'm sorry.
3	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Can you turn
4	your camera off and then on?
5	TOVA WANG: I'm not sure I know how
6	to. This is my first time doing this. Where would
7	the button be?
8	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: On the bottom
9	of the screen there's a ribbon and there's "My
LO	Video." Do you see that?
L1	TOVA WANG: Yes.
L2	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: Okay. If you
L3	would click that.
L 4	TOVA WANG: I'm clicking now. Okay.
L 5	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Oh, very good.
L 6	TOVA WANG: Hello.
L 7	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: See, you do know
L 8	what you're doing, because believe me, I don't know.
L 9	We have the luxury of having some audio visual I
20	guess that isn't even the right word anymore.
21	But anyhow I don't know. Where did
22	Mr. Veasey disappear to? Okay.
23	But Mrs. Wang, as I was saying, if you
24	would state your name and who you're with for the
25	record, and then we'll give you ten minutes



uninterrupted. And then the Committee Members will ask you questions. We appreciate your time.

TOVA WANG: Thank you so much.

Thanks for allowing me to testify today. My name is Tova Wang, and I'm a Senior Democracy Fellow at DEMOS, a nonpartisan advocacy project and organization.

I have been working on issues related to voting rights and actually voter I.D. in particular for a decade now.

My comments will focus on the physical costs of implementing voter I.D. voting. These are important situations in Texas.

My understanding is that the State has a 25 billion-dollar budget gap. Many of you serve their constituents.

CHAIRMAN BONNEN: No problem.

TOVA WANG: And honestly, with this voter I.D. bill, the message to the citizens of the Texas is while the State does not have money to fully fund programs they will rely on, it does have money to implement a program that will cost millions of dollars to implement and addresses a problem that essentially does not exist, impersonation of another voter at the polls on election day. And it



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

threatens to disenfranchise legitimate Texan voters.

The fiscal note that has been produced with this bill is extraordinarily flawed. It measures one aspect of the cost of the constitutional voter identification law, that measure far off the mark. The cost to Texas to implement this measure will be far higher than the estimate in that document as is demonstrated in other states that have implemented these laws like Indiana and states that have (inaudible) pass these laws determine the probable cost.

Let's look at providing identification for people in Texas that don't have it as is required to make the law pass constitutional scrutiny. That is not even including the fiscal (inaudible) of Texas. The note simply says the cost is unknown.

While you may see (inaudible) Indiana has cost last year -- and mind you, that was an off year election and not a Presidential year. Indiana said it cost last year about \$3.5 million. Indiana has a population of about 6 million citizens. It has approximately 4.5 million registered voters. Texas has a population of about 22 million citizens and 13 million registered voters. If Texas' cost per person are similar to that of Indiana, Texas -- it



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

would cost Texas between ten and \$13 million to provide free I.D. in a mid-term election year, and this is at a minimum. The Texan citizenry is part of a more diverse -- ethnically and racially, and has a substantially higher poverty rate than Indiana, indicating that many more people (inaudible) photo (inaudible) in Texas versus Indiana. However, that figure does not even include (inaudible) a huge list of other major expenses the State will incur.

Let's talk first about voter education.

This is basically the only thing that's in the fiscal note that's out there now. And this is a serious underestimation given what we -- what will be required in order for this bill to be upheld in a court of law. Let me talk about Georgia for a second. Georgia passed this law in 2006. Passed a new law to (inaudible) flawed version of it. Among other things (inaudible) education and publicity campaign regarding the new requirement. Yet the Court, once again, blocked the law, emphasized inadequacy of (inaudible). The Court stated that if the State undertakes sufficient steps to inform voters of law's requirements the statute may go unchallenged.



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

Well, the Secretary of State has gone to great lengths. It did several mailings and multiple brochures over election sites lacking a driver's license. They did PSAs on the radio, public service announcements, cable television advertising and so on. And then the Court later upheld the law noting the significance of the State voter education effort. So states agree that it's going to cost a significant amount of money to view education necessary for this to be basically legal.

In 2010, Missouri estimated that in order to affect outreach before election that is (inaudible) to draw new voters, it would cost 6.9 million dollars over three years for T.V. announcements and other outreach to the State's 4 million registered voters. This says to me that in Texas voter population and outreach for (inaudible) 13 million registered voters might cost \$55 million over the next three years given Texas' much larger population. Given (inaudible) that these numbers seem like, let's say it's half that amount. Can the \$2 million estimated for voter education in the Texas bill be deemed credible? Т think we agree it will be more than that. also implementation costs, most of which are not



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

addressed in the fiscal notes. Again, what I'm talking about is based on my study of the range of fiscal notes in other states for training. This is a new -- new world that will have to be implemented and implemented fairly without determination.

Fairly complex thing to implement. Missouri estimated this would cost over \$700,000. Need to hire more poll staff. In 2009, Maryland estimated that just in Montgomery County additional election judges would cost about \$110,000 for a primary and general election. The fiscal note puts additional judges at \$576,800 per precinct. So you can imagine what that would be in Texas, given the number of precincts.

You also need to create new and supplemental -- supplement existing training material printing additional (inaudible) setting. You have staffing a help line, adding and training state and local election staff. The Wisconsin fiscal note approximates that full -- new full-time hires will be needed to assist localities in implementing the new law. (Inaudible) Registration database providing training on the changes. Again, Wisconsin, they estimate this will cost \$138,000. Updating the website.



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

And last but not least, the DMV is currently run on reduced hours at locations. Substantial State funding will be necessary to add additional staff and resources to Department of Motor Vehicles and Transportation. I have seen in news reports that there are some places in Texas where it takes more than two hours to get to a DMV. This is a major issue that arose in the Supreme Court opinions regarding the constitutionality of the Indiana voter I.D. law.

The bill would also add substantially (inaudible) hard-working election officials already (inaudible) who are already stretched thin and by an -- a variety of continuing and complicated rules. One example is -- as I alluded to earlier, was additional poll worker training will be required if you want to make sure it's implemented in a non-discriminatory action and in accordance with the Voting Rights Act. We have seen that discriminatory implementation of voter I.D. laws is a major problem, especially with respect to Latino voters. And -- and, moreover, you're really basically having to train poll workers to perform a law enforcement function by checking the validity of people, like identifying documents. And you'll also have the



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

additional cost of printing additional ballots.

So I feel that -- and yet, I have to also point out just for a minute - and I know you've heard this - but even if a voter I.D. will cost the State nothing and require nothing of State elections officials or local election officials to implement and be a wrong policy -- I know that you're familiar with some of these numbers, so I won't go through them in detail. But we know that a large number of people don't have the identification that you would require in this bill, maybe as many or more as a million people in Texas. And this -- this proportionately affects young people, African Americans, Latinos, new American citizens and low income Americans. And I can provide you details on that.

And, moreover, numerous studies, national and state-specific, have found that the rare examples of some voter fraud are almost never the kind that could be prevented by a voter identification law.

Voter I.D. law is (inaudible). Just one exceedingly rare form of voter fraud, impersonation of another registered voter at the polls. Many state legislators and elections officials are



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

promoting I.D. bills, but they admit that there has never been a case in some states of -- in most states of voter impersonation at the polls, yet they continue to pursue this policy.

For example, the very small number of irregularities that do occur at the polls, it seems a lot of them involve people with felony convictions who vote, not realizing that they are ineligible to do so. Obviously, this would not be addressed by an I.D. requirement, because your driver's license doesn't indicate if you committed a felony in the past.

Voter I.D. would also do nothing to do -have -- do nothing to address problems with voter
registration fraud or (inaudible) ballot fraud. So
it's very important not (inaudible) complete
different types of fraud that we may or may not have
problems with in the system and automatically jump
to the conclusion that voter I.D. is our best
answer.

And I would conclude by saying, you know, here's the bottom line: In this economy, does the Texas State Legislature really want to pass a bill that will mean spending millions of dollars chasing a phantom problem and that might be



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1	unconstitutional?
2	Thank you very much. And I would be happy
3	to take any questions.
4	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Thank you,
5	Mrs. Wang. I'm sorry. Thank you, Mrs. Wang. Can
6	you still see us?
7	TOVA WANG: I can.
8	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Very good. And we
9	can still see you. Members, are there questions?
10	Yeah, Representative Representative Aliseda will
11	begin with questions and then Representative Veasey.
12	REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: What state
13	do you live in?
14	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: (Inaudible).
15	REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: What state
16	do you live in, ma'am?
17	TOVA WANG: Oh, I'm sorry. I live in
18	Washington, D.C., which is not a state.
19	REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: All right.
20	Okay. Well, you did not have the benefit of some of
21	the testimony we've had earlier, but we had
22	testimony from citizens saying that they believe the
23	electoral system in Texas is broken, and that they
24	think that this particular provision will help them
25	put more faith in the system.



Your testimony has been about the cost in monetary figures. But can you really put a price in what it's going to take the citizens of our state to accept that we are doing the best we can to try to preserve the integrity -- integrity of the ballot?

TOVA WANG: I think it's so important that voters have confidence in the election system, obviously. I mean, the main goal I think for all of us is that the maximum number of people participate in our elections. I think what we really need to do is educate people very, very honestly about what the problems are in the system and what they are not. And I don't think that we can generally pursue public policy based on people's feelings about things that aren't rooted in factual basis. T think we need to deal with the real problems in our election system. And I think that's the root to greater voter confidence in the system, not sort of creating different kind of so-called solutions that don't really solve anything.

REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: Well, we've had testimony from individuals saying that they believe illegal aliens are voting in our elections. And Texas does have -- does have an illegal alien population. This might be a solution to that



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

problem if that is the case.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

TOVA WANG: Well, again, I'd like to see the evidence for that. I mean, the research that I have seen has demonstrated and -- and if you look at prosecutions and activities by the Department of Justice and by local prosecutors, that is an extremely limited problem. There are -- and, again, it's important to distinguish what the issues are.

I understand that there have been occasional times when undocumented persons have been on the voter registration list. Let's keep that separate from somebody who's not a documented voter, which is extremely rare. I mean, let's -- let's think about this very logically. I think that it's been made abundantly clear to people who are in this country without papers that they need to be extremely careful or they're going to be deported -thrown in jail and deported. And you have a situation where undocumenteds are afraid to report crimes that are committed against them, you know, acts of violence, I think it sort of stretches credulity that -- to think they're going to go to a polling place and commit a felony that will get them thrown in jail and deported. So I would want to see



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

the evidence for people's beliefs that that is a major problem.

REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: I don't know how people got that belief, but it's out there. And I think --

TOVA WANG: Well, I think, then, the answer is we need more education of the voters as to what is really going on in the election system and do something that will actually sort of backfire and end up leaving people out of the process and excluding people from the process, which may only weaken voter confidence in the end.

CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Any questions? Vice Chairman Veasey has some questions.

REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Yeah. And on what Representative Aliseda was saying, I'm not -it -- it -- it really is interesting that people
sort of have these beliefs that have been around for
a long time about people casting fraudulent and
illegal votes. It's not a new phenomenon in the
south or in this state or in other parts of the
country. It just seems to have persisted long in
Texas and in parts of the deep south. And I think
that we probably do need to look at that further,
because, you know I thought that was interesting



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

what you said, a lot of the things that are being discussed here today just simply are not rooted in the truth. They are things that people have heard. They are — they are rumors. They are things that people have heard on the Internet. And I just don't — you know — you know, just like the President's birthplace, I don't know where these sort of things start or where they begin and — and what gets them going, but they seem to catch on. And — and people want to change laws based on them. And I just think that that is — is very dangerous. And I wanted to ask you just about the legal costs for the bill.

I don't know if you're aware, but we have a huge deficit in this state, and so we're being very cautious about every dime, every penny that we spend, because we're talking about actually having to make kindergarten classes larger, consolidate classrooms, cut teachers' salaries. Med -- nursing home providers are saying they're going to have to shut down some of their facilities if the budget stands the way it is. We have some of our community colleges, which -- which consider -- I think do a great job. And I take a lot of classes at community colleges. We're talking about closing down some of



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

them.

What -- what sort of unexpected legal costs in a large state like ours could -- could we expect? Obviously, there's going to be a lot that goes into investigating and -- and talking with people around the State, witnesses and what have you. What can we expect as far as legal costs are concerned?

TOVA WANG: Well, first of all, expect (inaudible) Voting Rights Act really (inaudible). Imagine -- so that would be the first step. And I'm not too sure that it will get (inaudible) clearance. So there's that process for -- at the outset.

I -- I am also willing to bet -- bet that the State will be sued by any number of groups and individuals -- individuals, challenging this bill should it be passed. And the cost of defending the bill in a track of litigation process will also be substantial. And let -- let me just take the opportunity to mention that I think there's a real possibility that the Texas law could be struck down. It's actually more restrictive than the Indiana bill that was upheld by the Supreme Court temporarily, at least. Indiana allows voters to present any kind of



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

government-issued photo I.D. including from a public university or employee card with the photograph.

The Texas laws is (inaudible), if I understand it - and correct me if I'm wrong - an I.D. from the Department of Public Safety, a military I.D., citizenship documents, (inaudible), gun license or passport.

This is a much narrower set of documents, which means more people are excluded from this bill. For example, only 30 percent of Americans have passports, so that's not currently helpful. It doesn't even include tribal identification, which I think clearly is a flaw that would be of interest to a Court. John Tanner, the former Chief of the voting section in Department of Justice under President Bush, even recently noted in an article I saw that distances to DMVs in Texas make the Texas law more vulnerable to constitutional attack, because there is such a scarcity of them and there are such limited hours.

So I think there are a lot of things that distinguish this bill from Indiana that make it very vulnerable to a challenge that will then cost the State money to defend.

REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: And -- and



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

one -- I know one of the things that I'm concerned about is that the closest DMV to my house or in my district anyway -- and my district is largely African American or Hispanic, at least 70 percent so, and there's no bus to the nearest one. district is in Fort Worth, Texas, and North Richland Hills would be the closest voters -- or driver's license place. And there's no, you know, bus or any way for some folks to get out there. Now, they could go across town in Fort Worth and over in Wedgewood to go and get one. But the one closest to my district -- and I know it's the same thing in south of Dallas, too, which is a largely African American and Hispanic part of Dallas. I don't know that any of the places where you go to renew your driver's license or get these cards are actually in the community, that people have to go to north Dallas, which is the more affluent section of Dallas where -- where -- where most people have cars, dependable transportation.

I wanted to ask you, also, and just in your research in some of this, why would you think -- why wouldn't Texas -- or -- or why wouldn't other states -- I don't want to just say our state, because I'm sure there are other states that are



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

studying this, as well. But why would certain states in our union want to -- why wouldn't they just pass the Indiana law or the Georgia law when they know those have been upheld? Do you have any opinions on that? Because I was kind of concerned about the fact that -- you know, that, I guess, the Supreme Court and the Justice Department has already cleared the ones that are on the books right now, but we seem to be sort of moving in another direction.

TOVA WANG: Yeah. I mean, as I said, what you've got in the bill, as I understand, is more restrictive than Indiana and certainly more restrictive than Georgia, which was -- I don't believe Indiana needed clearance, but Georgia's did. And that was extremely controversial, as you know. And perhaps Toby Moore talked about this. I'm not sure the staff at the Department of Justice argued for not preclearing the Georgia bill. They ended up doing so, but it's far more restrictive than Georgia's bill. So I don't know what's going on.

And, actually, Texas is not the only state where the legislators are considering bills that are more restrictive than those two states. Those population of these states are being targeted to



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

have -- you know, one of the things that's been really striking to me is that, you know, in 2008 we had this voter experience. And no matter what your partisanship is, I think everyone was heartened by the turnout among students, African Americans and Latinos in scores and, overall, the enthusiasm. And it seems like just in the moment those people are coming out those voices are being silenced by laws like these, and I think that's really troubling.

REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Would you be able to comment on what sort of unfunded -- unfunded mandate that local municipalities and counties may encounter in trying to implement this law, which is also another concern?

I know that a lot of the counties in the State of Texas and lot of local governments have asked that we not -- and I believe there's a bill that one of the Chairmen here in the House has that have -- and some people have signed onto saying no unfunded mandates. Can you talk about some of the -- the burdens of cost that we placed on local governments?

TOVA WANG: Yeah. Well, I mean, every state's different on how they share the cost of elections. But -- so I'm not sure I can



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1 specifically talk about Texas. But I would really 2 recommend, and I can send to the Committee, 3 testimony that was submitted by the county clerks in 4 Wisconsin as a group, talking about the hardship 5 that this would put on county clerks all over the 6 State and how they would have to end up choosing 7 between providing emergency service and implementing this law. So clearly the people at the local level 8 9 are extremely concerned about this. And I think 10 that this is at a time when elections officials, 11 especially over the last ten years when we have been 12 changing the rules on these people left and right, 13 are already pretty -- pretty overworked and pretty 14 stretched thin. And so I -- I would definitely 15 recommend looking at that testimony from Wisconsin 16 to get a sense of type of burden that would be 17 expected and also talking to local people and -- and 18 seeing how they feel about it. 19 REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Okay. Thank 20 you. 21 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Any other 22 questions, Members? Mrs. Wang, thank you very much 23 for your working with us --24 TOVA WANG: Thank you. 25 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: -- today, and have



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1	a good evening.
2	TOVA WANG: You, too.
3	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Thank you.
4	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Not a
5	question, but an objection.
6	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Sure.
7	UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: I have talked
8	to the elected officials in my county and they
9	welcome voter I.D., regardless of the cost.
10	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Excellent. Thank
11	you.
12	Chair calls Sonia Santana, ACLU of Texas,
13	here to testify against the Committee Substitute to
14	Senate Bill 14.
15	SONIA SANTANA: Good afternoon,
16	Chairman Bonnen and Members. I thank you for the
17	opportunity to speak this afternoon.
18	My name is Sonia Santana. I'm here to
19	speak on behalf of ACLU Texas and to register our
20	position against Committee Substitute Senate Bill
21	14.
22	Our Executive Director, Terry Burk
23	(phonetic), was unable to be here, so I have
24	submitted written testimony, as well.
25	There are two concerns that I would like



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

to address specifically on this bill. The first is the cost of voter education and voter outreach, and second is on provisional ballot concerns. With respect to the estimated voter outreach and voter education program we are also very concerned that the estimated \$2 million is insufficient for an adequate program to educate voters and poll workers on what is a substantial change in election procedure.

And I think this was mentioned by Tova Wang, but we do know that in Missouri their fiscal cost on their voter outreach program is 16.9 million over three years.

In North Carolina, a most recent study that they came out is estimating now that their cost is going to be 20 million for three years. So we are very concerned that the amount we have in our bill is not adequate for the job.

On the provisional ballot area we believe that Texas already has a substantial problem in Texas. Nationally, the average rates for counting provisional ballots is about 75 percent with 55 percent rejection rates. In Texas, our rejection rates are opposite. We reject 75 percent of our ballots and only accept 25. This statistic seems to



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

indicate that voters and poll workers are already confused about, you know, provisional ballots in general and how they're cast and how they're actually processed. So in the 2008 election, which was our last Presidential election, we had 42,000 cast provisional ballots. And of those, only 32,000 votes -- 32,000 were rejected. So those are 32,000 voters that are -- already are confused about how to cast a proper ballot and those votes were rejected. So we think Texas already has a problem with provisional ballots, and this is going to add confusion to the issue and we're going to cause more voters to go into this limbo of provisional ballots, where they only have one in four chance of having their provisional ballot count.

We would like to add that if this bill passes, like (inaudible) suggested, the Legislature actually fund a study data to collect the data on rejection rates, the reason for rejection rates.

And, specifically, which communities are impacted by these provisional ballots. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Thank you. Any questions, Members? Thank you.

Mary Ann Collins representing herself and -- is here to testify for the Committee



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

Substitute to Senate Bill 14.

MARY ANN COLLINS: I'm Mary Ann Collins. I'm been election judge for almost 30 years. I -- I would like to address the issue of fact that there's no fraud in ballot -- in elections.

I have poll watched early voting. And while I was poll watching early voting, I saw a lady come in with purple hair, and that absolutely got my attention. Later that afternoon I saw what I thought was the very same lady with the purple hair coming in to vote, and I thought, she's been here before. Then I thought, oh, no, she's passed the qualifying table, so I'm just misreading things. It turned out later I was talking -- it dawned on me that she indeed probably had been there before and was voting on another person's certificate at that time.

After our early voting was over, two or three days later, I was talking to one of the clerks on a completely unrelated issue and I said to her, "I think there was a lady there who voted twice." She said, "Oh, yes. I know exactly who you're talking about. I even questioned her and asked her if she had been here before, and she assured me that



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

she had not."

Now, without picture I.D. there's nothing to prevent a person going to early voting location — to early voting location with another person's certificate. So a person in reality in Dallas County in this last election could have gone to 32 different polling places in early voting with somebody else's certificate.

I would also just like to address the issue that was just brought up about the provisional ballot. I have also poll watched the ballot board in Dallas County, and the majority of provisional ballots that are rejected are rejected because people are not registered to vote. So that is my testimony.

CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Sorry we didn't have more excitement for you here.

MARY ANN COLLINS: Well, I always like to witness some.

CHAIRMAN BONNEN: For those of you who have followed this issue know that I built quite a relationship with Mrs. Collins last session dealing with voter I.D. and with -- I won't name names, but put one of our Members in a place that he is seldom put. And so we're very proud of --



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1 MARY ANN COLLINS: It was one of my 2 shining hours. 3 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: It was one of the 4 most shining. But thank you, Mrs. Collins. 5 Any questions, Members? 6 Thank you for being here and hopefully 7 we're going to get you out of here at a decent time. 8 MARY ANN COLLINS: Thank you. 9 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Rebecca Bradford, 10 Texas Federation of Republican Women, here to 11 testify for the Committee Substitute to Senate Bill 12 14. 13 REBECCA BRADFORD: First of all, 14 Chairman Bonnen, thank you for being here, and 15 Members of the Committee. I appreciate the work 16 that you are doing for me and -- and that you're 17 doing for my state. 18 My name is Rebecca Bradford. I live in 19 Corpus Christi in Nueces County. I'm here today 20 testifying on behalf of Texas Federation of 21 Republican Women. There are basic principles that 22 must be applied if our state is to remain strong and 23 free. And one of these basic principles is the 24 confidence of our citizens. They -- they do matter 25 when it comes to their government. The way



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

individual citizens are involved in their government is by voting for the leaders of that government.

In the 20 years that I've lived in south Texas I've heard stories about illegal voting, falsified ballots, election workers illegally assisting others, ballots showing up from seemingly nowhere to save the election for a particular candidate and more. The stories about voter fraud are so common that they are expected as a part of the election process. I know people who personally told me that they didn't bother to vote because they didn't trust that their vote mattered. They believe whomever is chosen to win will find a way to win. And historically, low voter turnout reflects that kind of an attitude.

If the State of Texas can demonstrate through a strong voter identification bill that it's possible to begin to strengthen honesty and fairness in our elections, it will go a long way in helping citizens trust our government and want to participate and help to keep Texas strong.

Interest across the State of Texas regarding fair and honest elections is very high.

As the President of the Texas Federation of Republican Women, I travel across the State visiting



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

clubs and visiting members. We have 162 clubs and 10,995 members in Texas. The one issue that gets noticeable and usually vocal response from an audience of TFRW audiences is fair and honest elections. Our members have worked locally as election judges, clerks and poll watchers, and voter registration is a large project in many of our clubs. TFRW members understand the value of honest elections and are hoping to encourage you to take this very important first step in strengthening our election process.

March 3rd is the 2011 Texas Federation of Republican Women's Legislation Day in Austin.

Registrations to join our legislative day were at 890 members as of yesterday, and our office is still getting requests for late registrations. The issue of fair and honest elections is the main reason for their coming to visit their government. We believe the only way to have fair and honest government is to start with fair and honest elections of leaders that run that government. The strong photo identification requirements for voters will not completely stop voter fraud, but it's a really good place to start, and it will make elections fair and honest. It will demonstrate to citizens their votes



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1	are important, and it will give citizens confidence
2	that the Texas government is working to keep Texas
3	strong.
4	Thank you very much for accepting my
5	testimony.
6	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Members, any
7	questions? Representative Harless had a question.
8	REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: I just want
9	to thank you for coming and staying all day.
10	Rebecca and I have been long-time friends. So thank
11	you.
12	REBECCA BRADFORD: Thank you for
13	being here, and you.
14	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Thank you very
15	much.
16	REBECCA BRADFORD: Thank you.
17	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Steve Finley.
18	Okay.
19	Carol Kitson, K-I-T-S-O-N, retired, here
20	to testify for Committee Substitute to Senate Bill
21	14.
22	CAROL KITSON: Carole Kitson. Good
23	afternoon. My name is Carol Kitson. I'm here to
24	testify for in favor of the Committee Substitute
25	for SB-14.



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

In November 2 -- 2nd, 2010 general election, I was an alternate judge at Harris County precinct. Others working that day were -- included presiding judge, two clerks, two volunteer poll watchers and a translator. Everyone was there for the entire period. In the late afternoon the election clerk, who was responsible for giving each voter their JBC ticket to allow them to use the machine, commented to the poll watcher -- to a poll watcher that he had just given a code to a man who had voted earlier that day. The poll watcher agreed with that and I, too, had noticed that particular voter, because he had a very distinguishing characteristic. He had a very distinct -distinctive facial scar and he had very limited use of one arm, so he was very easy to note. problem is I did not remember what name was on the voter card that he had used for his first vote. And because we had no way of correctly identifying him, as it is currently illegal to ask for a photo I.D., he voted a second time.

This man was recognizable, but it's impossible to know how many individuals voted more than once using different voter I.D. cards during that busy election day.



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

Requiring all voters to prevent -- present a voter I.D. would prevent individuals from voting more than once. And it's critically important, because even a few votes per precinct can fraudulently -- cast fraudulently can change the outcome of an election.

In Falls County with 9,332 registered voters, a 42 percent turnout, there was a difference of only 86 votes between the top two candidates for Governor.

In Val Verde County, with 27,801 voters, almost a 26 percent turnout, only 271 votes separated the top two candidates for Governor.

And in Bexar County, with a fourth highest number of registered voters in the state at 905,859 voters and a 34 percent turnout, the difference between the winner and loser of those votes was only 1,692 votes. That means with 622 precincts it took less than three -- three votes per precinct cast fraudulently could change the entire outcome of the Governor's race in that county. Each fraudulently cast vote diminishes all of the valid votes cast. Every legal voter in Texas deserves to know that his or her vote was counted correctly, and we need to know the declared winner is truly the winner and not



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

illegally elected because some voters used illegal means to get their candidate elected. We owe this to ourselves, to all future generations of Texans. Thank you.

Any questions?

CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Yes, ma'am. Any questions, Members?

CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Yes, sir,

REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Dennis --

Mr. Veasey.

REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: I would agree with you, we owe it to everybody to make sure we have fair elections, because, like you say, only a few votes can change an election. But the problem we're having is the dialogue. It needs to be a two-way street. I need to be listening to what you're saying, but what some of us on the panel are saying and what some of our witnesses are saying, too, is that we need to also understand that a few disenfranchised can also swing the election another way. And so everybody — any time you come up with some sort of a suggestion or solution to make it to where people won't be disenfranchised, whether you talk about fail-safe or whether you talk about, you know, after the fail-safe affidavit or you talk



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

about same day voter registration and, all of a sudden, oh, no, oh, no. And that's where we get into trouble, because then it seems like there's something nebulous going on when you don't make it a two-way street and just make it a one-way street.

And so I'll just make comments to what you're saying, because I hear you loud and clear, and I hear the rest of the people who have testified here in favor of the bill loud and clear. But what I'm saying, we've come a long way in this country, and we need to make sure that both sides are being fully appreciated and that concerns of all of our citizens are being met and not just, you know, one particular, you know, way of thought or one particular avenue. I -- and so that's all -- all I'll say to that. And I appreciate you coming to take your time here today.

CAROL KITSON: I appreciate your comments, but earlier I believe there was testimony that 609,000 voters are listed as not having a Social Security card or driver's license. You know, the federal law requires everyone to have a Social Security number. All citizens must have a Social Security number by age one. So I would submit that a large, large percentage, if not all, of those were



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

fraudulent voters. And it's very difficult to catch them, because we can't picture -- we can't take photographs, we can't record. That's why you don't see cases that are prosecuted. It's almost impossible.

REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: And -- and let me just say real quick, and I'm not trying to hold you up at all, but we don't know how -- why those people don't have Social Security. There could be a lot of --

CAROL KITSON: I understand.

REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: -- reasons why. And so that's what I think that I'm really trying to get past is just the sort of assumptions that people make about people whether, you know, they live in a certain neighborhood or whether they live -- or whether they don't have a Social Security card or whether they don't have a driver's license. And there's just too much of that.

Like, I don't know if you were here when I read the E-mail earlier that the Chairwoman of the Republican party sent out, where she was saying there's voter fraud going on in these specific precincts and we need to send people out here to watch these people.



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

```
1
                        CAROL KITSON: That is not
 2
         appropriate at all.
 3
                        REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Right.
 4
         we don't --
 5
                        CAROL KITSON: And I --
 6
                        REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: -- want to
 7
         start making generalizations why someone doesn't
 8
         have a Social Security card by age of one.
 9
         could be a variety of reasons.
10
                        CAROL KITSON: And I don't --
11
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: I don't think she
12
         suggested why. She's simply concerned that there's
13
         a large number of people who don't, and she has no
14
         way of confirm --
15
                        CAROL KITSON:
                                       Exactly.
                                                 I'm sorry.
16
                        REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY:
17
         misunderstood. I thought you said most of these
18
         people were committing voter fraud.
19
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: She said she
20
         doesn't know if they are or not.
21
                        CAROL KITSON: There's no way of
22
         telling. No way to find out.
23
                        REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY:
                                                 I apologize.
                        CAROL KITSON: So many came in with
24
25
         voter I.D. cards I was shocked at how far back they
```



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

```
1
         went, and none of them were signed. So there's no
 2
         way to compare the signature.
 3
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN:
                                           Right.
 4
                        CAROL KITSON: Thank you very much.
 5
                         CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Ma'am, I apologize.
 6
         Representative Harless has questions.
 7
                        REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: We're going
 8
         to have an expert later from the Secretary of State
 9
         talking about those numbers.
10
                        CAROL KITSON:
                                        Uh-huh.
11
                        REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS:
12
         (inaudible).
13
                         CAROL KITSON: Oh, I will.
14
                        REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: There's a
15
         reason there's 690,000 people, because it wasn't
16
         until 2006 that you had to list the last four of
17
         Social Security or the I.D. or driver's license.
                                                             So
18
         there are potentially people that registered prior
19
         to that - I'm one of those - that haven't moved.
20
         And so I'm still using my same voter's registration
21
         card that doesn't have that identification on there.
22
         And I think there will be testimony to that.
23
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN:
                                           Great.
24
                         CAROL KITSON:
                                        Thank you very much.
25
                                           Ramey -- I think
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN:
```



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1 it's Ramey, R-A-M-E-Y, Ko or Kory [sic], K-O, 2 Attorney (inaudible) here to testify against the 3 Committee Substitute to Senate Bill 14. 4 RAMEY KO: I hope you don't mind me 5 using my computer. 6 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: No, whatever you 7 need. 8 RAMEY KO: All right. When I can --9 can I start? 10 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Yes. Please state 11 your name --12 RAMEY KO: Oh, okay. My name is 13 Ramey Ko, and I'm an attorney. And I currently 14 lecture at the University of Texas and teacher as 15 well for private (inaudible) company. Some of you 16 may remember me from 2009, when I famously testified 17 on the name matching issues faced by Asian American 18 voters; resulted in some amount of controversy in 19 the media over the issue. I'm happy to hear that 20 this version of the bill had some provisions in 21 there that addresses this issue. However, I think 22 that there's certain other concerns that are 23 important to address. 24 First of all, it's very important to 25 highlight the fact that ultimately the person who's



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

on the front line and making these decisions are poll workers. These are poll workers who have a very difficult job taking time out of their schedules to volunteer to do something for democracy; very admirable. But given the record turnouts of the last few election cycles with little training and funding to support them in this tremendously important job, errors do occur. In fact, the Asian American Legal Defense and Education Fund has put forward a number of reports recently through large sample size studies of numerous states over the last several election cycles beginning in 2002. Every year they do this, they find enormously large amounts of improper or incorrect behavior on the part of poll workers towards Asian American voters.

In seven states sampled in the 2008 election, in the majority of Asian American voters sampled, the majority, more than half in every single state, reported being asked for improper or illegal identification under those state's laws, the majority. That's under current law. That doesn't require -- and these were in states that do not require photo I.D. and allows that -- in states that have a -- greater I.D. requirements with more



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

complex elements that were even higher results.

The fact is that it's impossible to know what turnout would be in the absence of such laws. Just as much as people have been saying, oh, we can't know how much fraud is going on, we can't know how much people are being suppressed or who's being discouraged from coming to the polls because of the fact that they have these laws. Right?

Well, anecdotal evidence is hardly representative of broader trends. These horror stories I've heard tell me one thing, the system now works. Right? These people are being caught. Clearly all of these people who are coming to testify and mechanisms exist to mark and review suspect ballots. We do know that voter turnout among low income voters and among (inaudible) areas is persistently low. We also know that from countless studies, personal account and personal experience that many of those not originally born in this country find this process intimidating. Right?

So I'm agreed that those (inaudible) make it difficult, maybe impossible, to prove voter impersonation. But then why pick this one out of all possible ways to commit fraud, absentee balloting -- heck, why don't we make people provide



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

a copy of their lease or their deed to prove their residency? I don't know. Maybe people are lying about this all the time. Who knows? Right?

So why not target absentee voting first where fraud is documented? Why not make people produce these other types of documentations? Right?

We're told that provisions exist in the bill to account for these name changes that we testified about last time. But to what degree if the problem with poll workers -- right? If my driver's license says Ramey Ko and my voter registration roll says Bill Hi Sun (phonetic), right, is the poll worker going to say that's even close enough for me to be permitted to cast that real ballot? That's the judgment that's made. These are the same poll workers, mind you, that demanded incorrect illegal forms of I.D. in eight states from the majority of Asian American voters who voted.

We're also told that democracy's expanding measures such as same day registration will be easier to swallow if this passes. They ask why aren't they already in this bill. If we're all so determined to give people ideas [sic] -- I.D.s because they need them for real life, which I agree



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

with, working as an attorney with low income folks, then why not a longer phase then? Right?

The fact is that I came here -- or I'm here in this country because my parents came from Asia in order to escape the type of government that says that people who get to vote are restricted based on their status or who they are.

The cost of faith in democracy is high.

The Representative earlier who spoke -- who highlighted that point, very true. And millions will lose that faith if this bill passes. And if you really believe that the cost of democracy is worth whatever costs, then put your money where your mouth is. Put \$50 million into this bill to do real education, real outreach, real voting assistance.

To echo the earlier witness, every suppressed vote diminishes the vote of every other person cast -- every other vote cast. Every person who is scared to go to the polls because of how they might be treated diminishes the vote of every other per -- vote cast.

And that's my remarks for the day. I'm open for questions.

CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Very good. Any questions, Members? Yeah. Representative Aliseda.



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1 REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: Would you 2 agree with me that there's another form of voter 3 suppression and that is a voter suppression where 4 you do not believe in the system so you don't vote? 5 RAMEY KO: I think that anything that 6 diminishes the value of democracy has the potential 7 to suppress the vote. The problem is that when we know that 8 9 there's all of these potentials out there, right, we 10 have to find a way as policymakers to make a 11 decision to weigh, right, the cost and benefits of 12 addressing certain types of potential problems with 13 that democracy. 14 To me, to say that well, this is a 15 potential issue and if it really is out there and 16 these numbers that we think it might be, it's really 17 scary. The problem's that argument could be applied to absolutely anything I can come up with, right, 18 19 like the deed or title restriction I just mentioned earlier. Why not require people to produce a title 20 21 or a lease in order to prove their residence? 22 UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: 23 family trees --24 RAMEY KO: Yeah. Why not? Maybe a 25 thousand people every day are fraudulently lying



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1	about where they live.
2	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: It's
3	not that farfetched. I have a home in Bee County
4	that's where 18 individuals are registered to
5	vote.
6	RAMEY KO: And I think we all know
7	about the trailer park, correct, and a lot of
8	controversies about exactly who's registered to vote
9	in that district or not.
10	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Any other
11	questions, Members? Thank you for your testimony
12	today.
13	RAMEY KO: Thank you.
14	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: John Woods, a
15	graduate student, student government of the
16	University of Texas at Austin, here to testify
17	neutrally on the Committee Substitute to Senate Bill
18	14.
19	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: And, Mr. Woods, we
20	have a copy of, I guess, your testimony or or
21	something you've asked us to pass around. And we're
22	doing that now.
23	JOHN WOODS: Thank you. Mr. Chair,
24	Members of the Committee, thank you so much for your
25	time. I my name is John Woods. I'm a graduate



Toll Free: 800.211.DEP0 Facsimile: 512.328.8139

student at University of Texas at Austin. I'm a member of student government. I represent the graduate school, and I am authorized to speak on behalf of my constituents for this.

One issue a lot of graduate students face, a lot of us come from out of state. We don't necessarily have Texas driver's licenses. Many of us, as somebody else mentioned earlier, use public transportation and have no reason to have a driver's license.

My understanding is the Supreme Court has said before that you are entitled to vote where you go to school whether it's in state or out of state just as long as you only vote in one place and that you don't necessarily need an in-state driver's license to do that.

I, myself, am originally from the Commonwealth of Virginia. I moved here in 2007. And I did get a Texas license, but I would point out that I could go home at any time and register to vote again in Virginia with my parents' address and use a Virginia driver's license, and I could actually probably vote in both states simultaneously. And I don't see how this bill addresses that.



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

But my real concern is that graduate students be able to use student I.D.s when they go vote. I think that that would be a good solution to this problem. It's something that all of my constituents have. I can see how maybe student I.D.s need an expiration date or something like that, but I think that's a solution the Committee could consider looking at.

I'm also a little concerned about the priorities of this bill. I just -- I'd like to note -- note a loophole that we noticed in student government, which is that you can currently go buy a gun in Texas without any I.D. at all, but you wouldn't be able to vote under this bill without I.D. It seems strange to me that you get turned away by a poll worker, go to a gun show, buy a gun, and then threaten the poll worker into letting you vote. I think that's a loophole in this bill, and I just wanted to mention it.

Lastly, there's a committee hearing one session ago. We had these two -- two guys who were twins in student government. And they were on the other side of the aisle from me, but they came and testified, and they did a great job. You know, it was interesting. One was out of the room when the



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1 other one was testifying. And when the second one 2 came to testify, the Chair of the committee noted, 3 "Hey, didn't you already testify?" And he said, 4 "No, no. That was my brother." Now, these two 5 guys, they dress exactly the same and they have the 6 same speech mannerisms. I still can't tell them 7 apart. Got to love them, you know. And I just -- I 8 just wanted to thank the Committee for not requiring 9 me to show an I.D. in order to testify here. 10 you. 11 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Any questions, 12 Members? Thank you. 13 UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Just a 14 quick one. 15 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Mr. (inaudible). 16 UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Thank 17 you, Mr. Chairman. If you had an Oklahoma driver's 18 license but were registered at U.T., under this bill 19 would you be able to vote? 20 JOHN WOODS: My understanding is that 21 you have to have a driver's license from the Texas 22 Department of Public Safety. You could use a 23 passport, but if you did not have one --24 UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: 25 my understanding, too. Thanks.



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1 JOHN WOODS: Thank you very much. 2 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Ann McGeehan, the 3 Elections Division of the Secretary of State, 4 testifying neutral on Committee Substitute to Senate 5 Bill 14. 6 ANN MCGEEHAN: Good afternoon. 7 McGeehan with the Office of the Texas Secretary of 8 State. 9 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Questions, Members? 10 I think Mr. Veasey has questions. 11 REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: I -- I --12 I -- I'm sorry. Let me turn on the microphone. I 13 do have questions for you. 14 I was concerned about the fiscal note. 15 Can you talk a little bit about the fiscal note that 16 you all came up with? Because other smaller states, 17 states that are significantly smaller with -- than ours, with a lot less television markets, came up 18 19 with much higher figures than you did. So that --20 that number sort of startled me a little bit. 21 ANN MCGEEHAN: Sure. Yeah. I would 22 be happy to explain how we arrived at that figure. 23 Since the Help America Vote Act passed at 24 the federal level in 2002, the states have been 25 given some funds for voter education.



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

Secretary of State's office has done a statewide voter education effort in 2006, eight and ten. And the average cost for those statewide voter education efforts has been 2.5 million dollars. So I can tell you that for the 2010 cycle what we did was we spent \$2.5 million, and it included upgrades to our website. We created a new website called VoteTX.org, or actually redesigned it. It had been created previously. We did traditional advertising in television, did some PSAs in television, radio newspaper, experimented a little bit on the Internet with FaceBook and Twitter, and also did some ads on public transportation.

We did seven telethons in -- in the Valley, Austin, Dallas and Houston, four on Spanish T.V. stations and three on English. And then we also did a face-to-face outreach where we traveled to 23 cities around the State and interacted personally with more than 15,000 Texans. So that's what -- that's kind of the model of what we've done.

So when we were asked to prepare a fiscal note, we looked at our past voter education efforts. We also looked at in 2009, when the Senate passed a voter I.D. bill that contained, I think, almost the same language as what's in the current Committee



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

```
1
         Substitute, Senate Finance put a rider on the bill
 2
         for $2 million, which to us indicated that that at
 3
         least -- at least on the Senate side, that's what
 4
         they thought was appropriate for the voter education
 5
         program.
 6
                        REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: What was --
 7
         what was their methodology? I'm sorry --
 8
                        ANN MCGEEHAN:
                                       The Senate's?
 9
                        REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: -- for
10
         arriving at that figure?
11
                        ANN MCGEEHAN:
                                       I don't know.
12
                        REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Did they --
13
         did they share any with you?
14
                        ANN MCGEEHAN: Not with me.
15
                        REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY:
                                                 The -- it's
16
         just a number they -- they put out there?
17
                        ANN MCGEEHAN: Yes, I don't know how
18
         they arrived at that number.
19
                        REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY:
                                                 Okay. Yeah.
20
         Because I -- in Missouri it looks like the bill cost
21
         a lot more than in Texas, and they have -- you know,
22
         their biggest market was No. 20th. And of course
23
         Dallas/Fort Worth is No. 5, and Houston is No. 7,
24
         San Antonio, 30, Austin, 42, El Paso, 46.
25
         how many -- how many television ads in the Metroplex
```



1	could you buy with \$750,000?
2	ANN MCGEEHAN: Well, I know in
3	two-point I'm sorry, in 2010 we spent 1.8 million
4	just on purchasing the advertising.
5	REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Okay.
6	ANN MCGEEHAN: So I'm sure we could
7	get you the breakdown to show how much in each media
8	market, but the bulk was spent on purchasing the
9	advertising.
LO	REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Purchasing
L1	all forms of advertising?
L2	ANN MCGEEHAN: Yeah. That included
L3	T.V., radio
L 4	REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Yeah.
L 5	ANN MCGEEHAN: and newspaper.
L 6	REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Do you know
L 7	how many spots you all were running like in the
L 8	Metroplex?
L 9	ANN MCGEEHAN: We can get you the
20	detail on that, because I know the company we
21	contracted with I think gave us a detailed report of
22	exactly where it was aired and if we got any
23	earned earned media, things like that. We can
24	get you that.
25	REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Okay.



CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Representative

Anchia.

REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Mr. Chairman,
I wanted to dovetail on a question -- the line of

5 questioning of Representative Veasey.

Again, Missouri had a two-year, \$9.5 million estimate for their voter I.D. bill in 2006, including the cost of free I.D.s, poll worker training and the production of -- of voter education material. Missouri is a state one-fourth the size of Texas. Wisconsin, that has a population less than one-point -- 5.6 million people, less than one-fourth the size of the State of Texas, had an annual fiscal note on their bill of 2.3 million. So biannual, it would be 4.6 in lost revenue due to the provision of free I.D.s.

Maryland has a population of 5.6 million where they provide free I.D.s only in limited circumstances, projecting a \$1.6 million annual fiscal note. In 2010, Indiana, which was later -- which was after -- after the initial passage of the bill, which was required to provide free I.D.s, they have a population of 6.4 million, about a quarter of the size of the State of Texas. They spent 1.3 million to provide free I.D.s.



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1 In that \$2 million figure, do you have 2 any -- do you have any -- any data on the provision 3 of free I.D.s, or is it exclusively your marketing 4 budget? ANN MCGEEHAN: 2 million was 5 6 exclusively for the voter education effort. 7 UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: ANN MCGEEHAN: And as far as the 8 9 other states and -- like Missouri, I know that we --10 we tried to get a little information, because 11 Senator Gallegos asked that question at the Senate 12 hearing. 13 UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Right. 14 ANN MCGEEHAN: And one thing that was 15 different about their bill, which I think was later 16 struck down -- I don't think they ever implemented 17 the 2006 legislation --18 UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Uh-huh. 19 ANN MCGEEHAN: -- was that they were 20 actually having to install equipment throughout the 21 State to issue photo I.D.s for purposes of the 22 legislation, which is not in this bill. 23 So I -- I don't know about Wisconsin and 24 Maryland. You know, each -- it depends on what the 25 bill says.



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: I'll
2	talk a little bit about the media markets in
3	Missouri. St. Louis and Kansas City are the two
4	largest. They spent significantly more in
5	marketing. You're basing same same thing with
6	other states.
7	You're you're you're basing your
8	estimate on T.V. commercials, print and I guess some
9	radio, \$300,000 worth of radio on a an estimate
10	that of last year's expenditure, I guess, or a
11	prior year's expenditure with a significant change
12	if this bill passed. Would you agree it's a pretty
13	significant change to current law?
14	ANN MCGEEHAN: Yeah.
15	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Okay.
16	Do you think do you think that the same the
17	same budget that you would use last time around with
18	no significant change in state law would be
19	appropriate for a voter education program with a
20	significant change in state law?
21	ANN MCGEEHAN: Well, it's and I'll
22	try to state this clearly, because I don't know that
23	I explained it so well on the Senate side. But we
24	do have plans, I guess, to have continuing voter



25

Toll Free: 800.211.DEP0 Facsimile: 512.328.8139

Suite 220 3101 Bee Caves Road Austin, TX 78746 www.esquiresolutions.com

education programs, because we still have HABA

So when we were asked to prepare this fiscal note, we were assuming we're going to continue to do our statewide education effort. So we looked at how do we weave in the new voter I.D. requirements into a statewide voter education program. So I can't tell you exactly what that's going to end up being, but if we're just asked for purposes of this fiscal note to say what does it cost to educate on voter I.D., that was our best guess, because we -- we will integrate it with, you know, whatever voter education program we do for 2012. So if we do 2.5 million effort in 2012, you know, some of that will cover voter education, maybe will go to a little higher than that. But I guess the point is we have federal dollars to educate voters on the process to vote and get registered. And so we will incorporate and enhance it to include education on the new voter I.D. requirements. UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: would that -- would that education occur over a year, two years? Would it be ongoing? The bill -the bill doesn't specify. What's -- what's your



1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

Suite 220 3101 Bee Caves Road Austin, TX 78746 www.esquiresolutions.com

view and what do the HABA dollars come in?

think says that we have to start preparing the

ANN MCGEEHAN: The -- the bill I

1 training and the voter education as soon as 2 possible. Our fiscal note assumes that it would 3 just be for one cycle, so I think we put it all for 4 the 2012 fiscal year. 5 We have \$7 million left in the State 6 Treasury that's earmarked for voter education and 7 poll worker training, election official training. UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: 8 9 are HABA funds? 10 ANN MCGEEHAN: Those are the HABA 11 funds, and they don't expire. I don't think the 12 federal government can take them back, but we are 13 hearing that they're not -- they don't have any 14 plans to issue any more funds. So, essentially, 15 that's all we've got. 16 UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: In the 17 new -- on the significant change in legislation for 18 one election cycle, essentially for the 2012 19 election cycle? 20 ANN MCGEEHAN: Well, based on the 21 language that's in the bill. 22 UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Based 23 on language that's in the bill? 24 ANN MCGEEHAN: Right. 25 UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE:



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1 How -- for major changes in legislation -- well, let 2 me back up a step. 3 What's your evaluation of poll worker 4 training currently in the -- in the counties? 5 ANN MCGEEHAN: In the counties? I 6 think that there are a variety of tools counties can 7 use to educate poll workers. We -- with our HABA 8 dollars, we created an online poll worker training 9 that's free for all counties to use. Some counties 10 have used their HABA funds to create their own 11 specific online training. Most counties do some 12 form of in-person training, where they require 13 workers to come in, you know, see the machines, 14 learn how to operate the machines. We, the 15 Secretary of State's office, has a 30-minute video 16 that we do, and we update usually every two years. 17 That's also free of charge. So there's some 18 different ways. 19 UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: You answered a different question, though. 20 21 ANN MCGEEHAN: Oh. 22 UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: 23 answered what resources are available, which I 24 appreciate. But what's your -- what's your 25 assessment of the quality of poll worker training?



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1 And I know it's difficult to get poll workers. 2 There's turnover frequently. We pay them very 3 little. I believe that they're volunteers. 4 When you have a -- a change in the 5 Election Code, just a minor change, by way of 6 example, it doesn't immediately filter down to all 7 poll workers, does it? I mean, ensuring practice and implementation, it's something that takes time? 8 9 ANN MCGEEHAN: Sure. 10 UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Isn't 11 that right? 12 ANN MCGEEHAN: That's right. 13 UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: 14 because I hear from poll workers all the time and, 15 you know, they didn't know the law had changed. 16 tried to do some continuing education myself, having 17 sat on the Elections Committee in the past, to bring people up to speed. And I'm always very impressed 18 19 at how earnest the poll workers are, but also sometimes very surprised -- you know, they're not 20 21 Election Code experts and it does take some time for 22 changes in the Election Code to filter down to them. 23 For a change of this magnitude, do you 24 think it will take some time for folks to be aware 25 of the law?



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1	ANN MCGEEHAN: I think it will take
2	some time. I think the bill has some very strict
3	requirements in there, though, that requires all
4	judges and clerks to take the Secretary of
5	State-prescribed training. So it it sounds to me
6	like it's a mandate that they have to take that
7	training, whereas right now in many elections it's
8	optional.
9	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: How
10	much is that going to cost for everybody to take the
11	training?
12	ANN MCGEEHAN: Our plans will be to
13	update our video and to update the online training.
14	So that would be two free for, you know, counties to
15	use and for citizens to use on top of whatever the
16	counties may be using, as well.
17	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Do you
18	think with a mandate like that, would that mandate
19	be satisfied by watching the video?
20	ANN MCGEEHAN: I think it could be.
21	Currently, that is used for poll worker training.
22	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Uh-huh.
23	So that would be enough?
24	ANN MCGEEHAN: Unless the statute is
25	changed. But as currently written, I think that



1 would satisfy the bill. 2 UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Okay. 3 Does it surprise you that people -- that poll 4 workers are already asking for photo I.D. despite 5 the training that occurs? Does that surprise you? 6 ANN MCGEEHAN: We have heard that 7 before, yes. UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: 8 Quite a 9 Even in the district that I represent we've 10 got folks that are asking for photo I.D. currently. 11 A lot of people have been talking about a 12 600,000 registered voter figure. These people --13 these are people who apparently registered without 14 using some form -- either their Social Security number or a -- a driver's license number. 15 16 Isn't the use even bigger than that? 17 mean, I see a figure here that for people who did not register without a driver's license number --18 19 pardon me, for people who registered without their 20 driver's license ensuring the figure is more like 21 2.8 million. 22 ANN MCGEEHAN: That's true when you 23 look at the entire voter database. 24 UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: 25 your HABA-compliant database.



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1	ANN MCGEEHAN: Right. But, you know,
2	prior to January 1, 2006, you could register to vote
3	without providing your driver's license or Social
4	Security number. So people that were registered
5	before 2006 may not have provided one of those.
6	That doesn't necessarily mean that they don't have
7	one, but they didn't have to provide one to get
8	registered to vote.
9	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Well,
LO	we don't know whether they did or not, because it
L1	wasn't listed. Correct?
L2	Have you all done a match to determine
L3	with the driver's license file as to whether these
L 4	folks have driver's licenses or not?
L 5	ANN MCGEEHAN: Uh-huh. We've been
L 6	asked to do that and we're looking at this to make
L 7	sure that looking at the official list of voters
L 8	in the Secretary of State's office, trying to
L 9	compare that to DPS. And our IT Department is
20	looking at that, trying to get good matching
21	criteria, because without that unique identifying
22	number of the TDL, it can be sometimes difficult to
23	make sure you have the right match.
24	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE:
25	Somebody came up and said there was 600 you have



1 that \$600,000 person figure. Where does that come 2 from? Do you have a frame of reference for that 3 figure that there were 600,000 people who did not 4 list after [sic] driver's license number or Social 5 Security number? 6 ANN MCGEEHAN: I think they're all 7 coming from the same place, which that is -- and 8 I -- you know, when we have shared information with 9 the Legislature before, we've sort of shared two 10 sets of information. One is -- that shows how many 11 people have stated they don't have a TDL number or 12 SSN number since January 1, because since --13 January 1, 2006, because since January 1, 2006, it's 14 been required. So since that time, we show 34,506 15 voters out of almost 4 million that stated they did 16 not have I.D. 17 UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Since 18 2006? 19 ANN MCGEEHAN: Yes. 20 UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: And is 21 there -- is there a provision -- provision in this 22 bill to identify that use of voters as possibly not 23 having I.D.? 24 ANN MCGEEHAN: I'm sorry. I didn't 25 understand.



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: So, I
2	mean, if you're looking at possible uses of voters
3	that may not have the requisite I.D. to comply with
4	this bill, is there anything in the bill that would
5	require you to identify those folks, seek them out,
6	determine whether they have I.D. or not?
7	ANN MCGEEHAN: No, I don't believe
8	so.
9	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Okay. Let's
10	talk we talked, I know, briefly about training of
11	poll workers.
12	Under this bill, what new duties will poll
13	workers perform, what new duties?
14	ANN MCGEEHAN: Let's see. Of course,
15	the qualification process will change as far as what
16	they will, you know, require of a voter before
17	they're permitted to vote. I can't really think of
18	any new. They if a voter doesn't have I.D.
19	today, they vote provisionally. So that will be the
20	same.
21	I guess the main change will be voters
22	that have filed a disability exemption with the
23	Voter Registrar. Those voters aren't going to have
24	to show I.D.
25	A voter who is 70 years of age on or



1 before January 2012 won't have to show I.D. those will be some new decisions they'll have to 2 3 make. 4 UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Talk to 5 me about how you would administer those two 6 exceptions, the disability and the age? 7 ANN MCGEEHAN: Well, we're going to 8 have to come up with detailed training. So I 9 don't -- I don't -- I can't tell you exactly how 10 that's going to work. You know, we'll try to be 11 very thoughtful about that and look to other states 12 for best practices. But we'll have to revise our 13 handbooks, all our training, our online training, 14 video, to include guidance for the poll workers on 15 how to handle those new duties. 16 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: With respect to the 17 disability exemption, is there a document that the 18 person would put on file to receive that type of 19 exemption? 20 ANN MCGEEHAN: Yes. The -- the 21 Committee Substitute -- and that document would be 22 filed with the Voter Registrar, not with the -- not 23 at the polling place. And it allows written 24 documentation from the United States Social Security



25

Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

Suite 220 3101 Bee Caves Road Austin, TX 78746 www.esquiresolutions.com

Administration that evidence that the voter has a

1	disability or written documentation from the United
2	States Department of Veterans Affairs.
3	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: So
4	those are the only two ways to get the exemption?
5	ANN MCGEEHAN: Correct.
6	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Okay.
7	Okay.
8	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Representative
9	(inaudible).
10	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Yeah,
11	yeah.
12	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: I was going to
13	allow Members of the Committee to ask questions.
14	And I see two of them have their lights on and have
15	for some time. So, I mean, if you have more
16	questions, I'll come back to you, but I'd like to
17	let the Members of the Committee go ahead.
18	Representative Harless.
19	REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Hi. How are
20	you?
21	ANN MCGEEHAN: I'm good. Thank you.
22	REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Could you
23	tell us a little bit about the ongoing training that
24	the Secretary of State does as a part of their job
25	anyway?



1	ANN MCGEEHAN: We we have several
2	formats of training. We have had a 30-minute video
3	that we've had probably since the late '80s. And we
4	update that regularly, usually after a Legislative
5	session. We have detailed handbooks that are to be
6	used inside polling places. We have now an online
7	voter online training process, and we also do
8	schools and seminars. So we have an annual seminar
9	every summer for county officials.
10	REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: This is
11	this is something that you continue to do every
12	year
13	ANN MCGEEHAN: Yes.
14	REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: as part
15	your budget?
16	Can you tell me we've talked a lot
17	about the Help America Vote Act fund. How much
18	money was that originally?
19	ANN MCGEEHAN: I have my note on that
20	somewhere. Well, I think overall we've received
21	total for all the mandates in HABA about
22	\$200 million and okay. I I found it now. I'm
23	sorry. \$227 million dollars.
24	REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: And how much
25	of that money have we spent?



1 ANN MCGEEHAN: We have spent about 80 2 percent of that money. What is remaining now is 3 about \$47 million. 4 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: And how much 5 money in the base budget that we received in the 6 House under the Secretary of State was in that base 7 budget appropriated for HABA money for federal election training? 8 9 ANN MCGEEHAN: I -- I believe in 10 House Bill 1 -- I don't think it's broken down by 11 purpose areas within HABA, but I think overall it 12 was about \$37 million or --13 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: 43, maybe? 14 It says under B1.4, "Strategy, elections 15 improvement, administration of federal Help America 16 Act -- Vote Act." 17 ANN MCGEEHAN: I defer to you. That 18 sounds right. 19 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: So of the 20 \$2 million it is likely, once this bill is passed, 21 that we can request that funds from the -- from the 22 Help America Vote Act to be appropriated to spend 23 additional monies as needed to train and get up to speed on the photo I.D.? 24 25 ANN MCGEEHAN: Right. I mean, I



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1	guess, just to be clear, we already have drawn that
2	money down based on our State plan.
3	REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: We have it
4	set up in our base budget that we started with that
5	that Pitts (phonetic) laid out?
6	ANN MCGEEHAN: Yes.
7	REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: There's been
8	a lot of conversation today about the 690,000 that
9	we're talking about. And I know Representative
10	Anchia mentioned to the 2.8 million or 5.2.
11	There's two sets of numbers we're working
12	with, two universes. The first universe is
13	registered voters that are from January 1st of 2006
14	to December 31st, 2010. Correct?
15	ANN MCGEEHAN: Right.
16	REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: How many are
17	in that universe?
18	ANN MCGEEHAN: Total of all?
19	REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: In that 2000
20	and January 1st, 2006 to December 31st, 2010.
21	ANN MCGEEHAN: I think the total
22	the amount of voters that were registered during
23	that period is right under 4 million.
24	REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: And those
25	are voters that, one, have a driver's license or



1	I.D.; that's about 2.3 million.
2	ANN MCGEEHAN: Right.
3	REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Then there's
4	voters that have registered with the last four of
5	their Social Security number, which is about
6	294,000.
7	ANN MCGEEHAN: Right.
8	REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Then there's
9	voters that registered with both, which is 1.3
10	million. And then there's a number of people that
11	registered that said they didn't have either.
12	ANN MCGEEHAN: Correct.
13	REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: No Social
14	Security, no I.D., driver's license. So that's
15	34,000.
16	Of that 3.9 million, that's .8 percent of
17	that universe from January 1st until December 31st.
18	Do I understand that correctly?
19	ANN MCGEEHAN: Yes, that's correct.
20	REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Okay. So
21	now if we take all the statewide voters that are
22	registered, all of them, even the ones prior to
23	2006, which I would fall into that category, because
24	I haven't changed my address and I still have my
25	original voter registration that gets renewed every



1 couple years, that I did not provide a Social 2 Security last four or my I.D. 3 Of that number, how many do we have? 4 ANN MCGEEHAN: Of -- of that number 5 we have 5.2 million that showed TDL I.D. We have 6 2.1 million that have a -- a Social Security number 7 on file. And then the number of voters that have both is 4.6 million. 8 9 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Which totals 10 in -- in the ones that have neither numbers? 11 ANN MCGEEHAN: One with neither is 12 the 690,000 insuring 698,087. 13 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: The total 14 universes of registered voters that we have as the 15 last numbers we've received is 12 million about 16 655,000. 17 Now, of that 690,000 do we think all of 18 those people don't have either Social Security, I.D. 19 or driver's license? 20 ANN MCGEEHAN: I want to -- I want to 21 be careful on that, because, you know, we -- we 22 don't have direct evidence. But we can say that 23 before 2006 it wasn't required. So presumably, if 24 it wasn't required, people wouldn't give it. 25 Doesn't mean they didn't have it.



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: So	
presumably some of those 690,000 people have	
driver's license or I.D. cards or Social Securitys	
[sic], they just did not have to require it at the	
time?	
ANN MCGEEHAN: I think that would be	
a fair statement.	
REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: All right.	
Another question about the fiscal note that we've	
talked about. Some of the counties attached, you	
know, small amounts of money that it would cost for	
their county. Aren't most how explain that to	
me. How can	
ANN MCGEEHAN: Well, I know on the	
Senate side there may have been some confusion	
regarding the voter registration certificate,	
because the bill requires that the new voter I.D.	
requirements appear on the back of the voter	
registration certificate. And I think that in	
conversations with some county officials they were	
thinking if you had to put it on the front of the	
certificate there wouldn't be space. So they	
thought the certificate might need to be increased	
in size, which would increase postage, but I don't	
think that's necessarily required. The bill doesn't	



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1 say that. It just says that it goes directly on the 2 certificate. 3 I think another cost -- Tarrant County, I 4 think, had another cost for changing of the 5 provisional ballot affidavit form, some cost for 6 that. 7 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Now, there's 8 some assumptions that the Secretary of State 9 typically doesn't do a lot of this work. 10 it true that they already do a lot of the work for 11 the training? So those can be absorbed as part of 12 your normal expense of your budget. 13 ANN MCGEEHAN: Right, right. 14 would -- you know, after every session we normally 15 have to revise our training materials. 16 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: And can you 17 talk just a little - and I'll quit so everyone else 18 can ask their questions - but we've had some 19 questions about different last names and different 20 addresses. 21 What provisions will the Secretary of 22 State make on that, and is there training done for 23 that? 24 ANN MCGEEHAN: Currently, there's no 25 training on that, because the law is silent on the



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1 issue of what happens if the names don't match. 2 the Senate Bill 14, the engrossed version and the 3 Committee Substitute, contains some language about 4 as long as the names are substantially similar. So 5 our training would have to include some standards 6 on, you know, what an election judge or clerk would 7 need to look for and what would be considered 8 substantially similar. 9 The addresses don't have to match right 10 And that -- and that doesn't change under 11 Senate Bill 14. You don't have to show, I don't 12 believe, that the -- the addresses have to -- you --13 you're -- you have to ask every voter if they've 14 moved, but they don't have to show their -- their 15 identification doesn't have to show where they live. 16 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Thank you so 17 much --ANN MCGEEHAN: 18 Sure. 19 REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: -- for 20 waiting all day and being patient. 21 ANN MCGEEHAN: You're welcome. 22 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Representative 23 Aliseda. 24 REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: What -- what 25 is the current state or federal law for purging



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

voter lists. Do you know?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

ANN MCGEEHAN: Yes. That's covered under the National Voter Registration Act, and there are some requirements associated with that. For instance, you can't purge voters within so many days of a November general election. You can't purge voters if you suspect that they've moved until you've actually reached out to those voters and sent them a mailing. If they don't respond to that mailing, their name goes to an inactive list or what we call in Texas a suspect -- a suspense list. And they stay on that list for a period of two federal general elections. And if they don't vote or if they don't correspond or communicate with the Voter Registrar, their name would get purged on November 30th of the second federal election.

REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: Well, I'm trying to understand how that particular law interfaces with individuals who do not have an identification of some sort. You stated that since 2006 we have 34,000 of those individuals that have indicated they don't have any form of identification, but prior to 2006, we had an additional 600,000 that didn't have to provide that information.



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1	So when would this purging require some
2	kind of follow-up on identification?
3	ANN MCGEEHAN: Well, I don't
4	nothing there's nothing in the State law or or
5	the federal law or this bill that would require
6	somebody that registered to vote before 2006 to now
7	provide a driver's license number or Social Security
8	number. When they present themselves for voting
9	they're going to have to show a photo I.D. But they
10	won't be required to provide that data to the Voter
11	Registrar.
12	REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: As far as
13	the cost of education on this issue, does the State
14	allow for public service announcements by
15	broadcasting companies that have have
16	frequently do some kind of voter voter education?
17	ANN MCGEEHAN: Yes. We definitely
18	try to make full use of that with our PSAs.
19	REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: But it's not
20	figured into that two million or the
21	ANN MCGEEHAN: No, no. That would
22	be
23	REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: 20
24	million or whatever it is you say we spend on
25	education?



1	ANN MCGEEHAN: Right. I mean, that's
2	something that we look for, but we'll probably go
3	out on bid to to for a company to help us form
4	this education program. And that's something we
5	look at is what companies can promise that earned
6	media so that we only pay for this much, but then we
7	get it aired more times
8	REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: Are these
9	hearings earned media in a sense in that we have
10	some public interest in this and I assume people are
11	following it?
12	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: We
13	shouldn't flatter ourselves.
14	REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: No further
15	questions.
16	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Representative
17	Anchia, do you have more questions?
18	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Just a couple
19	more, Mr. Chairman. Thank you.
20	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Take your time. I
21	just
22	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: I appreciate
23	that. I appreciate that.
24	The statement was made earlier in that
25	600,000-people universe that that there were



1	that that equaled fraudulent voters. Do you share
2	that belief?
3	ANN MCGEEHAN: I have no reason to
4	think that those voters are fraudulent.
5	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Okay. Okay.
6	What happens to the voter registration certificate
7	if this bill's passed in its current form?
8	ANN MCGEEHAN: It continues to exist,
9	and so it will be sent out and it will now contain
10	information on the identification requirements. And
11	then voters who are 70 70 as of January 2012 and
12	the voters that have the disability exemption, they
13	will be able to use their certificate as their forms
14	of I.D.
15	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Okay. But it
16	will be sent to all registered voters. Right?
17	ANN MCGEEHAN: Yes.
18	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: We have
19	13 million, roughly, 12
20	ANN MCGEEHAN: A little under
21	13 million today.
22	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: 12.6, 12.6?
23	ANN MCGEEHAN: Yeah. Yeah.
24	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: What
25	what what other functional purpose would it



serve? I mean, if you're not -- if you're not 70, you don't have the disability exemption.

ANN MCGEEHAN: I guess at this point it's going to serve more as a -- an informational tool to the voter. It will tell them what districts they're registered in, you know, which Congressional district, which State Senatorial District. I think there's five districts that have to be districts on the certificate.

Tell them their county election precinct number, which might help them find out where they need to go vote. So I guess it would be more for the voter's benefits and information.

REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: An alternative approach that we've discussed many times in this committee is simply adding a person's picture to the voter registration certificate and --which would be a pretty easy fix. I think it -- the technology certainly exists. I mean, we might want to import some late 1990 -- '80s technology to -- to implement that very simple act, I mean, through a bubble jet printer or something like that, if you can find those.

Have you done a feasibility analysis on that approach as opposed to this approach?



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1 ANN MCGEEHAN: I think there's one or 2 two bills filed this session that kind of play that 3 kind of approach. So I think we've tried to do some 4 research to -- for purpose of responding to the 5 fiscal note as to what they would take. 6 REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Because it 7 seems to me that would be the easiest fix here, just 8 to add someone's photo. And there will be a 9 universe of people who don't have a photo on file. 10 Right? So if it was possible, for example, to work 11 with Commander Deese (phonetic) at DPS and merge the 12 TDL database photos down and -- and do a match 13 with -- with the HABA-compliant --14 ANN MCGEEHAN: Right. 15 REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: -- statewide 16 voter registration database, and then you dump down 17 the State I.D. photos, the non-TDL photos --ANN MCGEEHAN: Uh-huh. 18 19 REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: -- and then 20 you dump down maybe a CHL universe, you -- you --21 you probably hit a pretty significant number. 22 wouldn't be complete. I mean, you would have to 23 have some sort of mechanism at the polls to gather 24 people's photos or an outreach. But can you --25 we've had these suggestions around for a couple



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1 sessions now. Can you talk about some of the -- the 2 opportunities there or the challenges? 3 ANN MCGEEHAN: You know, we've --4 we've done some preliminary analysis. I think the 5 effort there would -- would be one of, you know, 6 equipment and whether it would be the State issuing 7 these cards or whether it would be the county, 8 whether we'd give the -- you know, if -- if the 9 State wanted to fund the county to have the 10 equipment necessary to capture the pictures for 11 those people that aren't --12 REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Sounds like 13 we have HABA funds for this, though. Right? 14 ANN MCGEEHAN: Well, HABA funds --15 HABA, you know, has certain express purposes. Voter 16 education is one. I don't think we could use HABA 17 to implement voter I.D. 18 REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Say that 19 again. 20 ANN MCGEEHAN: Well, HABA had -- has 21 very express requirements in the federal law. 22 REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: But you just 23 said you don't think you can use HABA funds to 24 implement voter I.D. 25 ANN MCGEEHAN: Well, meaning what



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

you're talking about, to take people's pictures -
REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: So you could

use it to implement a photo I.D. regime, but you

couldn't use it to add people's photos to a voter

registration certificate?

ANN MCGEEHAN: We could look at that -- at the language of the bill more closely. But, you know, the main mandates of HABA were provisional voting, voting system, security. We could -- you know, maybe -- maybe it's more broad than I'm thinking.

We've been very cautious with the HABA funds and try to make sure we're in compliance with what -- the Federal Election Systems Commission. It would be curious to see if Georgia or Indiana use that money -- HABA monitor [sic] that portion of the -- of their implementation of voter I.D.

REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Ms. Wang talked about a vote-saving affidavit approach to preventing people from being disenfranchised under a -- a photo I.D. regime. Other states have it. And Idaho, by way of example, has a photo I.D. regime, but at the same time allows persons who are unable to comply to -- to offer up a vote-saving affidavit that says they are the person on the card.



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

```
1
         And, typically, the penalty for that is aggravated
 2
         perjury, is it not, if you bust an affidavit related
 3
         to an election --
 4
                        ANN MCGEEHAN: Yes.
 5
                        REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: -- and -- and
 6
         the penalty for that is two to ten years in jail, is
 7
         it not?
                        ANN MCGEEHAN: That sounds about
 8
 9
         right.
10
                        REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: $10,000 -- up
11
         to $10,000 fine.
12
                        ANN MCGEEHAN: Yes.
13
                        REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: And don't we
14
         have an affidavit currently in -- for people who --
15
         because let me back up a step.
16
                   We have a photo -- we have a voter I.D.
17
         standard in Texas today, don't -- do we not?
18
                        ANN MCGEEHAN: Yes.
19
                        REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: It's our
20
         voter registration certificate.
21
                   And when you cannot comply with that --
22
         with that voter registration certificate
23
         requirement, you can use other forms of I.D. like a
24
         driver's license. Correct?
25
                        ANN MCGEEHAN: Yes.
```



1	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: And when
2	you when you use that driver's license, you sign
3	an affidavit, do you not?
4	ANN MCGEEHAN: Yes.
5	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: And that's
6	contained in the poll book, is it not?
7	ANN MCGEEHAN: Right.
8	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: What does
9	that affidavit say?
10	ANN MCGEEHAN: It's the voter saying
11	they don't have their voter registration
12	certificate, essentially.
13	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: But there are
14	other things. Right?
15	ANN MCGEEHAN: For the affidavit?
16	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Yeah.
17	ANN MCGEEHAN: Yeah. There are
18	several affidavits.
19	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: You you
20	haven't voted previously in this election, et
21	cetera. Correct?
22	ANN MCGEEHAN: Uh-huh, yes.
23	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Okay. And if
24	the standard is is flipped and suddenly you have
25	a photo a photo I.D. requirement, what would



1	be a Voter Registrar affidavit look like?
2	Because currently if you can comply with the voter
3	requirement, you can give an alternative form of
4	of identification, which may or may not be a photo
5	I.D. If you go to a photo I.D. regime, what would a
6	vote-saving affidavit look like, so that people who
7	otherwise would comply, could comply, who are
8	eligible to vote do not get their votes rejected?
9	ANN MCGEEHAN: Well, we we could
10	look at, you know, what some of the other states
11	have done with respect to that. Michigan, for
12	example.
13	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Ohio.
14	ANN MCGEEHAN: Ohio. I think I heard
15	Florida earlier today.
16	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Right, right.
17	But that would prevent people from being
18	disenfranchised if they could not comply with this,
19	as you said, major change in state law?
20	ANN MCGEEHAN: Yeah. I mean, that
21	would be that's a policy call for the
22	Legislature, but
23	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Right.
24	What's the standard for match in this
25	bill? I show up, my hair's longer. I saw Ramey Ko



1	today. His hair's longer than two years ago when he
2	testified. Sometimes people look differently
3	ANN MCGEEHAN: Uh-huh.
4	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: I mean,
5	photo I.D.s are good for 10-year periods in some
6	cases. Right?
7	ANN MCGEEHAN: Right, right.
8	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Didn't know
9	it. Ten years later, I look pretty different than I
10	did ten years ago.
11	What's the what's the what's the
12	standard for a visual identification?
13	ANN MCGEEHAN: I don't know that the
14	bill has has has a has a standard
15	necessarily. It says that that the
16	language basically says that the documentation
17	establishes, I think, they're identity.
18	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: From the
19	proof presented. Correct?
20	ANN MCGEEHAN: From the proof, yes.
21	So that would clearly be an area that we would need
22	to address that training.
23	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Ultimately,
24	it's subjective. Right? The poll worker is given
25	the opportunity to either confirm or deny the



1	identity. Right?
2	ANN MCGEEHAN: Right.
3	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: And there's
4	no guidance in the bill to tell us
5	ANN MCGEEHAN: Right. To
6	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: how to
7	ANN MCGEEHAN: Right. To a certain
8	extent that is an issue today. If a if a person
9	shows eye they don't have their certificate and
10	they don't show their driver's license, other I.D.
11	is authorized. And there's similar language in the
12	current law about, you know, establishing
13	identification from the from the identification
14	providing. But I think that would be something we
15	would need to address in training. And we would
16	certainly look to some of the other states that have
17	implemented already and try to borrow some best
18	practices.
19	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Do you know
20	how other states approach this?
21	ANN MCGEEHAN: I do not, not today.
22	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Okay. That's
23	it. Thank you, Mr. Chairman.
24	REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS:
25	Mr. Chairman, I have one follow-up question.



1	You just got through mentioning about
2	and and we all concerned [sic] about the
3	discretion. Section 63.010 talks about the
4	documentation proof of identification. Currently,
5	the poll worker has that discretion. You all would
6	just define those standards differently. Is that
7	correct?
8	ANN MCGEEHAN: Right. I think we
9	would address it specifically in our training.
10	Right now, that's not specifically addressed in our
11	training.
12	REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: (Inaudible)
13	poll worker (inaudible).
14	ANN MCGEEHAN: That's correct.
15	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Any other
16	questions, Members? Thank you. Appreciate your
17	being here.
18	Members, is there any desire to bring up a
19	resource witness from the Department of Public
20	Safety Driver's License Division? Okay.
21	Rebecca Davio from the Department of
22	Public Safety testifying neutrally on the Committee
23	Substitute Senate Bill 14.
24	REBECCA DAVIO: Good afternoon,
25	Chairman Bonnen, Members. My name is Rebecca Davio,



```
1
         and I'm with the Driver's License Division of the
 2
         Department of Public Safety.
 3
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Members, questions?
 4
         Representative Anchia.
 5
                        REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA:
                                                 Thank you.
 6
         Thank you, Mr. Chairman. Hi, Rebecca.
 7
                        REBECCA DAVIO: Hi there.
                        REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA:
 8
                                                 Welcome.
 9
                        REBECCA DAVIO:
                                         Thank you very much.
10
                        REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Rebecca, in
11
         the current budget, DPS services is currently
12
         21 percent under it's ideal budget. Is that
13
         correct?
14
                                         21 percent under it's
                        REBECCA DAVIO:
15
         ideal budget? We are definitely underfunded to be
16
         able to provide the level of service that we want.
17
         I haven't done that particular calculation.
                        REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: So the data
18
19
         we received is that the -- the current 2010, 2011
20
         driver's license services line item was 47,890,758.
21
         The request for 2013 is 60 thousand [sic] 656,690.
22
         That -- that equals for 2012, 2013, 47,689,052, 21
23
         percent under the requested amount.
24
                   Would -- wouldn't providing free I.D.s
25
         affect some of your revenue stream for -- for state
```



1	I.D.s that you derive from state I.D.s, from the
2	issuance of state I.D.s?
3	REBECCA DAVIO: The revenue from
4	driver's licenses and I.D.s doesn't come back to the
5	Department of Public Safety or to the Driver's
6	License Division. I believe those funds actually go
7	to the Mobility Fund.
8	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: To the
9	Mobility Fund?
10	REBECCA DAVIO: Yes, sir.
11	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: So how would
12	they impact the Mobility Fund?
13	REBECCA DAVIO: The revenue may be
14	decreased if for the free voter I.D.s.
15	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Okay. Yeah.
16	Including including those that would be required
17	for, I guess, people who are indigent under this
18	bill or right?
19	I mean, is is my assumption is that
20	you'd have to pass out some significant amounts of
21	free I.D.s in order for this in order for those
22	people who did not have compliant photo I.D. to be
23	able to vote. Correct?
24	REBECCA DAVIO: Well, the numbers
25	show that there's probably about almost 19 million



1 people in Texas that have an active driver's license 2 or I.D. And I believe that there were almost 3 13 million registered voters. 4 REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Uh-huh. 5 REBECCA DAVIO: And the language that 6 is in this Committee Substitute that says if you 7 already have a driver's license or an I.D. or one of 8 those acceptable forms of identification, then you 9 don't have to get another special voter I.D. 10 REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: But there 11 will be some percentage of people who currently pay 12 for a State I.D., right, that will realize that they 13 can forego the cost of that State I.D. by simply 14 registering to vote and then receive a -- a free 15 driver's license from DPS. Right? 16 REBECCA DAVIO: They would not be 17 able to receive a free driver's license. They would 18 be able to receive a free I.D. --19 REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: A free I.D. REBECCA DAVIO: -- if they hadn't 20 21 already registered to vote. They said it was for 22 voting purposes, yes, sir. 23 REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Right, right. 24 Even if 30 percent of people paying for 25 new or renewed I.D.s use this bill to get a free



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1 I.D., it seems like, to me, running the numbers, it 2 would -- it would cost the State at least 1.4 3 million, and that's 30 percent of people who are 4 either paying for anything -- a new I.D. or seeking 5 a renewal of an I.D. And that's just at 30 percent. 6 If it was 90 -- if the percentage was higher, it 7 would be up to 4.2 million. That's without even discussing the need. 8 9 That would not even include the influx of registered 10 voters who would need photo I.D. I mean, that's 11 just people who would be transfers from a State I.D. 12 to a free photo I.D. for purposes of voting. And, 13 again, using very conservative numbers, about 14 30 percent of that -- of that universe. So did 15 you -- did you collaborate on the fiscal note? 16 REBECCA DAVIO: Yes, sir. We -- we 17 looked at this bill -- not at the Committee 18 Substitute. We didn't prepare the fiscal estimate 19 for the Committee Substitute, but we did look at the 20 original bill. 21 REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: At the 22 underlying bill. 23 REBECCA DAVIO: Yes. 24 REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: And what was 25 your methodology to arrive at -- do you think that



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1 number is a good number? 2 REBECCA DAVIO: The \$2 million --3 it's approximately \$2 million that's in the current 4 fiscal note is just for the Secretary of State 5 costs. 6 REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Right. 7 REBECCA DAVIO: The Department of 8 Public Safety was unable to estimate how many people 9 might come in to get a voter I.D. 10 REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: That's 11 strange, because in -- in 2005, when Mary Denny 12 (phonetic) had a -- a photo I.D. bill, you all did 13 provide an estimate. 14 REBECCA DAVIO: I -- I think all the 15 top leadership in the Department and in the Driver's 16 License Division has changed. And, you know, when 17 we looked at this bill and we were just really 18 unable -- uncomfortable with trying to come up with 19 an estimate of how many people might take advantage 20 and come in and say that they wanted an I.D. for 21 free. 22 So we simply provided the information that 23 said, you know, here's the cost and here's the --24 the potential --25 REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: But there



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

```
1
         will be some cost, you imagine?
 2
                        REBECCA DAVIO: There may be, yes,
 3
         sir.
 4
                        REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA:
                                                 There may --
 5
                        REBECCA DAVIO:
                                         There may, yes,
 6
         sir --
 7
                        REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: -- be. You
 8
         want to hedge by saying may?
 9
                        REBECCA DAVIO:
                                         Yes, sir.
10
                        REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: All right.
11
         All right.
                    Okay. But to be clear, the cost to your
12
         agency are not in the fiscal note?
13
                   They're -- the fiscal note does not
14
         contemplate any cost of free I.D.s to your agency.
15
         Correct?
16
                        REBECCA DAVIO:
                                         That is correct, sir.
17
                        REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Okay.
                                                        Thank
18
         you.
19
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Any other
20
         questions, Members? Thank you.
21
                        REBECCA DAVIO:
                                         Thank you.
22
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: David Maxwell,
23
         Deputy Director of the Office of the Attorney
24
         General is here as a resource witness if anyone
25
         would like to bring him up.
```



1	Sure. Why not?
2	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Thank you,
3	Mr. Chairman.
4	DAVID MAXWELL: My name is David
5	Maxwell, Deputy Director of the Law Enforcement
6	Division of the Texas Office of Attorney General.
7	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Mr. Anchia?
8	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Thank you,
9	Mr. Chairman.
10	Mr. Maxwell, is it?
11	DAVID MAXWELL: Yes, it is.
12	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Thank you.
13	Thank you for testifying today.
14	The Attorney General's office is is
15	since 2006, I believe, spent lot of time
16	investigating voter fraud. Is that correct?
17	DAVID MAXWELL: Actually, the numbers
18	that I've compiled for you are from 2002.
19	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: From 2002
20	DAVID MAXWELL: To
21	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Perfect.
22	Perfect.
23	And it's been a priority of the Attorney
24	General's office. Correct?
25	DAVID MAXWELL: It is it is one of



```
1
         our priorities, yes, sir.
 2
                        REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA:
                                                 Okay.
                                                        There
 3
         are many different types of voter fraud. Is that
 4
         correct?
 5
                        DAVID MAXWELL:
                                        That's correct.
 6
                        REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Give me some
 7
         examples.
                        DAVID MAXWELL:
 8
                                        There is illegal
 9
                  There's voting harvesting. Illegal voting
10
         includes people who don't have the right to vote.
11
         They may not be a citizen. They may be voting
12
         because they're on felony probation or parole, those
13
         types of things.
14
                        REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Vote
15
         harvesting, which is primarily a mail-in ballot --
16
                        DAVID MAXWELL: A mail-in ballot --
17
                        REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: -- scheme --
18
                        DAVID MAXWELL:
                                        Yes, sir.
19
                        REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: There's also
20
         voter impersonation. Right?
21
                        DAVID MAXWELL: Yes, sir, there is.
22
                        REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: How does --
23
         how does someone impersonate a voter at the polling
24
         place?
25
                        DAVID MAXWELL: By pretending to be
```



```
1
         someone that they're not and going to vote and
 2
         taking the voter registration card and going -- and
 3
         voting that person's name.
 4
                        REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Since 2002,
 5
         how many cases of voter impersonation have you
 6
         investigated?
 7
                        DAVID MAXWELL: I'll -- I'll -- I'll
 8
         give you some examples.
                                   The --
 9
                        REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA:
10
                  I don't need any examples. Just a number.
         number.
11
                        DAVID MAXWELL: The ones that are
12
         adjudicated, we probably have about seven. And then
13
         we have ones that are not adjudicated, another five
14
         or six.
15
                        REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA:
                                                 Okay.
                                                        And
16
         out of the entire universe of voter fraud that
17
         you've investigated or in this case adjudicated,
18
         what's -- is -- is it the largest portion of voter
19
         fraud that you encounter?
20
                        DAVID MAXWELL:
                                        No, sir.
21
                        REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Would you
22
         say -- would you say there's substantially more in
23
         mail-in ballots?
24
                        DAVID MAXWELL: More in mail-in
25
         ballots.
```



1	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Okay.
2	DAVID MAXWELL: We have investigated
3	289 cases since 2002.
4	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: And I know
5	that we're trying to augment the integrity of the
6	voting system, and I take the author at her word
7	about wanting to increase integrity in the process.
8	But this bill doesn't include mail-in ballots, does
9	it?
10	DAVID MAXWELL: I'm not familiar
11	I'm not that familiar with the bill as far as what
12	it includes and what it doesn't.
13	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Well, I
14	believe it is not included in this bill.
15	DAVID MAXWELL: Okay.
16	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: How often
17	how often do people use multiple I.D.s, fake I.D.s?
18	DAVID MAXWELL: Well, that we have
19	documented, not that often. I mean, we we have
20	some cases here that that we have investigated,
21	but it doesn't comprise a large portion of our
22	investigation.
23	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Okay. And
24	maybe I didn't ask the question right. Let's
25	let's take off your voting hat, Mr. Maxwell, for a



```
1
         minute and just put on your -- your -- your
 2
         prosecutor hat.
 3
                        DAVID MAXWELL: Uh-huh.
 4
                        REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Do you ever
 5
         run across cases where people use fake Texas
 6
         driver's license to do any number of things --
 7
                        DAVID MAXWELL: Yes, sir.
 8
                        REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: -- including
 9
         drink?
10
                        DAVID MAXWELL:
                                         Yes, we do.
11
                        REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Okay.
12
         probably should -- should have asked this of DPS,
13
         how many thousands of fake driver's licenses they
14
         pick up every year, but I'll ask you.
15
                   Would -- would it be -- if -- if
16
         someone's -- is intent on doing voter impersonation,
17
         what would prevent them from taking a fake
18
         identification, a fake I.D. to go vote?
19
                        DAVID MAXWELL:
                                         Nothing.
20
                        REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA:
                                                 Okav. Okav.
21
                        DAVID MAXWELL:
                                         I mean, they can
22
         actually --
23
                        REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA:
24
         know, again, we're concerned about preserving the
25
         integrity of the process, but we're ignoring mail-in
```



1 ballots as a major source of voter fraud in this 2 bill and then creating a system that can be fairly 3 easily circumvented by the use of a fake I.D. 4 Have you -- have you ever run across the 5 case where a -- a non-citizen -- how many cases of 6 non-citizenry, which is I know is different than 7 voter impersonation, but how many cases of 8 non-citizen voting have you adjudicated? 9 DAVID MAXWELL: One that comes to 10 mind was in Calhoun County and --11 REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Okay. 12 you talk a little about the facts of that? 13 DAVID MAXWELL: Yes. That was a City 14 Council woman who was running for J.P. And she went 15 out and solicited people who were not U.S. citizens 16 to vote and convinced them that they had the right 17 to vote. REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: 18 Uh-huh. 19 DAVID MAXWELL: And actually during 20 the investigation many of them told her that they 21 couldn't vote, but she convinced them that they 22 could. 23 REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Right. 24 And the A.G., it's my understanding, 25 ensuring in that case did not pursue the



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1	non-citizens, because they didn't have the requisite
2	Mens Rea. Right?
3	DAVID MAXWELL: Right.
4	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Mens Rea is a
5	fancy legal term for mental state. Right?
6	DAVID MAXWELL: Yes.
7	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: And, instead,
8	you prosecuted the City Council person. Correct?
9	DAVID MAXWELL: That's correct.
10	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: And I know
11	that one comes to mind, because I think that's
12	the the most prominent case.
13	But is it is your view that we that
14	we have based on the investigations the Attorney
15	General's done that we have, I think it was said
16	earlier, hoards of non-citizens voting in our
17	elections?
18	DAVID MAXWELL: We have not
19	encountered that in our investigations.
20	REPRESENTATIVE ANCHIA: Thank you. I
21	appreciate your testimony today.
22	DAVID MAXWELL: Yes, sir.
23	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Yes,
24	sir.
25	When there's not a law requiring you to



1 show an I.D. to vote, does it make it difficult to 2 find fraud that way? 3 DAVID MAXWELL: Yes, sir. 4 UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: So it's 5 fair to say that being there aren't a large number 6 of cases you all are able to prosecute, it doesn't 7 necessarily guarantee -- there is no law requiring you to use an I.D. to vote, that in turn there are 8 9 no cases? 10 DAVID MAXWELL: That's correct. 11 UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: 12 you. 13 UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: And speaking 14 of fraudulent driver's licenses, I chose -- and we 15 can bring back up DPS if we need, but I chose to 16 pull my own driver's license out. And if I need to 17 bring DPS up again, I will. But do you know how many security measures 18 19 there are in the Texas driver's license now? 20 DAVID MAXWELL: No, I do not. 21 UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Okay. 22 I'll bring DPS back up for that. 23 But are you all seeing an increase or 24 decrease in fraudulent driver's licenses or is that 25 even your business?



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1	DAVID MAXWELL: Well, give you some
2	background on me. I spent 38 years with DPS.
3	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Right.
4	DAVID MAXWELL: And 25 years of that
5	as a Texas ranger.
6	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Sure.
7	DAVID MAXWELL: And, yes, we have
8	investigated those offenses. And matter of fact,
9	recently you probably realize we put some of the DPS
10	people in jail for selling fraudulent driver's
11	licenses.
12	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Right.
13	But what they were selling was actual Texas driver's
14	licenses.
15	DAVID MAXWELL: That's correct.
16	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: And
17	what I'm referring to is the ability to reproduce
18	this driver's license in my dorm room, my basement
19	at my home, you know, the back of my office. That
20	has become extraordinarily difficult, is it not?
21	DAVID MAXWELL: That's correct. It
22	is.
23	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Thank
24	you.
25	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Representative



1	Veasey?
2	REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: The people
3	that were arrested within DPS for selling the fake
4	driver's licenses, what did you all find find
5	that they were selling those licenses so people
6	could go and vote?
7	DAVID MAXWELL: They were selling the
8	license for various reasons. I wasn't involved in
9	that investigation, so I couldn't speak specifically
10	to those details, although
11	REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Does
12	DAVID MAXWELL: they were it
13	was done by the Rangers.
14	REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: As far as, I
15	guess, investigating voter impersonation, have is
16	it your understanding or or were you ever given
17	any directives or did you ever know of anyone that
18	was given any directives within the A.G.'s offices
19	to specifically go after and look for people that
20	were committing voter impersonation or any special
21	task force that were formed just to solely look for
22	voter impersonation?
23	DAVID MAXWELL: No. And if I could
24	just take a minute to tell you how we get our cases.
25	Our cases are referrals



1 REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Uh-huh. 2 DAVID MAXWELL: -- so we don't go 3 after -- we're not proactive in that we're going 4 after and looking for voter fraud. We get them one 5 of three ways. It can be an election judge or a 6 local official or a sheriff or someone like that who 7 refers it to us, and then we investigate it to see 8 if there's merit and continue with the investigation 9 that way. 10 The other referral is from the Secretary 11 of State. And that's where most of our referrals 12 come from. 13 And the third method is if you have two 14 citizens who give you sworn affidavits and it's --15 involves an election that covers more than one 16 county, then the law says that we shall investigate. 17 So those are the three methods by where we come on investigation and conduct these election 18 19 fraud investigations. So we're not proactively going out and seeking them. They're referred to us. 20 21 And as they're referred to us, we decide what the 22 merit is and conduct the investigation. 23 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Representative 24 Aliseda, do you have a question? 25 REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA:



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1	inconceivable to you an organization that was
2	interested in effecting an election could come into
3	a city and go to a homeless shelter, register a
4	bunch of individuals without identifying
5	indicating on their voter registration that they
6	don't have any identification, obtaining those voter
7	registration certificates and then passing them out
8	and going and voting?
9	DAVID MAXWELL: Yes, sir, that could
10	happen.
11	REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: That could
12	happen?
13	DAVID MAXWELL: Yes, sir.
14	REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: In fact,
15	that was some of the scenarios that the public heard
16	on national T.V. that was alleged to have occurred
17	in Las Vegas and other areas. Is that not correct?
18	DAVID MAXWELL: I'm not familiar with
19	that, but I'll take your word for it.
20	REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: And is it
21	you mentioned how the Attorney General gets
22	referrals to these particular cases.
23	It is a rare thing to have a a voter
24	fraud case referred to the Attorney General, is that
25	not right?



1	DAVID MAXWELL: A voter fraud case?
2	We don't have a great deal of them, as you can tell,
3	289 since 2002.
4	REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: Right. But
5	what it requires is either these two disinterested
6	citizens or it requires a district attorney or a
7	county attorney that is willing to request your
8	assistance, is that not right?
9	DAVID MAXWELL: Yes, sir. I I
10	think you're trying to make a point that we don't do
11	all of the voter fraud investigations.
12	REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: That's
13	right.
14	DAVID MAXWELL: And that's correct.
15	REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: And, in
16	fact, the reality is that most district attorneys or
17	county attorneys don't do it, either?
18	DAVID MAXWELL: That could be
19	correct.
20	REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: For
21	political reasons.
22	DAVID MAXWELL: Yes.
23	REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: I have no
24	further questions.
25	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Representative



1	Harless, do you have a question? Okay. Thank you.
2	Any any other questions?
3	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Just
4	one last follow-up, because I think we missed a
5	Representative Aliseda may have missed a step.
6	There's a way for a private citizen to
7	call the Secretary of State's office that would make
8	a complaint, correct, that would then get referred
9	to your office if they deem that it met the
10	DAVID MAXWELL: If it had
11	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: the
12	standards of an Election Code violation. Isn't that
13	right?
14	DAVID MAXWELL: Yes, sir. And that's
15	what I was saying.
16	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Yeah.
17	DAVID MAXWELL: And that's the
18	methodology whereby we get the referrals from the
19	Secretary of State.
20	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Yeah.
21	Okay.
22	DAVID MAXWELL: So
23	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Okay.
24	So it doesn't exclusively depend on a a D.A. or
25	county attorney sending a referral to you or asking



```
1
         you for help; a -- a private citizen can call the
 2
         Secretary of State's office and send them
 3
         information related to an Election Code violation --
 4
                        DAVID MAXWELL:
                                         That's correct.
 5
                        UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: -- that
 6
         then you would investigate. Right? Okay?
 7
                        DAVID MAXWELL: But the Secretary of
 8
         State has to first certify --
 9
                        UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE:
10
         right.
11
                        DAVID MAXWELL: -- that it meets
12
         their requirements before they make the referral.
13
                        UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE:
14
               I just wanted to make sure I wasn't missing a
15
         step.
16
                        DAVID MAXWELL:
                                         Okay.
17
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Yeah. And, again,
18
         it's kind of -- until there's a law requiring you to
19
         show an I.D., it's kind of hard to go after people
20
         who aren't voting as themselves. Correct?
21
                        DAVID MAXWELL: That's correct.
22
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Representative
23
         Aliseda, do you have a question -- I'm sorry,
24
         Representative Harless?
25
                        REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: And what I
```



1	thought he was asking, there's a lot of local
2	jurisdictions that investigate these voter frauds
3	that may not get referred to the Secretary of State,
4	isn't that correct?
5	DAVID MAXWELL: Well, that was my
6	experience as a Ranger, yes. I mean, most of the
7	counties that I worked in, they were worked locally
8	by them or or the Texas Rangers.
9	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Okay.
10	DAVID MAXWELL: Uh-huh.
11	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Thank you.
12	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: How
13	would you go about investigating an allegation of
14	voter impersonation, just out of curiosity?
15	DAVID MAXWELL: It depends on what
16	you have to start with.
17	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Well
18	DAVID MAXWELL: It's like any other
19	investigation
20	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: you
21	have an election judge that complains, "Hey, we had
22	an individual with purple hair come in and vote
23	twice". How are you going to find that individual?
24	DAVID MAXWELL: If you have no more
25	information than that, it's going to be difficult.



1 Some of the cases that we've had that 2 we've investigated, the election judge knew the 3 people who were involved and knew that they voted 4 twice. And one was obviously voting in a name that 5 was not his. But you have to have, obviously, more 6 knowledge than that, because it's a needle in a 7 haystack. UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: 8 Well, 9 we have a state that's grown, I don't know, what, 10 faster than any other state in the union. We are 11 not going to have situations where election judges 12 or poll workers necessarily know the individuals 13 that are coming in to vote, especially in the big 14 cities, is that not right? 15 DAVID MAXWELL: That's correct. 16 I started with DPS in '72, there were 12 million 17 people in Texas. And now we have 23 --UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: 25, I 18 19 thought. 20 DAVID MAXWELL: -- 24 million people. 21 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: It's not important 22 to this hearing. Any other questions, Members? 23 Thank you. 24 We're going to attempt now -- I think we 25 have Justin Levitt, the professor at University of



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

```
1
         Loyola Law School in California, Los Angeles, one of
 2
         the expert witnesses. We're -- we're attempting to
 3
         pull him up and give him his opportunity.
 4
                        JUSTIN LEVITT:
                                         (Inaudible).
 5
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Let's see. Can
 6
         we --
 7
                        JUSTIN LEVITT: I'm not sure if you
 8
         can see or hear me.
 9
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Well, we can hear
10
         you --
11
                        JUSTIN LEVITT:
                                         (Inaudible).
12
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Professor Levitt,
13
         we can hear you, but we have to also be able to see
14
         you and you see us. And we're -- we're solving that
15
         problem right now if you would just bear with us one
16
         moment.
17
                        JUSTIN LEVITT:
                                         (Inaudible).
18
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Are you able to see
19
         us?
20
                        JUSTIN LEVITT: I am unable [sic] to
21
         see you at this time.
22
                        CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Very good.
23
         soon we'll be able to see you.
24
                   Great. We see you now. I hope we didn't
25
         make you late for your class and --
```



JUSTIN LEVITT: No. And the students quite appreciated your (inaudible).

CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Great. And I appreciate your showing back up.

Justin Levitt, Professor, Loyola Law School, Associate Professor of Law, constitutional law and election law is here by Skype to testify against Committee Substitute Senate Bill 14.

Professor, you'll be given ten minutes without interruption to give your testimony, and then the Members of the Committee may have questions to follow up with that. So we appreciate your coming back on with us. And your ten minutes begins now.

JUSTIN LEVITT: Thank you very much, Mr. Chair, Members of the Committee. I greatly appreciate your flexibility with the technology today and with my schedule and thank you very, very much for the opportunity to testify here today.

As you mentioned, my name is Justin

Levitt. I'm Associate Professor of -- at Loyola Law

School in Los Angeles. I last had the privilege of

appearing before this committee a few years ago when

I was then counsel with a non-partisan (inaudible)

Center For Justice (inaudible) School of Law. My



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

work there grounded election policies in a hard-nosed look at the available evidence behind the policies in question and prided myself on in-depth factual research and careful cost benefit analysis.

I was last before you two years ago (inaudible) job and my role have changed, but I would suggest to you that the facts have not.

As I understand it, this hearing is about new legislation (inaudible) to restrict the ways in which voters can identify themselves when they appear (inaudible) at the polling place. And I understand you've had extensive testimony already today, and I thank you very much for adding me onto that list. Much of that testimony, I suspect, has addressed the potential costs of this litigation, both in terms of physical costs, human costs including costs relevant to litigation or review under Section 5 of the Voting Rights Act.

I would like to focus instead of that on what, at least in my view, are the negligible benefits of the policy that you're considering; in particular, legislation requiring certain restrictive forms of identification at the polls when you show up to vote is designed to get (inaudible) and can only possibly get at only one



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

particular problem. The only thing that restrictive I.D. rules could possibly target is impersonation, attempting or pretending to be someone else when you show up at the polls.

In my work at (inaudible) I reviewed and documented (inaudible) of all kinds of fraud and some I validated. Some, in fact, were true. More often, the allegations were inaccurate, and we managed to do the research (inaudible). In the course of this research what was notable to me and which we've carefully documented is that impersonation fraud stands out. It's the only sort of fraud that identification rules at the polls could possibly prevent. And what stands out about it is how very rare it appears to be.

I know that some will claim that -- that my work both published and before you today denies that fraud exists. And I want to be very, very clear. Some types of fraud are really out there for real, for sure, more than many people believe, because allegations are often (inaudible) sensational or sloppy and confuse clerical error with real fraud. But sadly, people still do buy votes, they still do pressure voters in nursing homes. They still do commit fraud using absentee



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

ballots. They still do occasionally submit fake or fraudulent registration forms -- voter registration forms. All of that still occasionally happens.

What they don't appear to do with any sort of frequency is commit the sort of fraud that restrictive I.D. rules can fix. At the end of the day, there are (inaudible) substantiated cases, but really just a tiny, tiny handful out of hundreds of millions of ballots. Americans are struck and killed by lightening far more often.

And some say -- and I think I believe I heard an official just before I got on mentioning part of this, that the few reports may be because this sort of fraud is hard to find or hard to prosecute. The comparison is often made to littering, that you don't know who does it and, therefore, it's hard to know when it happens.

But for impersonation fraud -- and there will often be eye witnesses; there will often be a victim that - that is, whoever's being impersonated - and there will always be a paper trail. That is, there is always the signature registered in the poll book at the very least. And if you want to influence an election, if you're out to steal an election, you need a conspiracy of many



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

impersonators, which means many persons for someone to cry foul. If this sort of fraud is, in fact, like littering, what we're hearing is lots and lots of complaints, but nobody's actually found garbage in the streets.

on. At the federal, state, local law enforcement have all had a fair amount of resources devoted to finding and rooting out fraud and have made voter fraud in particular a priority to track, a very pensive priority for some to track with millions of taxpayer dollars spent on the hunt. And that's not to mention the private entities that would gladly spread word if there were any sort of fire behind the smoke.

This fraud happened (inaudible) with any sort of frequency. If people actually impersonated others at the polling place with any sort of frequency, if there were conspiracies to steal votes or steal elections, then over the last decade the phones should have been ringing off of the hook. But, instead, there was apparently (inaudible). Every year there are far more reports of UFO sightings then there are the sort of fraud that restrictive I.D. rules could possibly prevent.



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

The exceptionally rare phenomenon has been used, it seems, to drive policy. And it seems to create more problems than it solves. And restrictive I.D. rules regrettably seem like a good example of this. Though they don't solve real problems with people impersonating others to seal an election or steal votes, they do create (inaudible). You start shutting down the ways people can prove they are who they say they are, then you start preventing the eligible people out of the process.

Most eligible citizens, we know, have I.D., even the sort of I.D. that you're asking. But many do not. And available research shows that those without are disproportionately elderly and minority citizens.

The last time I had a privilege to testify before you, I waited in line at the airport right behind a senior citizen without a photo I.D. I don't know if she was over 70 or not. It's certainly not polite of me to ask. But she was able, even without in I.D., to get on a plane just fine. And I believe that her destination was Texas. I would hope that if she landed and she were, in fact, a resident and citizen and otherwise eligible, that even without a photo I.D. she would have been



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

able to express her right to vote.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Making things more difficult at the polls also increases the burden or potential burden on poll workers and on election officials. It increases the chance of confusion for both people that don't have I.D. with them or don't know how [sic] to come back later. It increases the chance of provisional ballots, which are, themselves, an expense. And all of this doesn't make voters actually feel any safer. The best research that I've seen so far on this is an article published in the Harvard Law Review. It looks for a significant correlation between citizens who are asked to show photo I.D., whether they felt protected against voter fraud, and it found no correlation at all. Showing extra I.D. doesn't make the public anymore confident that the elections are free from fraud.

This research shows, to me, that there's not a lot to gain from this new restrictive I.D. law that you all are considering.

Contrary, I'll say, to the bill analysis that I've seen, Texas does, in fact, already have several safeguards to make sure voters are who they say they are. One of them comes from formal law.

New voters will be checked against other government



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

systems when they register, and if information doesn't match they'll be asked to show a document from an inclusive list designed to make sure that no eligible voter gets sent home, but that people are asked to show that they are who they say they are. And you find this requirement in Section 13.072 of the current Texas statutes, you find it in Election Advisory 2010-11. These are up-to-date statutes and they're doing the job.

Texas law already demands a document from that very same list. If you don't have your original registration certificate in hand when you get to the polls and if for any reason you fake your way through any of these requirements, it costs you \$10,000 and ten years in prison for just one vote. That's, to me, the best explanation for why the rules don't yield very much. It's also the best explanation for why impersonation fraud at the polls is just so rare.

Given this safeguard that's already in place, the kind of fraud that you're talking about doesn't make any sense. The costs of impersonating someone at the polls far outweigh the benefits. And I'll suggest to you that that may well be the sale for the law you're considering today.



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1 I thank you very much for your time and 2 for the opportunity for me to present this testimony 3 and -- and the research behind it substantially 4 similar to the research I submitted to you all two 5 years ago when you were considering this topic then. 6 I'm more than happy to answer any 7 questions that you may have. And, again, I greatly 8 appreciate your accommodating both me and my 9 students this afternoon. 10 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Thank you. 11 Appreciate your patience. 12 Are there any questions, Members? 13 Representative Aliseda. Professor, Representative 14 Aliseda has a question. 15 REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: We've had a 16 lot of testimony today on just how showing an I.D. 17 is -- is an aspect of every day modern Americans' 18 life. Would you agree with that, that -- that --19 JUSTIN LEVITT: (inaudible) -- I'm 20 sorry, Representative. 21 REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: -- that --22 that you practically can't do anything in this 23 society without showing an I.D. Is that correct? 24 JUSTIN LEVITT: I would -- I would 25 agree that there are many, many ways in which



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

```
1
         showing an I.D. in lots of places makes life easier.
 2
         I actually think that most places in the private
 3
         sector, private sector companies that want as many
 4
         customers as possible may have an initial request to
 5
         show an I.D., but also have safeguards to allow you
 6
         to function even without one. I don't think anybody
 7
         would argue -- at least nobody that I know, credibly
         would argue that it's not easier to do a whole bunch
 8
 9
         of things in daily life without an I.D.
10
                        REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA:
                                                  But you --
11
                        JUSTIN LEVITT: I suspect --
12
                        REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: -- you
13
         wouldn't --
14
                        JUSTIN LEVITT: -- (inaudible).
15
                        REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: -- you
16
         wouldn't find it surprising that Americans expect to
17
         show an I.D. to vote, at least the people that we've
18
         heard testifying today?
19
                        JUSTIN LEVITT:
                                         I think it's not
20
         surprising at all to find that maybe even 90,
21
         95 percent of Americans, at least registered,
22
         active, eligible voters, expect to show an I.D. in
         all kind of circumstances. I think few of those are
23
24
         constitutional arrays [sic]. And I think it even
25
         more important that the 5 percent who manage,
```



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1 although they struggle, they manage to get by in 2 other arenas of life even without I.D. have at least 3 the right to exercise the fundamental value. 4 REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: You would 5 agree with me that showing an I.D. creates a 6 psychological feeling that you're doing something 7 that's important to safeguard whatever it is you're trying to do? 8 9 JUSTIN LEVITT: I'd agree that that's 10 conventional knowledge for sure. The (inaudible) 11 that was wisdom, the one study that I mentioned 12 again published in the Harvard Law Review which 13 (inaudible) seriously has some (inaudible) 14 surprising --15 UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: 16 (Inaudible) -- for many people --17 UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: 18 (Inaudible). 19 JUSTIN LEVITT: -- doubt on how far 20 that goes. That is, it seems like it makes 21 commonsense for that to create a psychological sense 22 of security. But if you actually ask people how 23 confident they feel in their elections, the fact 24 that people have been asked to show an I.D. or not 25 actually doesn't make any difference. It turns out



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

that if you feel confident in elections, you're about as confident whether you're asked to show I.D. or not. If you think they've been stolen, you think they've been stolen whether you're asked to show I.D. or not.

I -- I agree it seems to make sense according to conventional wisdom, but when you look at the numbers it's simply not what the numbers have shown, that I've seen.

REPRESENTATIVE ALISEDA: Thank you. No further questions. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Professor, our Vice Chairman, Mr. Veasey, would like to ask some questions.

REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: I just wanted to ask you, how -- how -- how can State Legislators come up with plans to deal with this type of legislation when -- when there are concerns on -- you know, from -- from different groups and, you know, different constituencies? Like one group that we heard today was pretty much overwhelmingly concerned -- you know, concerned about integrity of the process and, you know, making sure that fraudulent votes or voter impersonation doesn't cancel out legitimate votes. And then you have



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

other folks, you know, that have -- you know, that are concerned about people being able to have equal access to -- to the polls. And you know, in my district, I particularly hear from people, you know, that -- you know, that are -- that -- you know, let's say they're 60 years old; they're baby boomers. So they came of age in the civil rights movement. And so they still have very vivid memories and views of Dr. King and people marching. And that constituency is very concerned about the -- you know, people having equal access to the polls.

So how can -- what can State Legislators

So how can -- what can State Legislators do to address both people's concerns, so it doesn't seem like a one-sided deal as -- as being, you know, rammed down one or the other's, you know, side?

JUSTIN LEVITT: Mr. Vice Chairman, I certainly appreciate the question. And I don't envy you the task that you have here or elsewhere in reconciling a whole bunch of different objectives.

I think that would -- from what I've seen, that the most steady source of (inaudible) and the easiest way to ensure that your constituents have confidence that you're legislating in the public interest is to carefully weigh out as carefully as you can the costs and the benefits of any



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

legislation based on actual tangible evidence. And the more that you do that, the more rooted you feel that you're addressing problems that need to be addressed with solutions that actually don't cause more damage than you're trying to stave off.

And I'll add to that, whenever you're talking about election legislation, people feel very strongly indeed for very, very good reasons. I think it's always important to consider election legislation, the need to keep in mind not just the majority, but the safeguards that every eligible American citizen — their capacity to pass a vote effective. I think that it is really important that this, among all constitutional rights, be protected.

And that means exactly as you've said, maintaining the process against fraud, which -- to make sure it's full of integrity and make sure people's votes are counted without shutting out those who (inaudible) want to participate and are eligible to participate.

I'll suggest to you that the Texas Code as it stands does a pretty good job of making sure that fraud -- this -- this type of fraud showing up, pretending to be someone else, doesn't enter the system. And we think in order to change that we



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1	need some pretty we'd want some pretty solid
2	facts showing you that you were getting more out of
3	the legislation to change the system than the cost
4	that you put into it.
5	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Okay. Professor,
6	I does anyone have any questions? Members?
7	Professor, we appreciate your time and
8	oh, Representative Anchia
9	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE:
LO	(Inaudible).
L1	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Okay. Justin,
L2	again, you can see us currently
L3	JUSTIN LEVITT: Yes, I can
L 4	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: and we can see
L5	you. Okay. Professor, we appreciate you coming
L 6	back online and appreciate your time today.
L 7	JUSTIN LEVITT: Thank you very much,
L 8	Mr. Chair
L 9	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Thank you
20	JUSTIN LEVITT: (inaudible) thank
21	all the Members for for being for weighing
22	this issue.
23	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Absolutely. Thank
24	you very much. Thank you.
25	Is there anyone else here wishing to



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1	testify on, for or against the Committee Substitute
2	to Senate Bill 14?
3	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Can I
4	make a comment before we close?
5	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Yeah, yeah.
6	With that being the end of our testimony,
7	the Chair will call on Representative Harless to
8	comment out Committee Substitute to Senate Bill 14
9	and and we'll allow Representative Anchia to have
10	a comment after that.
11	REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY:
12	Representative Veasey.
13	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Veasey. I'm sorry.
14	Veasey.
15	UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE:
16	(Inaudible) that happened some.
17	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: You all need some
18	I.D.s. You all need some I.D.s or something.
19	REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: I didn't
20	think I was handsome. That made my day. Thank you.
21	That made my day.
22	REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: So you want
23	me to close and then you'll make a comment?
24	REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Yeah.
25	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: I want you to



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

close.

REPRESENTATIVE HARLESS: Okay. Okay. I will try and keep this short, because I know all of you are tired. The House Committee on Elections Interim Report to the 82nd Legislature concluded Charge 3 on the prevalence of fraud in Texas elections by stating the fact that states across the nation are passing voter I.D. laws is proof enough that public concern regarding the potential of voter fraud.

While there is and perhaps will always be disagreement regarding the extent of fraud, the lack of public confidence in our voting system cannot be questioned.

Voter I.D. laws have been upheld by the Supreme Court in the Indiana photo I.D. law and the Department of Justice in the Georgia photo law.

We've heard more stories today about potential fraud. And there is more media stories reported on or about voter fraud in Texas that would kill more trees than I want to take responsibility for by presenting those today.

People who lack confidence in the election system show -- show no reason to show up and vote.

It is an -- imperative that we protect the public's



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

confidence in the election by deterring and detecting fraud.

This bill is in compliance with the U.S.

Supreme Court decision which upheld that the Indiana voter I.D. legislation, because it deters and detects fraud, it protects the public confidence in election. It counts only eligible voters vote and also complies with the Supreme Court decision, because it offsets the burden on voters by providing access to free photo I.D., allows for provisional and absentee ballots, ensures that obtaining a photo I.D. is no more burdensome or inconvenient than the un -- the usual act of voting, and provides exceptions for the elderly, disabled and indigent voters.

Elections are too important to leave unprotected, not to mention the perception of possible fraud continues to contribute to low confidence in our election system. Clear evidence that people have lost faith in the integrity of the ballot box and the inability to prove any unreasonable burden to any legal voter is all -- that -- all these states that have upheld these laws.

This legislation is not a radical concept.



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1 SB-14 is just asking that every voter verify you --2 you are who say you are before you cast a vote. 3 I appreciate your time and attention, and 4 ask that we quickly and favorably vote SB-14 out of 5 committee. And I close. 6 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Thank you. 7 Representative Veasey. 8 REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Well, hold on 9 a second. 10 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Yeah, he's going to 11 talk on the bill. Go ahead. 12 REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: Is that okay? 13 CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Yeah. Absolutely. 14 REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: I wanted to 15 say that I think that every one of us, Democrat, 16 Republican, we want to make sure that we have fair 17 elections. We want to make sure that only those who 18 go and vote in person -- we want to make sure that 19 it is the actual person who has the voter 20 registration card or whose name is on the bill or 21 whose photo is on the I.D. already, we want -- all 22 of us want to ensure that that -- that that actual 23 person is voting and not -- someone is not 24 committing voter impersonation and voting for them. 25 We also want to make sure that -- that we



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

don't put hurdles in front of people. And -- and, you know, and we also want to make sure that before we implement any new laws that there's actual proof of what is being alleged that is going on.

And you know, once again, I know there were all sort of excuses as to why voter impersonation could not be proved, but over and over and over again we asked people to offer up, you know, evidence. And there was never any.

I was really disturbed and I shared the E-mail with you earlier about -- about the Tarrant County Democrat -- Tarrant County Republican party and then [sic] sent out an E-mail saying that there's voter fraud going on in these Democratic precincts and we need people to go over there, but be careful because all those precincts are in bad neighborhoods.

And then I saw this sign that a group called the King Street Patriots put out, and that's not a -- that's not a rap group. That is some sort of political organization. And they actually -- you can't see it from here, but they actually photo-shopped a sign onto an African American woman that said, "I only got to vote once."

Now, what I'll do -- and I was going to



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1 show it at the end of committee, but I'm not going 2 to do it now. But I'll -- I'll invite 3 you -- I think that's the same thing. 4 UNIDENTIFIED REPRESENTATIVE: Yeah. REPRESENTATIVE VEASEY: But I'll 5 6 invite you to come up afterwards. I have a video 7 from a -- a picture that came -- that came out of 8 1915. The picture is about to be 100 years old in a 9 The name of the movie is "Birth Of A 10 Nation". And "Birth Of A Nation" was a very popular 11 film. As matter of fact, the film was the top 12 grossing Hollywood film for over 20 years until Snow 13 White And The Seven Dwarfs displaced it and more and 14 more people started getting into talkies. 15 that's a term for movies with sound. And the -the -- the movie has several different themes to it 16 17 that -- and most of the things in there we would 18 find -- we would think that it was absolutely 19 ridiculous that people thought this way. But just 20 like everyone has said that -- that -- who's in 21 support of the voter I.D. bill has said that 22 85 percent of the people in this country are for 23 voter -- some form of voter I.D. 85 percent of the 24 people thought all the things in this movie were 25 real about African Americans. And one of the things



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

in -- in the movie that it deals with is voter fraud and that African Americans are -- are -- are -- newly-freed blacks are just -- are just committing voter impersonation in mass droves. So this is nothing new.

And it's amazing to me that people would, you know, actually think that after being in shackles and living in shanties that -- that you would think that people would actually want to commit voter fraud after just being freed. But that's what people thought.

And I think it's very dangerous to make allegations that there's all this voter fraud and voter impersonation going on.

When this movie came out in 1915, the Ku Klux Klan had -- right before this movie came out in 1915 in January, the Ku Klux Klan had a membership of zero. It had a membership of zero since it was formed by a group of former Confederate soldiers. It had disbanded after reconstruction and -- and the organization was defunct. Before all these allegations of voter impersonation and all these -- and all these other things that were stirred up in this movie, Klan membership swelled to over 400,000. And -- and -- and people believed these allegations



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

of voter impersonation and many other things so much that the movie was actually viewed in the White House, and President Woodrow Wilson said that — that, "It's like writing history in lightening." If you saw the movie you would think it was like writing history in molasses, because it's an old movie and it tends to want to make the point a lot slower. But the President of the United States, after viewing it in the White House, said, "It's like writing history in lightening. And my only regret is that it is all so terribly true."

And people believed these things with absolutely no proof whatsoever. They were basically just sort of wild rumors about voting irregularities and voter impersonation, and people believed it.

And what happened after the movie came out was that people and -- and particularly in southern states tried to enforce sort -- sort of unwritten codes about who would vote, literacy tests, and they put barriers in front of people, because people believed the things that were said in here. And people just believed wild rumors. And so what I don't want to, you know, get into and what I don't want to -- to pass is anything that is just based just on things that people have heard. There's just way too much



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

of that.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

There is too much of it going on on the Internet. We have a considerable number of people in this State that think the President of the United States wasn't even born in America. They think that his birth certificate is -- is fake, even -- despite all the proof that's been given. And it's a significant number. It's not just a small minority. It would be nice if it was just occurring, you know, in 0.5 percent of the people, but it's actually a significant number of people in our State. And I think that by passing a bill that -- that -- that perpetuates this sort of thought and these sorts of wild rumors is not good. And, you know, I -- I think that is -- that it's really fortunate we made the progress that we have in this country and that the times in the movie -- that, most of them, we would think are absolutely silly. But it's amazing that after -- over -- it's been well over 100 years since reconstruction that people still have this sort of suspicion of certain people that are voting illegally or are voting -- or -- or impersonating voters.

And so if you want to stay afterward and -- and look at the scene of the movie with me,



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

I'll invi	te you to. There is a sign about voter
impersona	tion in the movie, and I'll invite you to
come up a	fterwards. But but I think that we're
all ready	to conclude now, because it's been a busy
day. All	of us have so many things to do with
various c	committee hearings, and I appreciate
everyone	coming to testify. I know that if you're
for this	bill or against it that you feel strongly
about it.	And I appreciate you taking time out of
your busy	day to take time to come to the Capitol
and parti	cipate in democracy. Thank you.
	CHAIRMAN BONNEN: Thank you, Vice
President	Veasey.
	At this time the Chair withdraws the
Substitut	e to Senate Bill 14 and leaves Senates Bill
14 pendin	ng before the Committee.
	Is there any further business that anyone
would lik	te to discuss or needs to be discussed?
	Hearing none, the House Select Committee
On Voter	Identification And Voter Fraud is now
adjourned	subject to call of the Chair.
	Thank you, Members.



Toll Free: 800.211.DEP0 Facsimile: 512.328.8139

```
1
         IN THE COUNTY OF TRAVIS
 2
         STATE OF TEXAS
 3
                   I, Rhonda Howard, Certified Shorthand
 4
         Reporter in and for the State of Texas, hereby
 5
         certify to the following:
 6
                   That the CD entitled, "Texas House of
 7
         Representatives 82nd Legislature, Select Committee
         on Voter Identification and Voter Fraud, March 1,
 8
 9
         2011" was transcribed at the request of Anne Wilson,
10
         Attorney General's Office, 209 W. 14th Street, 8th
         Floor, Austin, Texas 78701, (512) 475-4163, and the
11
12
         amount due is $
13
                   That the aforementioned CD was transcribed
14
         to the best of my ability to hear and understand the
15
         CD;
16
                   That the transcript was submitted by
17
         E-trans on April , 2012, to Anne Wilson, Attorney
18
         Generals' Office, 209 W. 14th Street, 8th Floor,
19
         Austin, Texas 78701, (512) 475-4163;
20
                   I further certify that I am neither
21
         counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the
22
         parties or attorneys in the action in which this
         proceeding was taken, and further that I am not
23
24
         financially or otherwise interested in the outcome
25
         of the action.
```



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

1 Certified to by me, this 12th day of 2 April, 2012. 3 4 5 6 RHONDA HOWARD, Texas CSR No. Expiration Date 12/31/12 7 FIRM REGISTRATION NO: 283 ESQUIRE DEPOSITION SERVICES 8 100 Congress, Suite 2000 Austin, Texas 78701 (512) 328-5557 9 10 11 Job No. 330036RH 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

A	175 : 8	350 : 22	239:9	advising
	accept	activities	316:10	216:4
ability	241:4 252:25	187:18 242:5	342:15	Advisory
331:17	acceptable	acts	354:4	348:8
366:14	177:7 183:6	242:22	addresses	advocacy
able	319:8	actual	232:23	176:21
169:10	accepting	331:13 354:1	267:21	186:23
177:15	182:6 203:11	359:19,22	274:25	187:3 232:6
179:8 181:1	259:4	360:3	301:20	Affairs
187:24	access	add	302:9,12	184:10 294:2
193:14	177:15	190:12	addressing	affect
215:11	189:13	196:18	272:12 354:3	235:12
249:11	353:3,11	222:2 237:3	adequate	317:25
275:2,14	358:10	237:11	252:7,18	affidavit
276:19	accommoda	253:11,16	adjourned	179:15 221:3
306:13	349:8	308:8 310:4	365:21	227:10,12
317:16	account	354:6	adjudicated	262:25
318:23	269:18 270:8	added	325:12,13,17	301:5
319:17,18 330:6	accurate	190:3,9	328:8	310:19,25
	208:1	226:15	administer	310:19,23
340:13,18 340:23	accusing	adding	293 : 5	312:3,9,15
340:23	212:25 213:5	236:18	administr	313:1,6
347:1 353:2		307:16	191:23	affidavits
abridging	acknowledge 211:7	342:13	210:25	226:21 227:4
218:1	ACLU	additional	293:25	227:5
absence		190:3,9,12	296:15	312:18
218:13 269:3	251:12,19 act	236:9,11,17	administr	333:14
absentee	187:4 189:2	237:4,16	168:8 211:4	affirmation
227:25	190:12,21	238:1,1	212:3	193:4
269:24	190:12,21	296:23	admirable	affluent
270:4	216:21	303:24	268:5	247:18
343:25	210.21	address	admit	aforement
358:11	237:19	172:9 188:4	239:1	366:13
absolutely	245:10	188:4 193:5	ads	afraid
187:10	277:23	205:24,25	278:12	242:20
207:16	295:17	216:14	279:25	African
223:5 254:9	296:16,16	239:14	adults	195:22
272:18	296:22	252:1 254:4	174:21	211:16,18
355:23	303:3	255:9	advantage	212:24
359:13	307:21	267:23	321:19	238:13
361:18	342:18	274 : 21	advertise	247:4,13
363:13	358:13	298:24	204:12	249:5
364:18	action	314:22	204.12	360:23
absorbed	237:18	315 : 15	advertising	361:25
301:11	366:22,25	316:9	235:5 278:9	362:2
abundantly	active	353 : 13	280:4,9,11	afternoon
242:16	170:7,12	addressed	advice	163:18
abuse	319:1	186:11 236:1	224:15	171:17
			224.17	-//



Toll Free: 800.211.DEP0 Facsimile: 512.328.8139

March 1, 2011

369

186:25	ahead	352:10	187:4 189:2	217:7,18
251:15,17	165:24	allaying	190:11,21	219:24
254:10	192:17	220:19	190:11,21	222:3 223:7
259:23	294:17		198:12,16	223:9,15,15
260:6 277:6	359:11	allegation 338:13	190:12,10	223:3,13,13
316:24	aired		277:23	225:5 226:3
349:9	280:22 305:7	allegations	295:17	226:6
afterward		211:17 343:8	296:15,22	307:24
364:24	airport	343:21	364:5	309:4 342:4
age	346:17	362:13,22	American	347:21
169:11 170:7	aisle	362:25	195:22,23,24	Anchia
180:17,17	204:3 275:23	alleged	197:10	281:2,3
263:24	Alan	334:16 360:4	211:16,17	297:10
265:24	162:5 181:6	alleviated	211:10,17	305:17,18
292:25	181:8,8	194:11	212:24	305:17,10
293:6 353:7	alien	allow	215:25	306:5,15,18
	241:24	167:22	238:14	306:3,13,18
agency	aliens	184:24	247:4,14	300.22,24
190:22	241:23	200:7,7,8	267:17	308:6,15,19
322:12,14	Aliseda	212:7 260:8	268:9,15,18	309:12,18
agency's	180:8 191:11	294:13	270:18	309:12,10
203:18	191:12	304:14	354:12	310:2,18
agent	192:2,8	350:5 356:9	360:23	311:5,10,13
194:22	206:24,25	allowed	Americans	311:19
aggravated	207:6 224:2	164:7,10	238:14,15	312:1,5,8
311:1	224:3,9	182:6,20	246:10	312:17,57,6
ago	240:10,12	allowing	249:5 344:9	312:13,10
163:21 164:8	240:15,19	183:6 194:14	349:17	313:13,16
168:3	241:21	198:10	350:16,21	313:23
172:25	243:3,16	232:4	361:25	314:4,8,18
173:8	271:25	allows	362:2	314:23
275:21	272:1	179:6 245:25	Amigos	315:3,6,19
314:1,10	302:23,24	268:24	186:19	315:22
341:23	303:17	293:23	amount	317:4,5,8
342:5 349:5	304:12,19	310:23	235:9,22	317:10,18
agree	304:23	358:10	252:17	318:8,11,15
235:8,24 262:11	305:8,14	alluded	267:18	319:4,10,19
	333:24,25	237:15	297:22	319:23
270:25 272:2	334:11,14 334:20	alongside	317:23	320:21,24
283:12	334:20	187:25	345:8	321:6,10,25
349:18,25	335:4,12,15	alternate	366 : 12	322:4,7,10
351:5,9	336:5	181:13 260:2	amounts	322:17
352:6	337:23	alternative	268:14	323:2,7,8
agreed	349:13,14	307:15 313:3	300:11	323:12 , 19
260:11	349:15,21	amazing	318:20	323:21
269:21	350:10,12	362:6 364:18	anal	324:2,6,14
agreement	350:10,12	amendments	182:2	324:17 , 19
202:20	351:4	198:20	analysis	324:22
202.20		America		
	•	•	·	•



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

March 1, 2011

370

005 4 0 15			1 10	Ι
325:4,9,15	288:1,12,20	211:6 281:14	300:18	approached
325:21	288:24	281:19	342:11	173:8
326:1,4,13	289:6,22	295:8	344:4	appropriate
326:16,23	290:1,15	annually	appearing	189:17 265:2
327:4,8,11	291:6,19,24	189:1	341:23	279:4
327:20,23	292:7,14	answer	appears	283:19
328:11,18	293:7,20	173:25 201:1	343:15	appropriated
328:23	294:5,21	216:18	appease	296:7,22
329:4,7,10	295:1,13,19	222:9	194:16	appropria
329:20 355:8 356:9	296:1,9,17 296:25	239:20	applicable	204:22
Andrew	297:6,15,18	243:7 349:6	173:19	appropria
	297:0,13,10	answered	applied	204:20
162:7 192:25		286:20,23	174:10	approxima
193:8,8	298:2,7,12 298:19	answers	256:22	233:22 321:3
anecdotal	298:19	178:13	272 : 17	approximates
269:9	300:6,14	anticipate	appreciate	236:20
anecdotes	300:6,14	190:10	169:15 180:6	April
185:1	302:18,21	216:25	180:9 181:5	161:15
Angeles	303:2 304:3	Antonio	198:21	366 : 17
213:22 340:1	303.2 304.3	206:17	205 : 25	367 : 2
341:22	305:1 306:3	279:24	210:2,10	area
Angelo	306:8,17,20	anybody	215:5,17	182:23
210:22	306:23	350 : 6	222:12	184:13
Anita	307:3 308:1	anymore	228:9,11	196:23
162:4 176:12	308:14,18	172:18 191:6	229:2 232:2	198:11
176:19,20	309:3,14,20	196:3	256:15	252 : 19
179:2,9,12	309:25	231:20	263:16,18	314:21
179:18	310:6 311:4	347 : 16	286:24	areas
Ann	311:8,12,18	anyway	305:22,23	187:22 189:8
162:11,14	311:25	175:19 247:3	316:16	269:16
253:24	312:4,7,10	294:25	329:21	296:11
254:2,2	312:15,17	AO	341:4,12,17	334:17
255:18	312:22	184:11	349:8,11 353:17	arenas
256:1,8	313:9,14,20	apart	353:17 355:7,15,16	351 : 2
277:2,6,6	314:3,7,13	276 : 7	359:3 365:6	argue
277:21	314:20	apologize	365:9	350:7,8
279:8,11,14	315:2,5,7	166:17	appreciated	argued
279:17	315:21	186:14		248:18
280:2,6,12	316:8,14	198:18	196:21	argument
280:15,19 282:5,8,14	Anne	208:11	263:12	174:17 199:9
282:3,8,14	366:9,17	214:20	341:2	272 : 17
282:19	announcem	215:7	approach 181:19 203:3	arm
284:24	235:5,15	265:23		260:16
285:10,20	304:14	266:5	307:15,25	arose
285:24	annoyed	apparently	307:25 308:3	237:8
286:5,21	182:16	289:13	310:19	arrays
287:9,12	annual	345:22	310:19	350:24
201.3,12		appear	313.20	
	l	ı	ı	ı



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

March 1, 2011

371

				3/1
arrested	asking	attached	326:6	286:2
332:3	289:4,10	300:10	authorized	294:16
arrive	336:25	attack	274:3 315:11	300:18
320:25	338:1	246:18	automatic	311:15
arrived	346:12	attempt	239:18	318:4
185:2 277:22	359:1	339:24	available	330:15,22
279:18	aspect		180:18	331:19
arrives	233:4 349:17	attempting 340:2 343:3	190:22	341:4,13
	assessment	attention	200:22	347:7
163:9,10	286:25		215:2 224:7	355:16
arriving	assigned	219:5 222:4	286:23	backfire
279:10	181:14,16	254:10	342:2	243:9
article	assist	359:3	346:13	background
246:16		attitude	avenue	331:2
347:11	187:18	257 : 15	217:2 224:7	bad
artifact	236:21	attorney	217:2 224:7	171:11
185:14,15	assistance	267:2,13	263:15	204:17
Ashcroft	271:15 335:8	271:1	avenues	360:16
221:25	assistant	322:23	225:4 228:2	Baker
Asia	185:3	323:6,14,23		215:25
271 : 5	assisting	329:14	average	216:15
Asian	257 : 6	334:21,24	252:21 278:3	balance
184:5,10	Associate	335:6,7	avoid 219:11 224:4	208:22
267:17	341:6,21	336:25		ballot
268:9,15,18	associated	366:10,17	aware	167:11 178:3
270:18	190:21 303:4	attorneys	244:14	179:8,9,11
aside	association	335:16,17	287:24	181:2
182:7	210:7,25	366:22	awareness	220:25
asked	211:3,12	attrition	220:12	221:7,8
168:17,18	assume	170:20	A.G	239:15
181:21	305:10	audience	328:24	241:5 252:3
195:12	assumed	205:5 258:4	332 : 18	252:19
196:2	193 : 20	audiences	В	253:9,15
199:16	212 : 18	258:4		254:5
200:21	assumes	audio	baby	255:11,11
249:17	285:2	231:19	353:6	270:15
254:24	assuming	augment	back	301:5
268:20	284:2	326:5	163:10	324:15,16
273:21	assumption	Austin	164:14	358:21
278:21	318:19	184:12	165:19	balloting
282:11	assumptions	206:17	173:1 176:4	269:25
284:1,7	264:14 301:8	258:13	176:16	ballots
290:16	assure	273:16	185:25	238:1 252:22
327:12	177:10	274:1	208:11	252:25
347:13 348:2,5	assured	278:15	221:7	253:2,6,11
348:2,5 351:24	254 : 25	279:24	227:11,22	253:13,21
351:24 352:2,4	Atlanta	366:11,19	230:24 265:25	255:13
360:8	206:11,12,14	367:8	285:23	257:5,6
] 500.0		author	203.12	
	-	•	•	•
_				



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

March 1, 2011

372

				312
269:15	188:15	292:7 296:9	biannual	220:4 223:8
				226:15
325:23,25 326:8 328:1	241:15 bear	302:12 318:6 319:2	281:15	227:16,18
			Bible	
344:1,9	340:15	323:15	174:22	227:23,25
347:8	beating	326:14	Biblical	229:6
358:11	197:6,15	343:20	173:16	232:19
banking	Bee	344:11	bid	233:3
187:19	162:3 169:18	346:22	305:3	234:15
barely	171:1,1	believed	big	235:23
212:10,21	273 : 3	362 : 25	171:21 193:6	237:11
Barns	beginning	363:12 , 15	227:24	238:11
162:3 171:15	268:12	363:20 , 22	228:5	239:23
171:17,18	begins	belongs	339:13	244:13
171:18	341:13	165:17	bigger	245:17 , 19
barriers	behalf	benchmark	289:16	245:23
363 : 20	173:2 181:6	217:9,20	biggest	246:9,22
base	211:23	benefit	203:24	248:12 , 19
296:5,6	228:12,22	240:20 342:4	279:22	248:21
297:4	251 : 19	benefits	bill	249:17
based	256:20	188:6,7,14	163:15	251:14,20
173:11	274:4	188:14	166:18	252:1,18
221:14	behavior	272:11	169:23	253 : 16
236:2	268:14	307:13	172:23	254:1
241:14	belief	342:21	173:3	256:11
244:10	243:4 306:2	348:23	174:18	257 : 17
271:7	beliefs	353:25	176:10,14	259 : 20
285:20,22		benign	179:6,23	263:9 267:3
297:2	243:1,18	181:18	180:5,5,21	267 : 20
329:14	believe	best	181:7,11	270:8,12,23
354:1	163:5 165:14	184:21	184:6,14	271:11 , 14
363:24	166:23	239:19	186:21,24	273 : 17
basement	169:4	241:4 284:9	187:10	274:24
331:18	176:25	293:12	188:21	275:10 , 14
basic	177:17	315:17	189:14	275 : 18
194:17	180:20	347:10	193:2,11	276 : 18
217:18	191:20	348:16,17		277 : 5
	198:23	366:14	194:4,24	278 : 24
219:18	222:23,24	bet	196:14,16	279:1 , 20
223:15	231:18		197:3,20,22	281:7,14,22
256:21,23	240:22	245:15,15	198:5,20,21	282:15,22
basically	241:23	Beth	200:6,17	282 : 25
234:12	248:15	171:18	201:4,5,13	283:12
235:10	249:17	better	210:8,12	284:21,22
237:22	252:19	172:11	215:10	284:24
314:16	257:12	205:11	216:7,10,11	285:21,23
363:13	258:18	207:11	216:12,19	288:2 289:1
basing	263:19	227:15	216:22	291:22
283:5,7	271:12	Bexar	217:5,8	292:4,4,12
basis	272:4 287:3	261:14	219:5,7,23	296:10,20
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	- ,



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

March 1, 2011

373

				3/3
300:17,25	197:8,10,15	209:24	331:25	280:7
302:2,11	blacks	210:2,5,10	333:23	Bridge
304:5 310:7	362 : 3	210:15,18	335:25	164:1
313:25	blaming	213:12,14	337:17,22	brief
314:14	212:16	213:17	338:9,11	216:17
315:4	blanket	214:1,5,8	339:21	briefly
316:23	219:11	214:10,12	340:5,9,12	292:10
318:18	bless	214:15,20	340:18,22	bring
319:25	175:23 176:1	214:25	341:3	174:24
320:17,20	blocked	215:5,14	349:10	287 : 17
320:22	234:21	222 : 11	352 : 12	316:18
321:12,17	board	223 : 23	355:5,11,14	322 : 25
326:8,11,14	167:11	224:1,11	355:19 , 23	330 : 15 , 17
328:2 341:8	200:15,20	225 : 13	356:5,13,17	330:22
347:21	255:11	228:7,15	356 : 25	broad
356:2,8	Bob	229:1,10,14	359:6,10,13	310:10
358:3	169:20	229:17	365 : 12	broadcasting
359:11,20	Bonnen	230:3,8,12	book	304:15
361:21	163:2 165:22	230:19,23	182:12 312:6	broader
364:12	166:16,21	231:1,15,17	344:23	269:10
365:8,15,15	169:12,16	232:17	books	brochures
billion-d	169:21,25	240:4,8,14	182:4 248:8	235:3
232:15	170:25	243:13	boomers	broke
bills	171:12	250:21 , 25	353 : 7	212:9
182:8 219:10	172:20	251:3,6,10	booth	
239:1	175:15,17	251 : 16	175:11	broken
248:23	175:13,17	253 : 22	181:15	164:19
308:2	176:3,8,18	255:16 , 20	border	240:23
bill's	178:25	256:3,9,14	164:13	296:10
306:7		259:6,14,17	borders	brother
birth	179:3,20,25 180:1 181:4	262:6,9	174:6	276:4
361:9,10	184:2	265:11 , 19	born	brought
364:6		266:3,5,23	269:19 364:5	199:3 255:10
birthdate	186:14,17 189:19	266 : 25	borrow	Bryan
172:6	191:10	267:6 , 10	315:17	206:17
birthplace		271 : 24		bubble
244:7	192:12,16	273:10 , 14	bother	307:22
bit	192:25	273:19	257:11	budget
165:4,5	194:19 196:24	276:11 , 15	bottom	191:5 200:15
203:14		277 : 2 , 9	231:8 239:22	200:20
216:9	198:2	281:1 292:9	boundaries	205 : 7
222:16	200:11,14 200:23	293 : 16	174:5,7	218:25
226:18		294:8,12	box	232:15
	201:11,25	302 : 22	358:21	244:21
277:15,20 278:11	202:12,18	305:16 , 20	Bradford	282:4
283:2 289:9	202:22	316:15,25	162:12 256:9	283:17
294:23	206:1,6,12	317:3	256 : 13 , 18	295:15
black	206:19,23	322:19 , 22	259 : 12 , 16	296:5,7
DIACK	207:18,21	323 : 7	breakdown	297:4
	I		I	I



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

March 1, 2011

374

		1	1	1
301:12	buy	204:4	213:2	215:23 264:4
317:11,12	275:12,16	campus	247:16	314:6 325:5
317:15	280:1	193:17	260:24	326:3,20
Buelter	343:23	cancel	265 : 25	327:5 328:5
162:5 179:21	B-U-E-L-T	352 : 25	300:3 309:7	328:7 330:6
179:24	179:21	candidate	care	330:9
180:3,3	B1.4	183:22	165:17,18	332:24,25
building	296:14	211:16,17	career	334 : 22
169:1		211:18	185 : 22	339:1 344:7
built	C	212:1,2,10	careful	cast
255:21	cable	212:11,20	242:18	179:8 181:2
bulk	235:5	212:21,24	299:21	187 : 25
280:8	calculation	213:1 257:8	342 : 4	220 : 25
bunch	317 : 17	262 : 2	360 : 16	221:7 253:3
166:13 334:4	Calhoun	candidates	carefully	253:6,9
350 : 8	328:10	218:3 261:9	225:8 343:11	261:5,19,22
353 : 19	California	261:13	353:24 , 24	261:22
burden	172:8 340:1	capacity	Carol	270:14
174:15,17	call	354 : 12	162:12	271:18,18
217:21,24	163:12	capital	259:19,22	271:21
218:4 220:2	178:11	185:11,12,12	259 : 23	359:2
221:13	212:1	185:13	263:18	casting
226:22	225:25	Capitol	264:11	243:19
250 : 16	303:11	365 : 10	265:1,5,10	catch
347:3,3	313:21	capture	265:15,21	244:9 264:1
358:9,22	336:7 337:1	309:10	265:24	catcher
burdens	356 : 7	card	266:4,10,13	167:10
249:21	365 : 21	168:1 172:1	266:24	category
burdensome	called	172:16,19	Carole	298:23
217:3 358:12	182:18,19	182:11	259:22	Caucasian
Burg	186:9	185:4,5	Carolina	195:14
230:6,9	195:12	199:7,8,12	252 : 14	caught
Burk	196:9 217:9	199:17	carry	269:12
251:22	278:7	246:2	169:10	cause
bus	360:19	260:18	cars	253:12 354:4
247:5,8	calling	263:21	247:19	caution
Bush	195:25	264:18	Carter	191:3
246:16	calls	265:8	195:21	cautious
business	200:14 210:5	266:21	215:25	244:16
330:25	251:12	310:25 325:2	case	310:12
365:17	camera	359:20 359:20	217:4 223:14	CD 366.6 13 15
bust	231:4	cards	239:2 242:1	366:6,13,15
311:2	campaign	167:17	325:17	celebration
busy	195:21 206:4	168:24	328:5,25 329:12	211:6
260:25 365:4	206:9	181:20,24	329:12	Census
365:10	208:23	183:7	334:24	180:15
button	234:20	211:21	cases	Center
231:7	campaigns		Cases	341:25
	•	•	•	•



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

March 1, 2011

375

				375
	l i		I	I
certain	210:5,21	213:14,17	331:25	355:3
190:4 194:16	214:19	214:1,5,8	333:23	changed
220:17	251:12	214:10,12	335:25	179:15
226:15	273 : 23	214:15,20	337:17 , 22	185:18
248:1	276:2	214:25	338:9,11	212:22
264:16	341:16	215:5,14	339:21	287 : 15
267 : 22	355:18	222:11,13	340:5,9,12	288 : 25
272 : 12	356:7	223:23	340:18,22	298:24
309:15	365:14,21	224:1,11	341:3	321:16
315 : 7	chairman	225:13	349:10	342:6
342:22	163:2 165:22	228:7,15	352:12 , 13	changes
364:21	166:16,21	229:1,10,14	353:16	185:6 189:4
certainly	169:12,16	229 : 17	355:5,11,14	220:13
207:3 224:6	169:21 , 25	230:3,8,12	355:19,23	225:1
224:13	170:25	230:19,23	356:5,13,17	236:23
248:13	171:12	231:1,15,17	356:25	270:8 286:1
307:19	172:20	232:17	359:6,10,13	287 : 22
315:16	175:15,17	240:4,8,14	365:12	changing
346:20	175:20	243:13,14	Chairmen	199:24
353 : 17	176:3,8,18	250:21,25	249:18	250 : 12
certificate	178:25	251:3,6,10	Chairwoman	301:4
254:17 255:5	179:3,20,24	251:16	264:21	chaplain
255 : 8	180:1,6	253 : 22	challenge	173:7
300:16,19	181:4 184:2	255:16,20	246:23	character
300:22,23	184:7	256:3,9,14	challenges	260:14
301:2 306:6	186:14,17	259:6,14,17	187:21,22	charge
306:13	189:19	262:6,9	309 : 2	286:17 357:6
307:9,17	191:10	265:11,19	challenging	charged
310:5	192:12,16	266:3,5,23	245 : 17	187:4
311:20,22	192:25	266:25	chance	chasing
312:12	194:19	267:6,10	163:24	239:24
315:9	196:24	271:24	253:14	check
348:12	198:2,9	273:10,14	347 : 5,7	166:2,7
364:6	199:19	273:19	change	checked
certificates	200:11,14	276:11,15	178:4 179:19	347:25
334 : 7	200:19,23	276:17	228:6	checking
CERTIFICA	201:11,25	277:2,9	244:10	237:24
162 : 17	202:12,18	281:1,3	252:8 261:5	Chicago
Certified	202:22	292:9	261 : 20	202:4,5
366:3 367:1	206:1,6,12	293:16	262:14	Chief
certify	206:19,23	294:8,12 302:22	283:11,13	246:14
337:8 366:5	207:18,21		283:18,20	child
366:20	209:24	305:16,19 305:20	285 : 17	177:16
cetera	210:2,5,6	315:23,25	287:4,5,23	chip
182:9 312:21	210:15,18	·	292:15,21	184:18
Chair	210:21	316:15,25 317:3,6	302:10	CHL
200:14	211:1,2,2,8 213:4,11,12	322:19,22	313:19	166 : 23
207:20	\ \(\alpha \tau \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\	323:3,7,9	354 : 25	168:25
		343.3,7,3		100.25



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

March 1, 2011

376

				3/6
	I	l	I	Ī
169:2,10	258 : 25	358:19	287:5,21,22	284:23
308:20	259 : 1	clearance	336:12	286:13
choose	263:13,23	245:13	337 : 3	291:1 293:8
218:3	288:15	248:15	354 : 21	294:16
choosing	328:15	cleared	codes	318:4 321:9
250 : 6	333:14	248:8	363:18	321:18,20
chose	335:6	clearly	cold	333:12,18
330:14,15	346:11 , 15	246:13 250:8	177:14	334:2
chosen	347 : 13	269 : 13	collaborate	338 : 22
257 : 13	citizenship	283 : 22	320 : 15	347 : 7
Christ	246:6	314:21	colleagues	352 : 17
174:8	citizen-led	clerical	193:23	361:6 365:3
Christi	183:20	343:22	collect	365 : 10
256:19	city	clerk	253:18	comes
churches	283:3 328:13	197:13 260:7	College	165:9 195:8
173:18	329:8 334:3	302:6	206:17	256 : 25
circumsta	civic	clerks	colleges	328:9
182:25	176 : 25	250:3,5	244:23,25	329:11
281:19	civil	254 : 20	Collins	347:24
350:23	173:19,21	258:6 260:4	162:11	comfortable
circumvented	216:23	288:4	253:24	194:14
328:3	353 : 7	click	254:2,3	coming
cities	claim	231:13	255:18,22	164:14
278:18	343:16	clicking	256:1,4,8	166:15
339:14	claimed	231:14	colors	168:6 198:3
citizen	182:18	close	195:8,9	205 : 25
173:9 184:15	clarify	175 : 19	come	210:3 249:8
195:18	192:11,15	205:14	164:2 165:8	254 : 12
197:11	198:8	211:3 222:4	165:20	258 : 18
216:7	class	270:14	168:7	259 : 9
324:11	193:12	356:4,23	171:24	263:16
336:6 337:1	340:25	357:1 359:5	172:7,10,16	269:7,13
346:18,24	classes	closed	176:4	291 : 7
354:12	244:18,24	207:10	177 : 12	339:13
citizenry	classrooms	closely	184:15	341:13
234:3	205:3 207:10	310:7	190:15	355:15
citizens	244:19	closer	195:7,9	365:7
170:6 174:25	clean	209:16	200:21	command
183:20	165:8 200:6	closest	205:13,24	173:16
193:13	clear	247:2,7,11	208:14	Commander
194:18	178:13	closing	209:11	308:11
232:19	201:11	244:25	216:13	comment
233:21,23	207:13	clubs	220:25	249:11 356:4
238:14	242:16	258:1,1,8	221:7 254:9	356:8,10,23
240:22	263:7,9	code	262:21	commented
241:3	297:1	182:5,7	263:10	260:9
256 : 24	322 : 11	183:24	272 : 18	comments
257:1,20	343:19	260:10	274 : 6	232:11 263:6
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	I			



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

March 1, 2011

377

	_	_	_	-
263:19	256:11,15	188:1 197:16	compliment	267:22
commercials	259:20,24	244:22,24	203:16	352:18
283:8	267:3	247:17	comply	353:13
Commission	273:17,24	companies	292:3 310:24	concession
188:9 310:14	275:7,20	304:15 305:5	311:21	227:17
Commissioner	276:2,8	350:3	313:2,7,7	conclude
168:12	277:4	company	313:18	239:21 365:4
210:11	278:25	184:18	comprise	concluded
commit	287 : 17	267:15	326 : 21	357:5
181:22	293:21	280:20	comprised	conclusion
242:24	294:13,17	305:3	211:1	184:20
269:24	302:3	compare	compromise	239:19
343:25	307:16	183:2 219:9	174:3	conduct
344:5	316:22	266:2	Comptroll	333:18,22
362:10	319:6	290:19	203:15 204:6	conducting
commitment	320:17 , 19	compared	computer	216:3
219:1	341:8,11,16	217:12 223:8	163:8 184:17	Confederate
committed	341:23	comparison	267:5	362:19
239:11	356:1,8	217:8,15	concept	confidence
242:21	357:4 359:5	344:15	171:20	164:24 207:2
committee	361:1 365:6	compiled	358:25	241:7,18
161:3 163:14	365:16,19	323 : 18	concern	243:12
166:18	366 : 7	complains	203:7,24	256:24
169:19	committing	338 : 21	203.7,24	250:24
171:16	220:11,20	complaint	249:14	353:23
172:22	265:18	336 : 8	275:1 357:9	357:13,23
176:10,14	332:20	complaints	concerned	358:1,6,19
179:23,25	359 : 24	345:4	198:4,12,16	confident
181:7,11	362 : 3	complete	202:10	165:20
184:6	common	239:16	202:10	175:12
186:20,24	164:12 177:7	308:22	204:13	347:17
187:7,10	178:4	completely	205:18,23	351:23
189:23	203:20	193:3 230:2	245:8 247:1	352:1,2
191:3 193:1	257 : 9	254:21	248:5 250:9	confirm
194:23	commonsense	258:23	252:5,17	265:14
197:2 198:5	174:3 351:21	complex	265:12	314:25
198:9 200:9	Commonwealth	236:6 269:1	275:9	confuse
200:16	274:18	compliance	277 : 14	343:22
201:12,21	communicate	310:13 358:3	316:2	confused
210:8,11	303:14	compliant	327 : 24	253:2,8
215:10,12	communica	318:22	352 : 22 , 22	confusing
217:10	225:4	complicated	353:2,10	178:18
222:9	communities	219:8,16	concerns	confusion
228:12	208:13	221:2	184:24 192:3	253:12
229:6,21	220:22	237:14	194:11	300:15
232:1 250:2	222:1	complies	251 : 25	347:5
251:13,20	253 : 20	358 : 8	252 : 3	Congress
253 : 25	community		263:12	367:8
	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
and the				



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

March 1, 2011

378

Congressi	350:24	267:18	338:4	342:4 355:3
307:6	354:14	convenience	339:15	costly
Congressmen	constitut	215:4	349:23	199:11
173:5	223:14 237:9	conventional	correctly	costs
conscious	constitut	351:10 352:7	163:5 183:13	199:24
183:12	173:12	conversation	194:21	204:25
conservative	consultants	297:8	260:19	232:12
205:5 320:13	204:2	conversat	261:24	235:25
consider	consulted	300:20	298:18	244:12
188:25 225:8	222:7	Conversely	correlation	245:3,7
244:23	contact	221:21	347:13,15	271:13
275:8 354:9	213:20	convictions	correspond	321:5
considerable	contain	239:7	303:14	342:15,16
364:3	306:9	convinced	cost	342:16,17
considera	contained	328:16,21	199:10,25	348:14,22
193:19	278:24 312:6	copy	201:7 202:9	353 : 25
considered	contains	190:18 270:1	204:3,8,12	Council
228:4 302:7	302:3	273:20	204:25	328:14 329:8
considering	contemplate	Corpus	206:22	counsel
248:23	322:14	256:19	207:13,16	341:24
342:21	continue	correct	218:4	366 : 21
347 : 20	239:4 284:2	191:15,24	232 : 22	count
348:25	295:11	192:1,7	233:4,6,11	165:10
349:5	333:8	201:25	233:16,18	182 : 22
consolidate	Continued	206:12	233:20,24	196:7
244:18	163:1	208:7	234:1 235:8	226 : 22
consolidated	continues	229:12	235:13,18	253 : 15
207:11	306:8 358:18	246:4 273:7	236:7,10,24	counted
consolida	continuing	290:11	238:1,4	221:8 261:24
205:3	237:14	294:5	241:1	354 : 18
conspiracies	283:24	297:14	245:18	counties
345 : 19	287 : 16	298:12,19	246:23	249:12,15
conspiracy	contracted	311:24	249:21,24 251:9 252:2	286:4,5,6,9
344:25	280:21	312:21	251:9 252:2	286:9,11
constitue	Contrary	314:19	•	288:14,16
175:6 352:20	347:21	316:7,14	271:8,12 272:11	300:10
	contribute	317 : 13	272:11	338:7
353:10	358 : 18	318:23	270:3	counting
constituents	contributing	322:15,16	281:8 284:8	163:5 252:21
232:16 274:4	183:15	323:16,24	288:10	countless
275 : 5	contribution	324:4,5	300:11	269:18
353 : 22	216:8	329:8,9	301:3,4,5	country
constitut	controver	330:10	304:13	164:6 186:9
223:20 233:5	248:16	331:15,21	319:13	197:12
233:14	controver	334:17	320:2	217:13
246:18	273:8	335:14,19 336:8 337:4	321:23	219:1 242:17
341:6	controversy	336:8 337:4	322:1,11,14	242:17
	l [*]	33/:ZU,ZI	l ' ' '	L 43:22



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

March 1, 2011

379

				373
0.62-10	200.05	251.01	206.7	254.5
263:10	308:25	351:21	306:7	354:5
269:20	course	created	315:12	danger
271:4	191:14 202:6	278:7,9	317:11,19	164:9
361:22	279:22	286:8	321:3 348:7	dangerous
364:16	292:14	creates	currently	194:6 244:11
counts	343:10	351 : 5	193:9 216:4	362:12
358:7	courses	creating	237:2	DasGupta
county	182:3	241:19 328:2	246:11	162:6 184:4
163:21	court	credible	260:20	184:7,8
168:14	163:12,14	235:23	267:13	185:11
171:4,19	165:6,6	credibly	275:12	186:11,16
179:22	216:24	350 : 7	286:4	data
180:4,7,11	217:16,23	credulity	288:21,25	180:15
180:12,14	218:15	242:23	289:10	185 : 25
180:16,19	219:13,15	crime	301:24	221:15
182:19	219:17,22	196:23	311:14	253 : 18 , 18
183:19	219:25	crimes	313:2 316:4	282 : 2
198:13	221 : 17 , 25	242:21	317:11	304:10
199:19	222 : 21	criteria	319:11	317 : 18
210:7,14,21	223:6,13,21	290:21	355 : 12	database
210:21,22	224:4,5,20	critically	customers	236:23
210:25	224:21	261:3	350:4	289:23,25
211:8	225:17,19	crutches	cut	308:12,16
212:12,13	225 : 23 , 25	218:25	171:7 188:23	databases
212:25	226:2	cry	244:19	194:8
213:4,8	234:16,21	345:2	cycle	date
226:23	234:22	CSR	199:20 227:4	275:6 367:6
236:9 250:3	235:6 237:9	161:15 367:6	278:5 285:3	David
250:5 251:8	245:24	cumbersome	285:18,19	162:15
255:6,12	246:14	194:1	cycles	322:22
256:19	248:7	curiosity	198:14 268:6	323:4,4,11
260:2 261:7	274:11	338:14	268 : 12	323:17,20
261:11,14	357 : 16	curious		323:25
261:21	358:4,8	310:15	D	324:5,8,16
273:3 295:9	Courts	current	D	324:18,21
300:12,20	222:4	166:24	185:11,12	324:25
301:3	cover		daily	325:7,11,20
307:10	204:1 284:13	177:21	350 : 9	325:24
309:7,9	covered	183:7,13 188:20	Dallas	326:2,10,15
328:10	216:11 303:2	189:25	195:1 196:21	326:18
333:16	covers	201:6	206:16	327:3,7,10
335:7,17	333:15	217:10	247:13,14	327:19,21
336:25	cranky	223:8	247:18,18	328:9,13,19
360:12,12	181:10	268:22	255:6,12	329:3,6,9
366:1	create	278:25	278 : 15	329:18,22
couple	189:7 236:15	283:13	Dallas/Fort	330:3,10,20
173:8 299:1	286:10	302:25	202:8 279:23	331:1,4,7
305 : 18	346:3,7	302.23	damage	331:15 , 21



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

March 1, 2011

380

	I	1	I	I
332:7,12,23	356 : 20 , 21	declare	348:10	218:14
333:2 334:9	365:5,10	220:6	democracy	219:22 , 25
334:13,18	367 : 1	declared	229:16 232:5	221:16
335:1,9,14	days	261 : 25	268:5 271:8	222:3
335:18,22	254:20 303:5	decrease	271 : 12	223:11,18
336:10,14	de	330:24	272:6,13	224:16,19
336:17,22	186:19	decreased	365:11	225:2,10
337:4,7,11	dead	318:14	democracy's	237:4 242:6
337:16,21	211:21	deed	270 : 20	246:5,15
338:5,10,15	deal	270:1 272:19	Democrat	248:7,18
338:18,24	167:12 193:6	deem	165 : 18	276 : 22
339:15,20	227:24	336:9	195:15	290:19
Davio	241:16	deemed	200:2 211:1	294:2
162:14	335:2	235:23	211:15	316:19,21
316:21,24	352 : 17	deep	212:10,12	317:2 318:5
316:25	353 : 14	243 : 23	212:20,24	321:7,15
317:7,9,14	dealing	deepest	212:25	357 : 17
318:3,10,13	173:4 255:23	184:13	213:8	depend
318:24	deals	Deese	359 : 15	336:24
319:5,16,20	362:1		360:12	dependable
320:16,23	Debbie	308:11	Democratic	247:20
321:2,7,14	195:21	defend	213:11	depends
322:2,5,9	decade	246:24	360:14	282:24
322:16,21	232:10	defending	Democrats	338:15
dawned	345:20	245:18	212:15 213:5	deported
254:15	December	Defense	demonstrate	242:18,19,25
day		216:5 268:9	257:16	DEPOSITION
163:25 167:3	297:14,20 298:17	defer	258:25	367 : 7
177 : 12	decent	296 : 17	demonstrated	deputy
182:12		deficit	233:8 242:4	211:13 212:6
183:5	256:7	244:15	DEMOS	322:23
187:23	decently	define	228:20 229:9	323:5
194:9	173:17	211:9 316:6	229:10	dereliction
220:25	deception	defines	232:6	173:14
226:19	200:8	175 : 2	denied	derive
227:19,19	decide	definitely	183:10	318:1
228:5	178:13	250:14	denies	deserves
232:25	333:21	304:17		261:23
258:13,14	decided	317 : 15	343:17	design
259:9 260:3	225:16	defunct	Dennis	173:10
260:11,25	decision	362:21	262:8	
263:1	217:16	degree	Denny	184:18
270:21	222:19	270:9	321:11	designed
271:22	225 : 9	delayed	deny	342:24 348:3
272:25	272:11	214:10	314:25	designing
302:20	358:4,8	demanded	Department	185:22
344:7	decisions	270:17	215:21,24	desire
	268:1 293:2		216:5,23	183 : 12
349:17	200.1 233.2	ldemands		
349:17	200:1 233:2	demands	217:23	316:18
349:17	200.1 233.2	demands		



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

March 1, 2011

381

	ı	I	ı	I
despite	different	196:17	237:19	216:24
187 : 22	191:14	248:10	discuss	217:23
193 : 15	196:10	directives	365 : 18	218:15
223:19	197:9	332 : 17 , 18	discussed	221:17
289:4 364:6	208:24,24	directly	244:2 307:15	224:20
destination	219:16	224:4 301:1	365:18	225:24
346:22	223:10,22	Director	discussing	226:2,4
detail	239:17	198:11	320:8	247:3,3,6
208:17 238:9	241:19	200:15,20	discussion	247:12
280:20	249:24	251 : 22	174:20	273:9 289:9
detailed	255 : 7	322:23	disenfran	307 : 7,7
280:21 293:8	260:24	323 : 5	189:16 233:1	335:6 , 16
295:5	282 : 15	disabilities	disenfran	353:4
details	286:18,20	187:6,14,23	182:22	districts
184:16	301:19,19	188:17,24	262:20,23	307:5,8,8
238:15	314:9 324:3	190:4	310:20	disturbed
332:10	328:6	192:22	313:18	360:10
detecting	352 : 19 , 20	disability	disenfran	diverse
358:2	353:19	186:22 187:2	183:15 194:5	234:4
detects	361 : 16	187:4,9,17	disenfran	Division
358:6	differently	188:2,6,15	183:8	216:23 277:3
determina	173:9 314:2	189:12	disintere	316:20
223:2 236:5	316:6	292:22	335:5	317:1 318:6
determine	difficult	293:6,17		321:16
	177 : 23	294:1	disparate	323:6
218:16	178:15	306:12	218:20	divorce
233:11	199:11	307:2	221:10	178:5
290:12	219:9	disabled	displaced	DMV
292:6	223:18	191:16 209:2	361:13	237:1,7
determined	264:1 268:3	358:14	dispropor	247:2
224:5 270:24	269:22	disagreement	346:14	DMVs
deterring	287 : 1	357:12	dispute	246:17
358:1	290:22	disappear	212:9	Docket
deters	330:1	231:22	disqualify	225:25
358 : 5	331:20		178:2	
devoted	338:25	disbanded	distances	doctor
345 : 8	347:2	362:20	246:17	167:6 171:8
dialogue	diluted	disclosure	distinct	doctors
262 : 15	196:12	183:16	260:14	192:4,5
Diana	dime	discouraged	distinctive	doctor's
162:7 194:22	244:16	269:7	260 : 15	167:3 191:17
194 : 25	diminishes	discrepan	distinguish	192:19
Diane	261:22	178:1,3	242:8 246:22	document
194:25	271:17,20	179:7	distingui	233:8 293:17
difference	272:6	discrepancy	260:13	293:21
222:17 261:8	direct	186:1	distraction	348:2,10
261:16	299:22	discretion	174:20	documenta
351 : 25	direction	316:3,5	district	293:24 294:1
	4116001011	discrimin		314:16
	I	l	I	I
-				



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

March 1, 2011

382

				382
016.4	I	100 15	I 16	l .
316:4	281:4	199:15	308:16,20	earnest
documenta	dozen	235:3	duties	287:19
270 : 6	181:9	239:10	292:12,13	easier
documented	dozens	247:7,16	293:15	168:22
242:13 270:5	173:5	263 : 21	duty	217:17
326:19	DPS	264 : 18	173:14	270:22
343:6,11	188:13 209:8	266:17	Dwarfs	350:1,8
documents	209:15,19	270 : 11	361 : 13	easiest
177 : 24	209:20,22	274:7,9,15	D-A-S	308:7 353:22
237:25	290:19	274 : 22	185 : 12	easily
246:6,8	308:11	276:17 , 21	D-A-S-G-U	328:3
doing	317:11	289:15,18	184:4	East
211:13 212:6	319:15	289 : 20	D-E-M-O-S	198:11
228:10	327:12	290:3,13,14	228:21	easy
230:9 231:6	330:15,17	291 : 4	D-E-M-S-S	164:14,15
231:18	330 : 22	297 : 25	229:7	177:15
241:4	331:2,9	298:14	D.A	180:24
248:20	332:3	299:19	336:24	260:16
256:16,17	339:16	300:3 304:7	D.C	307:18
273:22	Dr	311 : 24		echo
327:16	353:9	312:2	173:6 216:24	271:16
348:9 351:6	drastic	315 : 10	240:18	economic
DOJ	189:4	316 : 20		195:10
217:1 224:4	draw	317:1,20		
226:7	235:13	318:4,5	earlier	economy
DOJ's	drawers	319:1,7,15	180:12	239:22
222:25	167:16,16	319:17	196:19	educate
dollar	drawn	321 : 15	226:19	183:20 189:9
205:10	225:22 297:1	327 : 6,13	237:15	191:8
dollars		330:14 , 16	240:21	241:11
189:3 208:14	dress	330:19 , 24	260:11	252:7 284:8
232:23	276:5	331:10 , 13	263:19	284:15
232:23	drink	331 : 18	264:21	286:7
235:14	327:9	332 : 4	271:9,16	educating
239:24	drive	drove	272:20	189:1
284:15,23	177:23	163 : 23	274:8	education
284:15,23	187:17	droves	305:24	176:24
	346:2	362:4	313:15	188:19
295:23 345:12	driver's	due	329:16	203:5 206:7
	166:23 167:6	187 : 16	360:11	212:5 219:2
dorm	168:25	281:15	early	220:10,12
331:18	169:9	366:12	216:25 254:7	234:11,19
dos	171:25	Duerstine	254:8,19	235:7,9,23
186:19	172:3,4,8	162:9 210:6	255:3,4,7	243:7 252:2
double	172:14	210:9,16,20	earmarked	252:5 268:9
175:2	175:11	210:37:10,20	285:6	271:15
doubt	177:4,11	213:16	earned	277:25
351:19	182:9,17	dump	280:23,23	278:2,3,22
dovetail	193:21,24		305:5,9	279:4 281:9
	l		l	I



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

March 1, 2011

383

282:6	358:14	307:10	175 : 5	engagement
283:19,25	elected	310:14	element	176:25
284:3,5,11	200:5 204:6	311:3	226:15	English
284:13,17	251:8 262:1	312:20	elements	278:16
284:20	262:2	333:5,15,18	219:4,4	engrossed
285:1,6	election	334:2	269:1	201:7 302:2
287:16	164:18	336:12	eligibility	enhance
304:13,16	171:22	337 : 3	189:4	226:16
304:25	174:4	338:21	eligible	284:17
305:4	178:10	339:2,11	189:16 313:8	enormously
309:16	180:13,22	341:7 342:1	346:10,11	268:13
educational	180:23	344:24 , 25	346:24	ensure
203:3	181:2,13	346:7 347:4	348:4	188:16
effect	182:5,7	348:7 354:7	350:22	196:11
218:1	183 : 24	354 : 9	354:11,20	353:22
effecting	187 : 23	357 : 23	358 : 7	359:22
334:2	198:12,14	358:1 , 7 , 19	eliminated	ensures
effective	198:14,24	elections	191:5	358:11
354:13	199:4,6,14	168:8 175:9	Elizabeth	ensuring
effort	199:18,19	211:3 216:4	162:3 171:15	187:5 287:7
235:8 278:2	199:20	238:5,25	171:17 , 18	289:20
282:6 284:3	210:6,17,24	241:10,23	else's	328:25
284:12	211:5,7,15	249:25	255 : 8	enter
309:5	212:3	250:10	emergency	354:24
efforts	220:25	254:6	250 : 7	enthusiasm
193:16	225:2,20	257:19,23	emphasized	249:6
218:19	227:4,11,19	258:5,9,17 258:20,24	234:21	entire
278:4,22	232:25	262:13	employed	260:6 261:20
eight	233:19 234:2 235:3	277:3	197:2 366:21	289:23
209:16	234:2 235:3	287:17	employee	325 : 16
270:17	236:9,11,19	288:7	246:2	entities
278:2	230:9,11,19	296:14	encounter	345:13
either	238:6 241:7	303:13	249:13	entitled
169:8 217:3	241:17	329:17	325 : 19	274:12 366:6
217:4	243:8 252:8	345:20	encountered	envy
219:21	253:4,5	347 : 17	196:1 329:19	353 : 17
289:14	254:3 255:6	351 : 23	encourage	equal
298:11	257:5,7,10	352:1 357:4	195:25	175:4 218:2
299:18 314:25	258:6,11	357 : 7	221 : 16	353:2,11
320:4 335:5	260:2,7,25	358:16	258 : 9	equaled
335:17	261:6	359 : 17	ended	306:1
El	262:14,20	electoral	248:19	equals
279:24	268:6,12,18	175:13 187:6	enforce	317:22
elderly	285:7,18,19	189:15	363:18	equipment
168:19	287:5,21,22	204:8 207:1	enforcement	282:20 309:6
220:16	296:8 302:6	240:23	237:23 323:5	309:10
346:14	303:6,16	Electorate	345:7	error



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

March 1, 2011

384

343:22	198:24	162:5,6,6,7	192:22	experimented
errors	ethnic	162:7,8,8,9	exemption	278:11
268:8	218:12	162:9,10,10	170:13,15	expert
escape	220:17	162:11,11	187:9	163:5,8,11
271:5	ethnically	162:12,12	188:18	176:16
especially	234:4	162:13,13	191:18	266:8 340:2
164:13	ethnicity	162:14,14	292:22	experts
187:21	227:14	162:15,15	293:17,19	195:5 203:22
188:22	evaluation	example	294:4	228:19
189:11	286:3	186:5 192:5	306:12	287:21
226:8,12	evening	237:15	307 : 2	expiration
237:21	183:1 251:1	239:5	exercise	275:6 367:6
250 : 11	eventually	246:10	173:1 194:17	expire
339:13	212:2	287 : 6	351 : 3	285:11
ESQUIRE	everybody	308:10	exist	expired
367 : 7	164:25	310:22	232:24	171:5 182:8
essence	165:20	313:12	269:14	explain
196:10 206:4	186:12	346:5	270:7 306:8	170:2 212:7
essentially	193:20	examples	existing	277:22
193:12	197:18	238:19 324:7	236:16	300:12
232:24	214:2	325:8,10	exists	explained
285 : 14 , 18	262:12,21	exceedingly	185 : 16	283:23
312:12	288:10	238:23	307 : 19	explanation
establishes	evidence	Excellent	343:18	348:16,18
314:17	184:23 221:9	251 : 10	expanding	express
establishing	221 : 21	exception	270 : 20	184:11,24
315 : 12	242:3 243:1	346:1	expect	309:15,21
estimate	269:9	exceptions	218:14 245:4	347:1
202:24 209:9	293 : 25	200:7 293:6	245:7,10	expressed
209:12	299 : 22	358:14	350:16 , 22	192:3
233:8	342:2 354:1	excitement	expected	extensive
236:24	358 : 19	255 : 17	250:17 257:9	342:12
281:7 283:8	360:9	excluded	expenditure	extent
283 : 9	evil	246:9	283:10,11	315:8 357:12
320 : 18	173 : 23	excluding	expense	extra
321:8,13,19	ex	243:11	301:12 347:9	347:16
estimated	228:19	exclusively	expenses	extraordi
177:4 235:11	exactly	282:3,6	234:9	233:3 331:20
235:22	170:22	336:24	expensive	extremely
236:7,8	254:23	Excuse	178:22	176:25 196:9
252:4,6	265:15	192:10	224:18	242:7,14,18
estimates	273:8 276:5	excuses	225:12	248:16
221:14	280:22	360:6	experience	250 : 9
estimating	284:6 293:9	executive	183:9 199:13	eye
252:15	354:15	184:17	218:16	315:9 344:19
et	Examination	251:22	249:3	E-mail
182:9 312:20	162:1,2,2,3	exempt	269:19	196:19,21
ethical	162:3,4,4,5	189 : 25	338 : 6	
	-	•	•	•



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

March 1, 2011

385

264:21	fair	329:5	296:7,15	figured
360:11,13	198:23 200:4	far	302:25	304:20
E-trans	209:22	181:25	303:12,16	figures
366:17	216:25	207:14	304:5	241:2 277:19
000.17	224:18	230:4 233:6	309:21	file
F	226:17	233:7 245:7	310:14	213:8 290:13
face	257:23	248:20	345 : 7	293:18
187:20	258:4,17,19	265:25	Federation	299:7 308:9
212:23	258:20,24	282 : 8	256:10,20	filed
213:4 274:5	262:13	292 : 15	257 : 24	292:22
FaceBook	300:7 330:5	304:12	258 : 12	293:22
278 : 12	345:8	326:11	feel	308:2
faced	359:16	332:14	171:7 193:10	fill
185:24	fairly	344:10	193:17	193:3
267:17	181:18	345 : 23	238:2	filled
face-to-face	193:10	347:11	250 : 18	194:20
278 : 17	236:5,6	348:23	347:10	film
facial	328:2	351:19	351 : 23	361:11,11,12
260:15	fairness	farfetched	352:1 354:2	filter
facilities	183:17	273:3	354:7 365:8	287:6,22
244:21	257 : 18	fast	feeling	final
fact	faith	226:1 227:8	351:6	223:1
199:7 248:6	240:25 271:8	faster	feelings	finally
254:5	271:11	217:2 225:6	241:14	221:24
267 : 25	358:20	225:11	fellow	Finance
268:9 269:2	fake	339:10	228:18 232:5	279:1
269:8 271:3	326:17 327:5	fault	felony	financial
331:8	327:13,17	212:19	239:7,11	219:1
334:14	327:18 328:3 332:3	favor	242:24 324:12	financially
335:16	344:1	259:24 263:9 favorably	324:12 felt	366:24
343:7 345:2	348:13	359:4		find
346:24	364:6	359:4 fear	196:6 347:14 Fern	182:11 194:2
347:22	fall	184:12	162:2 166:16	202:20
351:23 357:7	298:23	104:12 fears		212:14
361:11	Falls	220:19	166:19,19 166:22	257 : 13
facts	261:7	220:19	169:14	265:22
328:12 342:7	falsified	feasibility	fields	268:13
355:2	257:5	307:24	178:11	269:20
factual	familiar	federal	figure	272:10
241:15 342:4	238:7 326:10	168:13	169:7 202:15	307:11,23
fail-safe	326:11	172:21	234:8	330:2 332:4
220:23	334:18	173:11,12	277:22	332:4 338:23
226:20	family	190:20,23	279:10	344:14
227:3,11	272:23	263:22	282:1	348:6,7
262:24,25	famously	277:24	289:12,17	350:16,20
fail-safes	267:16	284:15	289:20	361:18
221:4	fancy	285:12	291:1,3	finding
	-		·	



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

March 1, 2011

386

				300
171:22	077.14 15	292:5 353:1	e	195:8 196:6
345:9	277:14,15	follow	former	
findings	278:21 281:14,20		246:14	200:8 238:19,23
	284:1,7	219:2 224:12	362 : 19	230:19,23
221:19	285:2,4	341:12	forms	239:15,15
fine	300:9 308:5	followed	181:21 182:6	254:5 257:8
224:9 311:11		204:4 255:21	189:25	254:5 257:8
346:22	320:15,18	following	192:21,23	
fingerprint	321:4 322:12,13	225:15	193:25	264:23 265:18
196:15	· '	305:11	194:2	269:5,24
Finley	five	366:5	270:17	270:5
259 : 17	219:7 307:8	follow-up	280:11	323:16
fire	325:13	304:2 315:25	306:13	
345:14	fix	336 : 4	311:23	324:3
FIRM	230:21	footing	319:8	325:16,19
367 : 7	307:18	227 : 15	342:23	328:1 330:2
first	308:7 344:6	force	344:2,3	333:4,19
171:24	fixed	332:21	Fort	334:24
181 : 18	185:15	forecasting	247:6,10	335:1,11 343:6,12,13
182:3 186:7	187:20	205:20	forth	
190:22	flatter	forego	164:15	343:18,19
195:3	305:13	319:13	fortunate	343:23,25
202:25	flaw	forgot	364 : 15	344:5,14,18
213:4 220:7	246:13	167:6 185:6	forward	345:2,6,9
231:6	flawed	form	180:10	345:10,16
234:11	233:3 234:18	177:7 182:15	187 : 23	345:24
245:9,11	flexibility	183:14	209:12	347:15,17
252:1	341:17	188:11	268:10	348:18,21
256:13	flipped	193:5	foul	354:16,23 354:23
258:10	312:24	198:17	345:2	
260:18	floated	200:9	found	357:6,10,12 357:19,20
267:24	194:15	238:23	172:2 182:12	
270:4	Floor	272:2	238:18	358:2,6,18 360:14
297:12	366:11,18	286:12	295:22	
337:8	Florida	289:14	345:4	362:1,10,13 365:20
fiscal	313:15	301:5	347 : 15	366:8
188:20,21	flow	303:22	four	frauds
190:2,8	225:5	305:3 306:7	198:14	
201:4,4,6	focus	313:3	253 : 14	338:2
201:20	217:8 232:11	361 : 23	266 : 16	fraudulent
202:10	342:19	formal	278 : 15	196:12
204:14	focusing	347:24	298:4 299:2	243:19 264:1 306:1
205:13	173:10	formally	fourth	306:4
208:18	folks	186:23 187:3	221:9 261:14	330:14,24
233:2,15	211:21 247:9	formats	frame	330:14,24
234:13	271:1	295:2	291:2	344:2
236:1,3,11	287:24	formed	fraud	352:24
236:20	289:10	332:21	161:4 175:8	fraudulently
252 : 11	290:14	362:19	181 : 22	Trandmentitly
		l	l	l



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

March 1, 2011

387

				387
0.61 0.1	١.,,		1 001 05	155.05
261:21	fully	G	221:25	177:25
272:25	232:21	G	227:22,23	180:21
free	263:12	185:12,13	227:23	giving
209:4,7,10	full-time	gain	234:16,17	260:7
219:2,25	236:20	347:19	248:3,14,19	glad
220:5 234:2	function	gal	310:15	173:1 191:2
256:23	174:16	166:1	357:17	216:14
281:8,16,18	237:24	Gallegos	Georgia's	222:9
281:22,25	350 : 6	282:11	206:8 248:15	gladly
282:3 286:9	functional	gap	248:21	175 : 12
286:17	306:25	232:15	getting	345 : 13
288:14	fund	garbage	208:10	go
317:24	232:21	345 : 4	258 : 16	163:10
318:14,21	253:18	Gary	355 : 2	165:24
319:14,17	268:10	202:4,6	361 : 14	167:1,4,8
319:18,19	295:17	gather	give	168 : 17
319:25	309:9 318:7	308:23	178:12 189:9	171:8,9,11
320:12	318:9,12		208:20	191:13
321:21	fundamental	general	215 : 2	192:17
322:14	223:16 351:3	180:13	226:24	197:15,24
347:17	funding	181:13	227:14,21	198:7
358:10	189:17 237:3	236:11	229:20	208:17
freed	268:7	253:3 260:1	230:20	211:23
362:10	funds	303:6,13	231 : 25	216:12,22
frequency	190:16,20	322:24	259 : 1	217:23
344:5 345:17	191:4,8	323:6	270:24	221:12
345:19	277 : 25	334:21,24	299:24	224:16,20
frequently	284:1 285:9	generaliz	309:8 313:3	225 : 16
183:4 287:2	285:11,14	265:7	324:6 325:8	226:1
304:16	286:10	generally	331:1	227:11,22
friend	296:21	216:16	333:14	230:3
195:11	309:13,14	241:13	340:3	234:24
208:12	309:23	Generals	341:10	238:8
friends	310:13	366:18	given	242:23
170:8 193:22	318:6	General's	193:17,18	247:10,11
259:10	further	323:14,24	194:7	247:15 , 17
front	178:21	329:15	234:14	253 : 13
268:1 300:21	183:22	366:10	235:19,20	257 : 19
360:1	192:9	generations	236:13	271 : 19
363:20	243:24	262:3	260:10	274:13 , 20
frozen	305:14	gentleman	268 : 5	275:2,12,16
229:23	335:24	167:15 172:7	277 : 25	284:13
full	352 : 11	geographic	314:24	294 : 17
183:16 187:5	365 : 17	189:6	332:16,18	305:2
205:11	366:20,23	George	341:9	307 : 12
236:20	future	206:2	348:20	313:5 318:6
304:18	262:3	Georgia	364:7	327 : 18
354 : 17		206:2 217:13	gives	332:6 , 19



Toll Free: 800.211.DEP0 Facsimile: 512.328.8139

March 1, 2011

388

	l	l	l <u>.</u>	l
333:2 334:3	224:24,25	183:11	Governor	362:19
337:19	224:25	186 : 25	204:10	groups
338:13	225:10	198:22	261:10,13	194:16
359:11,18	226:7 235:8	208:11	Governor's	218:12
360:15	241:3	215:14	261:21	220:17,18
goal	242:18,23	226:13	graduate	222:5,5
219:18 241:8	243:8 244:9	227 : 7	185:3 273:15	245:16
Goby	244:20	228:14	273:25	352 : 19
162:8 198:4	245:4	231:15	274:3,5	grow
198:6	248:21	240:8 251:1	275:1	170:16
God	252:16	251 : 15	Grande	grown
173:22,23	253:11,12	258:23	171:21 195:1	339:9
	255:3 256:7	259:22		
174:5,5,23	263:4	271:24	grassroots	guarantee
175:1,23	264:23	275:3 277:6	212:15 213:7	330:7
176:1	266:7 269:5	290:20	great	guarantees
God's	270:13	290:20	167 : 12	220:4
173:10		294:21 314:5	173 : 23	guess
goes	284:2,6		190:14	168:6 186:8
174 : 25	288:10	316:24	191:1 235:2	208:10
185:25	292:23	321:1	244:24	224:13
220:19	293:7,10	340:22	266:23	231:20
245:5 301:1	294:12	346:4 354:8	275 : 24	248:6
303:10	304:9 307:4	354 : 22	335:2	273:20
351 : 20	325:1,2	364:14	340:24	283:8,10,24
going	333:3 , 20	GOP	341:3	284:9,14
163:3,6	334:8	210:6	greater	292:21
164:10	338:23,25	Gorman	184:12	297:1 307:3
169:8	339:11,24	162:7 194:22	241:18	307:12
170:16,16	359:10	194:25,25	268:25	318:17
171:6	360:4,14,25	government	greatly	332:15
177:18	361:1	167:2 173:11	341:16 349:7	guest
178:14,18	362:14	173:20	Greek	173:6
178:14,18	364:2	178:23		
190:24	Goliad	190:23	229:11	guidance
190:24	179:21 180:3	256:25	Green	181:23
	180:12,14	257:1,2,20	210:6,7,14	183:18
204:1,25	180:16,19	258:18,19	210:22,25	293:14
205:9,10,18	Gomez	258:21	212:13,25	315:4
207:13,15	162:6 186:22	259:2 271:5	213:8	gun
211:9,19	186:25	273:15	grossing	246:6 275:13
212:22	187:1 190:5		361 : 12	275 : 16 , 16
213:18	190:14	274:2	grounded	Gupta
218:24		275:12,22	342:1	185:13
219:20	191:1	285:12	group	Gutierrez
220:7,7	good	347:25	170:16	165:24,25
221:19	163:18 165:8	governments	228:21	166:4,9,14
223:12,16	166:9	249:16,22	250:4	207:19,22
224:4,8,17	173:22	governmen	352:20	207:23
224:17,18	179:12	246:1	360:18,20	208:4,9,19
] 500.10,20	



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

March 1, 2011

389

209:3,6,13	293:15	266:6,7,11	heard	257:21
209:21	hands	266:14	177:3 194:12	277:23
guys	167:17	294:18,19	195:6 199:9	295:17
275:21 276:5	handsome	294:22	238:4 244:3	296:15,22
Guzman	356:20	295:10,14	244:5 257:4	305:3
186:19	happen	295:24	269:11	307:11
G.R	164:11,12	296:4,13,19	289:6	337:1
201:7	177:6,9	297:3,7,16	313:14	helped
201.7	334:10,12	297:19,24	334:15	190:1
H	happened	298:3,8,13	344:12	helpful
HABA	182:24	298:20	350 : 18	246:11
190:15	212:19	299:9,13	352 : 21	helping
283:25	345:16	300:1,8	357 : 18	257:19
284:23	356:16	301:7,16	363:25	Hey
285:9,10	363:16	302:16,19	hearing	276:3 338:21
286:7,10	happens	315:24	161:4 197:7	Hi
295:21	302:1 306:6	316:12	217:1	194:25
296:7,11	344:3,17	336:1	224:18,21	270:12
309:13,14	happy	337:24 , 25	275:20	294:19
309:15,16	240:2 267:19	356:7,22	282 : 12	317:6,7
309:20,23	277:22	357 : 2	285 : 13	Hidalgo
310:8,12,16	349:6	Harris	339:22	163:21
HABA-comp	hard	183:19 260:2	342:8 345:3	168:14
289 : 25	227:8 337:19	Harvard	365 : 19	171:2,4,19
308:13	344:14,14	347:12	hearings	high
hair	344:17	351 : 12	305:9 365:6	196:23 213:9
254:9,11	hardship	harvesting	heartened	257:23
338:22	250:4	324:9,15	249:4	271:8
hair's	hard-nosed	hat	Heavens	higher
313:25 314:1	342:2	326:25 327:2	168:21	233:7 234:5
half	hard-working	hates	heavy	269:1
181:9 199:14	163:22	175 : 1	193:10	277:19
203:1	237:12	Hawk	heck	284:14
230:24	Harless	230:6,9	269:25	320:6
235:21	169:23 170:4	haystack	hedge	highest
268:19	170:18,21	339:7	322:8	199:2 200:1
halfway	•	Health	held	261:14
227:7	179:4,5,10 179:14	188:9	215:24	highlight
hand	187:11	hear	Hello	267:25
199:6,15	189:20,22	190:15 191:2	215:1 230:11	highlighted
348:12	190:7,17	208:13	231:16	271:10
handbooks	191:13,25	230:12,14	help	Hills
293:13 295:5	192:6,10,14	263:7,8	163:8 187:3	247:7
handed	192:17,18	267:19	189:2	hire
169:2	208:25	287:14	190:11,21	236:8
handful	210:11	340:8,9,13	191:4 211:5	hires
344:8	213:13	353:4	236:18	236:21
handle	259:7,8	366:14	240:24	Hispanic
I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I				I mrspanic



Toll Free: 800.211.DEP0 Facsimile: 512.328.8139

March 1, 2011

390

				390
010 0 00	l	l	005.05	1 01 5 5
212:2,20	hoping	IBM	237:25	217:5
247:4,14	258:9	185:22	260:19	imperative
historically	horror	Idaho	290:21	173:13
257:14	269:10	310:22	334:4	357:25
history	hour	idea	identity	impersonate
176:23 205:7	230:24	193:25 199:7	188:10,12	324:23
363:4,6,10	hours	208:2	314:17	impersonated
hit	214:13,16	ideal	315:1	344:21
308:21	237:2,7	317:12,15	ignore	345 : 17
hoards	246:20	ideas	174:9	impersona
329:16	256 : 2	270:24	ignoring	346:6 348:22
hold	house	identical	327 : 25	364:22
170:9 181:19	161:1 171:2	182 : 25	II	impersona
214:22	173:7 174:1	identific	161:7,7	164:11 178:7
264:8 359:8	197:3 247:2	161:3 172:15	illegal	211:19
Hollywood	249:18	172 : 15	167 : 25	213:3,5
361 : 12	296:6,10	178 : 20	168:11	221:22
home	357:4 363:3	189:10	241:23,24	226:24
167:4 244:20	363:9	191:19	243:20	232:24
273:3	365 : 19	194:2 233:5	257 : 4	238:23
274:20	366 : 6	233:12	260:20	239:3
331:19	Houston	238:10,21	262:1	269:23
348:4	202:8 206:13	246:12	268:21	324:20
homeless	206:16	257 : 17	270 : 17	325:5
334:3	278:15	258 : 22	324:8,9	327:16
homes	279:23	266 : 21	illegally	328 : 7
207:9 343:25	Howard	268 : 21	196:8 257:5	332:15,20
honest	161:15 366:3	302 : 15	262:1	332:22
257:23 258:4	367 : 6	303:20 , 23	364:22	338:14
258:8,17,19	huge	304:2	Illegals	343:2,12
258:20,25	234:9 244:15	306:10	172:10	344:18
honestly	huh	313:4	imagine	345 : 6
232:18	165:16	314:12	226:1 236:12	348:18
241:11	human	315:13,13	245:11	352 : 24
honesty	188:9 342:16	316:4 319:8	322:1	359 : 24
257:18	hundreds	327 : 18	immediately	360:7 362:4
honorable	344:8	334:6	287:6	362:14 , 22
184:8	hunt	342:23	impact	363:1,15
honored	345:6,12	343:13	218:9,17,20	365 : 2
173:1	hurdle	365 : 20	221:10,10	impersona
hook	220:8	366 : 8	221:10	345:1
345 : 21	hurdles	identified	223:7,16	implement
hope	360:1	174:11	227:16	209:1 227:3
267:4 340:24	hurry	identify	228:2	227 : 9
346:23	226:2	291:22 292:5	318:12	232:22,23
hopefully		342:10	impacted	233:7 236:6
256:6	I	identifying	253 : 20	238:6
250.0		188:11	impacts	249:13
	l	l		l



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

March 1, 2011

391

307:21	264:5 269:2	238:22	173:21	223:6,7,14
309:17,24	269:22	239:15,16	202:24	233:10,17
		•		
310:3 360:3 implement	impressed	240:14 245:10,11	324 : 10 326 : 12	233:19,20 233:25
l -	287:18	245:10,11	including	233:23
235:25	improper		_	234:6,6
237:20	268:14,20	246:3,6 253:17	182:8 187:12	245:23,25
287:8	improvement	266:12	233:15	246:23,23
310:17	296:15	267:2,15	246:1 281:8	248:3,13,15
implemented	improvements	267.2,13	318:16,16	281:20
218:23 233:9	209:1	276:15	327 : 8 342 : 17	310:15
236:4,5	improving	294:9	inclusion	357:16
237:17	194:7	316:12,13		358:4
282:16	inability	340:4,11,17	187:5	
315:17	358:21	340:4,11,17	inclusive	Indianapolis 202:3 203:9
implementing	inaccurate	342:6,9,11	348:3	202:3 203:9 Indiana's
178:21	343:8	342:8,9,11	income	
232:12	inactive	342.23	189:12	164:19
236:22	303:10	343:3,6,9	238:15	indicate
250:7	inadequacy	344:7	269:16	239:11 253:1 indicated
implication	234:22	345:16,22	271:1	
174:23	inadequate	346:7	incomes	279:2 303:22
implications	188:22	349:19	187:20	indicates
220:1	inaudible	350:14	incomplete	190:20
implied	176 : 18	351:10,13	185 : 20	indicating
220:2	202:18	351:13,16	inconceiv	234:6 334:5
import	213:17	351:18	334:1	indicted
307:20	214:7,9,11	353 : 21	inconvenient	168:9
important	214:14,19	354 : 19	358:12	indigency
165:1 177:1	214:24	355:10,20	incorporate	220:6
189:11	215:1 216:1	356 : 16	284:17	indigent
191:9	216:5,15,16	incident	incorrect	318:17
209:17	216 : 25	183:3	268:14	358:14
221:23	217:6,14	incidents	270:17	individual
232:13 239:16	218:22	181:16,25	increase	163:13 215:9
239:16	219:6,17	include	300:24 326:7	220:3 257:1
	222:5,6	177:8 234:8	330:23	338:22,23
258:10 259:1 261:3	226:10	246:12	increased	individuals
267:23,24	230:5,7,23	284:17	300 : 23	177:8 189:12
267:23,24	231:2	293:14	increases	241:22
339:21	233:10,15	302:5 320:9	347:3,5,7	245:17,17
350:25	233:17	326 : 8	incur	260:23
350.23	234:7,7,9	included	234:10	261:2 273:4
354:13	234:18,19	187:9 191:3	Indiana	303:19,21
358:16	234:22	260:3 278:6	194:13	334:4
impossible	235:13,18	280:12	201:24	339:12
211:24	235:20	326:14	217:13,16	ineligible
260:23	236:17,22	includes	222:17,22	239:8
200.25	237:12,13		222:23	influence
	•	•	•	•



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139

March 1, 2011

392

344:24 infoliux 284:10 integrity intimidated 178:19 196:3 integrity 174:2, 24 174:15 177:17, 15, 21 177:7, 15, 21 177:7, 15, 21 177:7, 15, 21 177:7, 15, 21 177:7, 15, 21 177:7, 15, 21 177:22 20:13 199:2 200:1 199:2 200:1 199:2 200:1 189:15 199:2 200:1 189:15 199:2 200:1 189:15 180:21, 25		1	1	1	1
influx integrity 178:19 196:3 274:15 174:5,17 177:7,15,21 320:9 174:3,24 196:5 intimidating 177:7,15,21 177:15,21 177:15,21 177:15,21 177:15,21 177:7,15,21 177:15,21 177:15,21 177:15,21 177:15,21 177:15,21 177:15,21 177:15,21 177:15,21 177:15,21 177:15,21 177:15,21 177:15,21 177:15,21 177:15,21 177:15,21 177:15,21 178:11,15 178:11,15 178:11,15 178:11,15 178:11,15 178:11,15 178:11,15 178:11,15 178:11,15 179:12,12 179:12,12 179:12,12 179:12,12 179:12,12 179:12,12 179:12,12 179:12,12 179:12,12	344:24	284:10	intimidated	in-state	174:12,14
320:9 174;3,24 196:5 inform 175:2 intimidating 269:20 174:3,24 196:5 279:12 177:22 177:22 178:1,14 189:4 203:2 189:15 269:20 189:12 200:1 189:23 249:1,5 187:22 188:20 188:20 188:20 188:20 189:12 279:5 333:16 183:37;14 189:216 189:11 182:16 182:6,11,15 182:11,18 354:17 337:6 338:2 333:16 282:10 189:14 326:2,20 333:16 282:10 189:14 326:2,20 333:25 279:25 3303:25 277:25 331:8 339:2 259:13 337:3 331:25 337:3 331:25 337:3 338:13 338:25 224:12 338:15 338:25 224:12 338:15 338:15 338:25 279:33 338:9 338:18 229:24,17 279:16 279:18 329:19 333:18,22 388:20 331:19 302:12 279:35 331:19 302:12 335:24 335:24 335:24 335:24 335:14 305:10 335:11 336:24 3	•				
inform 175:2 intimidating 229:6 363:14 177:22 178:14 177:22 220:13 239:2 200:1 199:2 200:1 178:1,14 188:20 178:1,14 188:20 180:21,25 178:1,14 188:20 180:21,25 180:21,25 180:21,25 180:21,25 181:11 180:21,25 181:11 182:6 182:6,11,15 182:6,11,15 182:6,11,15 182:6,11,15 182:6,11,15 182:6,11,15 182:6,11,15 182:6,11,15 182:6,11,15 182:6,11,15 182:6,11,15 182:6,11,15 182:6,11,15 182:6,11,15 182:6,11,15 182:6,11,15 182:16 182:6,11,15 182:16 182:6,11,15 182:16 182:16 182:16,11 182:16 182:16 182:16,11,15 182:16 182:16 182:16,11,15 182:16 182:16 182:16 182:16 182:16,11,15 182:16	320:9			irregular	,
189:4 203:2 189:15 269:20 188:1,14 180:21,25 220:13 241:5,5 187:12 181:11 180:21,25 information 326:5,7 333:16 182:16 183:7,14 185:21 211:5 352:22 333:16 183:3 184:13 221:11,18 354:17 337:6 338:2 183:3 186:3 188:3 282:10 intent 325:6,17 189:14 326:2,20 303:25 217:25 321:8 339:2 197:6 237:8 189:21 306:10 327:16 investiga 195:10,17 192:21,23 337:3 inter 38:13 25:10,17 197:18,25 337:3 inter 338:13 25:10,17 199:17 337:3 inter 245:5 322:16 211:25 337:3 inter 225:5 (17 272:15 225:12 337:4 intercesors 328:20 272:15 272:15 211:25 <t< th=""><th>inform</th><th></th><th>intimidating</th><th>_</th><th>177:22</th></t<>	inform		intimidating	_	177 : 22
220:13 241:5,5 187:12 188:20 180:21,25 181:11 182:6,13 326:5,7 352:22 333:7 332:5 358:20 investigate 183:7,14 184:13	189:4 203:2	189:15	_		178:1,14
234:23		199:2 200:1	introducing	_	180:21 , 25
185:21 211:5 327:25 227:5 333:7 isolated 183:7,14 218:6,13 352:22 333:16 183:3 188:3 225:5 358:20 investigated 325:6,17 188:5 188:5 188:5 188:5 189:24 188:5 189:25 199:17 199:21,23 189:25 199:10,17 199:21,23 199:27,23 199:17 199:7,12,12 199:7,12,12 199:7,12,12 199:17 199:7,12,12 199:7,12,12 199:17 199:7,12,12 199:17 199:7,12,12 199:17 199:7,12,12 199:17 199:7,12,12 199:17 199:7,12,12 199:7,12,12 199:10 199:10 199:10 199:10 199:10 199:10 199:10 199:10 199:10 199:10 199:10 199:10 199:10 199:10 199:1	234:23	241:5,5		irritated	181:11
185:21 211:5 327:25 227:5 333:7 isolated 183:3 184:13 221:11,18 352:22 333:16 338:2 188:3 184:13 225:5 358:20 investigated 318:2 188:3 188:3 282:10 189:14 326:2,20 303:25 217:25 331:8 339:2 197:6 237:8 199:17,12,12 303:25 217:25 331:8 339:2 197:6 237:8 199:17,22,12 307:13 intention 245:5 323:16 255:10,21 199:7,12,12 337:3 inter 332:15 255:10,21 208:1 338:25 224:12 investiga 258:2,16 211:25 348:1 interacted 278:18 326:20 272:15 216:11,16 307:4 Intercessors 328:20 274:5 215:23 informed 172:21 333:18,22 274:5 215:23 189:12 332:9 333:8 282:21 216:11,16 189:12 257:22 333:19 302:1 217:17,19 180:12 interest 33:19 302:1 217:17,19 180:14 interest 33:19 33:19 315:8 220:17,10 193:20 36:24 36:1,2	information	326 : 5 , 7	investigate	182:16	
218:6,13 352:22 333:16 337:6 338:2 183:3 186:3 188:3 221:11,18 354:17 325:6,17 326:2,20 327:16 327:16 327:16 327:16 338:13 255:10,21 327:12 338:23 338:25 327:16 338:13 255:10,27 326:2,20 337:3 338:25 327:16 338:13 255:10,21 327:16 338:13 255:10,21 337:3 338:25 324:12 338:13 338:25 324:12 338:13 338:25 324:12 338:13 325:5,10,21 208:1 338:23 338:25 324:12 338:13 325:2,16 338:25 324:12 338:13 325:2,16 326:2,20 333:16 338:25 324:12 338:13 255:10,21 208:1 212:4,17 208:1 212:4,17 208:1 212:4,17 212:4,17 213:2,2,9 215:23 216:11,16 216:19 216:11,16 216:19 217:17,19 217:17,19 217:17,19 217:17,19 217:17,19 217:17,19 217:17,19 217:17,19 217:17,19 217:17,19 217:17,19 217:17,19 217:17,19 217:17,19 217:17,19 217:17,19 217:17,19 217:17,19 217:17,10 217:	185:21 211:5	327 : 25		isolated	
225:55 358:20 investigated 325:6,17 326:2,20 337:16 investiga 338:19 338:21 338:22 337:4 338:19 338:12 338:19 338:21 338:21 338:21 338:21 338:21 338:21 338:22 338:25 338:	218:6,13			183:3	
282:10 intent 325:6,17 325:0,17 189:18 325:0,17 189:14 326:2,20 331:8 339:2 197:6 237:8 197:18,25 197:18,25 197:18,25 197:18,25 199:7,12,12 199:7,12,12 199:7,12,12 199:7,12,12 199:7,12,12 199:7,12,12 199:7,12,12 199:7,12,12 120:11,12 199:7,12,12 120:11,12 199:7,12,12 120:12 120:12 120:11,12 120:11,12 120:11,12 120:11,12 120:11 120:11 120:11 120:11 120:12 120:12 120:12 120:12 120:13 120:12 120:1	221:11,18		337:6 338:2	issuance	
291:8,10 303:25 306:10 307:13 307:13 intention 321:22 183:9 338:25 337:3 inter 338:13 338:25 224:12 interacted informati 307:4 Intercessors informed 172:21 182:15 interest 338:19 329:14,19 329:14,19 329:14 329:14 329:14 329:14 329:14 329:14 329:14 329:14 328:20 337:4 interest 338:19 307:4 172:21 338:19 302:1 182:15 interest 338:19 302:1 172:21 338:19 302:1 172:11 179:16 246:13 299:14 179:16 246:13 359:14,19 355:20 interested initiative 135:24 initiative 135:24 initerested initiative 135:24 initerested iniside 204:7 334:2 295:6 366:24 install interesting 222:20 243:17,25 instance 226:18 303:5 instance 303:19 183:23 119:5:10,17 199:7:6 237:8 255:10,21 255:10,21 208:1 255:10,21 208:1 208:1 208:1 208:1 211:25 212:4,17 213:2,2,9 213:2,2,9 216:11,16 216:19 217:8,11,12 217:7,19 218:10 217:8,11,12 217:7,19 218:10 219:27,7,10 219:20 219:27,7,10 219:20 220:24 220:24 220:24 220:24 220:24 221:2,7,11 225:20 install interesting 222:20 243:17,25 instance 226:18 303:5 interfaces 303:19 183:23 119:20 instituted 357:5 199:24 194:10 Intermet 257:1 332:8 167:1,2,5,8 239:1,10,13 239:19 184:18 317:20 239:1,10,13 239:19 184:18 317:20 239:1,10,13 239:19 184:18 317:20 239:1,10,13 239:19 184:23 117:25 239:1,10,13 239:19 169:5,15 169:5,15 255:23 260:20,24 261:2 299:12 299:12 299:12	225:5		investigated	318:2	
303:25 327:25 331:8 339:2 197:6 237:8 199:7,12,12 190:7,12,12 190:7,12,12 190:7,12,12 190:7,12,12 190:7,12,12 190:7,12,12 190:7,12,12 190:7,12,12 190:7,12,12 190:7,12,12 190:7,12,12 190:7,12,12 190:7,12,12 190:7,12,12 190:7,12,12 190:7,12,12 190:7,12,12 190:7,12,12 190:17 208:1 337:3 338:25 224:12 investiga 267:19,21 213:2,2,9 215:23 274:5 272:15 213:2,2,9 215:23 274:5 272:15 213:2,2,9 215:23 274:5 272:15 213:2,2,9 215:23 274:5 272:15 213:2,2,9 215:23 274:5 272:15 213:2,2,9 215:23 274:5 272:15 213:2,2,9 215:23 274:5 272:15 213:2,2,9 215:23 274:5 272:15 213:2,2,9 215:23 274:5 272:15 213:2,2,9 215:23 274:5 272:15 213:2,2,9 215:23 274:5 272:15 213:2,2,9 215:23 274:5 272:15 213:2,2,9 215:23 274:5 272:15 213:2,2,9 215:23 274:5 273:14,10	282:10	intent	325:6,17	issue	
303:25 306:10 307:13 307:13 intention 321:22 337:3 inter 338:25 348:1 interacted informati 307:4 interest informed 172:21 initial 209:14 179:16 246:13 329:14,19 335:20 initiative initiative initiative initiative initiative initiative initiative inside 204:7 334:2 install interestd inside 204:7 334:2 install interestd inside 204:7 334:2 install interesting 282:20 instances 303:19 305:10			326:2,20	195:10,17	
306:10 327:16 investiga 253:12 1999:7,12,12 307:13 intention 245:5 323:16 254:4,21 299:17 337:3 inter 338:13 258:2,16 21:25 338:25 224:12 investiga 267:19,21 21:24,17 348:1 interacted 278:18 28:20 274:5 212:4,17 307:4 Intercessors 332:9 333:8 224:12 215:23 informed 172:21 333:18,22 245:14 216:11,16 182:15 interest 338:19 302:1 217:17,19 179:16 246:13 329:14,19 315:8 219:2,7,10 281:21 257:22 333:19 355:22 219:12,25 1soid 204:7 334:2 365:10 35:11 issued 219:2,7,10 1sside 204:7 334:2 366:24 361:2,6 205:20 223:13 282:20 243:17,25 involve 226:18 303:19 183:23 224:23 196:2 instances 303:19 183:23 317:20 227:10,20 194:10 intermet 257:1 332:8 167:1,2,5,8 239:1,10,13 199:26 instituted 278:11 involves <th></th> <th></th> <th>331:8 339:2</th> <th></th> <th></th>			331:8 339:2		
307:13 intention 245:5 323:16 254:4,21 299:17 321:22 183:9 332:15 255:10,21 208:1 337:3 inter 338:13 258:2,16 211:25 348:1 interacted 217:5 326:22 272:15 213:2,2,9 informati 278:18 328:20 274:5 215:23 307:4 Intercessors 332:9 333:8 282:21 216:11,16 informed 172:21 333:18,22 285:14 216:19 182:15 interest 338:19 302:1 217:6,11,12 179:16 246:13 329:14,19 315:8 218:10 281:21 257:22 333:19 355:22 219:2,7,10 353:24 investing 188:13 220:2,7,10 183:20 interested invite 183:22 220:5,18,22 295:6 366:24 365:1,2 232:8 242:8 224:23 208:20 243:17,25 involve 267:17 227:9,13,21 219:10 <th></th> <th></th> <th>investiga</th> <th></th> <th></th>			investiga		
321:22 183:9 332:15 255:10,21 208:1 337:3 inter 338:13 258:2,16 211:25 348:1 interacted 278:18 267:19,21 213:2,2,9 307:4 Intercessors 328:20 274:5 216:11,16 informed 172:21 333:18,22 285:14 216:11,16 initial 209:14 investiga 304:13 217:8,11,12 initial 209:14 investiga 304:13 217:17,19 179:16 246:13 329:14,19 315:8 218:10 281:21 257:22 333:19 355:22 219:2,7,10 350:4 305:10 335:11 issued 219:12,25 initiative 353:24 investing 188:13 220:24 183:20 interested invite 183:22 220:5,18,22 295:6 366:24 invite 183:22 226:17 220:24 install interesting 365:1,2 232:8 242:8 226:3 226:3 227:9,13,21 282:20 243:17,25 involve 267:17 223:3 226:3 227:9,13,21 295:6 instance 303:19 183:23 167:1,2,5,8 237:10					
337:3 inter 338:13 258:2,16 212:25 348:1 interacted 217:5 326:22 272:15 212:4,17 307:4 Intercessors 328:20 274:5 215:23 307:4 Intercessors 332:9 333:8 282:21 216:19 182:15 interest 338:19 302:1 217:17,19 182:15 interest 329:14,19 304:13 217:17,19 281:21 246:13 329:14,19 315:8 219:2,7,10 281:21 257:22 333:19 355:22 219:12,25 350:4 305:10 335:11 issued 219:2,7,10 183:20 interested investing 188:13 220:5,18,22 295:6 366:24 361:2,6 205:20 223:13 282:20 install interfaces involve 267:17 228:3,4 296:2 Interim 183:23 1 228:3,4 194:10 10 183:23 1 233:1,20			332 : 15	·	
348:1 interacted 217:15 326:22 272:15 213:2,2,9 307:4 Intercessors 328:20 274:5 215:23 informed 172:21 332:9 333:8 282:21 216:11,16 informed 172:21 333:18,22 285:14 216:19 initial 209:14 investiga 302:1 217:8,11,12 281:21 257:22 333:19 355:22 219:2,7,10 281:21 257:22 333:19 355:22 219:2,7,10 350:4 305:10 335:11 issued 219:12,25 initiative 353:24 investing 188:13 20:20:5,18,22 183:20 interested 36:2,6 205:20 221:2,7,11 install interesting 365:1,2 23:8 24:8 227:9,13,21 instance 275:25 involve 267:17 227:9,13,21 instances 303:19 183:23 1 196:2 Interim 184:18 317:20 237:10,20 <t< th=""><th></th><th></th><th>338:13</th><th></th><th></th></t<>			338:13		
informati 278:18 328:20 274:5 215:23 307:4 Intercessors 332:9 333:8 282:21 216:11,16 informed 172:21 333:18,22 285:14 216:19 initial 209:14 investiga 304:13 217:17,19 initial 246:13 329:14,19 315:8 219:2,7,10 281:21 257:22 333:19 355:22 219:2,7,10 350:4 305:10 335:11 issued 219:12,25 initiative 353:24 investing 188:13 220:5,18,22 183:20 interested 203:1 issues 220:24 inside 204:7 334:2 invite 183:22 223:13 282:20 366:24 365:1,2 232:8 242:8 226:3 227:8 282:20 243:17,25 involve 267:17 226:3 227:8 282:20 178:22 239:7 issuing 228:3,4 196:2 Interim 184:18 317:20 237:10,20 194:10 Interim 257:1 332:8 167:1,2,5,8 239:1,10,13				267:19,21	
Titercessors 328:20 274:35 282:21 216:11,16 216:19 217:8,11,12 217:17,19 217:17,19 217:17,19 218:21 257:22 333:19 355:22 219:2,7,10 219:12,25 219:2,7,10 219:12,25 219:2,7,10 219:12,25 219:2,7,10 219:12,25 219:12,25 219:2,7,10 219:12,25 220:5,18,22 2			217:5 326:22	272 : 15	
informed 172:21 332:9 333:8 285:14 216:19 182:15 interest 338:19 302:1 217:8,11,12 initial 209:14 investiga 304:13 217:17,19 179:16 246:13 329:14,19 315:8 219:2,7,10 281:21 257:22 333:19 355:22 219:2,7,10 350:4 305:10 335:11 issued 219:2,7,10 183:20 interested 203:1 issues 20:5,18,22 inside 204:7 334:2 invite 183:22 220:24 295:6 366:24 361:2,6 205:20 224:23 install interesting 365:1,2 232:8 242:8 226:3 227:8 282:20 243:17,25 involve 267:17 227:9,13,21 instance 275:25 178:22 239:7 issuing 227:9,13,21 196:2 Interim 184:18 317:20 237:10,20 194:10 184:18 317:20 237:10,20 194:10<			328:20	274:5	
182:15 interest 338:19 302:1 217:8,11,12 219:16 246:13 329:14,19 315:8 218:10 219:12,7,10 219:12,25 257:22 333:19 355:22 219:12,25 219:12,25 220:5,18,22 220:5,1		Intercessors	332:9 333:8	282 : 21	•
initial 209:14 investiga 304:13 217:17,19 179:16 246:13 329:14,19 315:8 218:10 281:21 257:22 333:19 355:22 219:2,7,10 350:4 305:10 335:11 issued 219:2,7,10 initiative 353:24 investing 188:13 220:5,18,22 183:20 interested 203:1 issues 220:5,18,22 295:6 366:24 invite 183:22 223:13 295:6 366:24 interesting 365:1,2 205:20 224:23 install interesting 365:1,2 232:8 242:8 226:3 227:8 282:20 243:17,25 involve 267:17 227:9,13,21 instance 275:25 178:22 239:7 issuing 227:9,13,21 226:18 303:5 interfaces 183:23 item 234:2 196:2 Interim 184:18 317:20 237:10,20 194:10 Internet 257:1 332:8 167:1,2,5,8			•	285:14	
179:16				302:1	
281:21 257:22 333:19 355:22 219:2,7,10 350:4 305:10 335:11 issued 219:12,25 initiative 353:24 investing 188:13 220:5,18,22 183:20 interested invite 183:22 220:24 inside 204:7 334:2 361:2,6 205:20 223:13 295:6 366:24 365:1,2 232:8 242:8 226:3 227:8 282:20 243:17,25 involve 267:17 227:9,13,21 instance 275:25 178:22 239:7 issuing 228:3,4 295:6 interfaces involved 309:6 232:9,12,19 instances 303:19 183:23 item 234:2 196:2 Interim 184:18 317:20 237:10,20 194:10 Internet 257:1 332:8 167:1,2,5,8 239:1,10,13 insufficient 203:5 244:5 39:3 167:9,17 239:19 252:6 278:11 involves 168:16,19 246:1,4,5 insult 364:3 333:15 169:5,15 251:9 255:2 insuring 229:19 342:3 171:25 260:20,24 299:12 interruption in-person 172:16					
257:22 333:19 335:12 220:5,18,22 230:5,28 230:5,18,22 230:5,18,22 230:5,18,22 230:5,18,22 230:			329:14,19		
initiative 353:10 335:11 188:13 220:5,18,22 initiative 353:24 investing 188:13 220:24 inside 204:7 334:2 invite 183:22 221:2,7,11 295:6 366:24 invite 183:22 223:13 282:20 243:17,25 involve 267:17 227:9,13,21 instance 275:25 involve 267:17 227:9,13,21 instances 303:19 178:22 239:7 issuing 228:3,4 232:9,12,19 instances 303:19 183:23 item 232:9,12,19 ipstituted 357:5 199:24 I.D 238:4,22 194:10 Internet 257:1 332:8 167:1,2,5,8 239:1,10,13 insufficient 203:5 244:5 339:3 167:9,17 239:19 252:6 278:11 involves 168:16,19 246:1,4,5 insult 364:3 333:15 169:5,15 251:9 255:2 197:15 interrupt in-depth 171:25 </th <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>					
183:20 interested 203:1 issues 220:24 inside 204:7 334:2 invite 183:22 223:13 295:6 366:24 361:2,6 205:20 224:23 install interesting 365:1,2 232:8 242:8 226:3 227:8 282:20 243:17,25 involve 267:17 227:9,13,21 instance 275:25 178:22 239:7 issuing 228:3,4 226:18 303:5 interfaces involved 309:6 232:9,12,19 instances 303:19 183:23 item 234:2 196:2 Interim 184:18 317:20 237:10,20 instituted 357:5 199:24 I.D 238:4,22 194:10 Internet 257:1 332:8 167:1,2,5,8 239:1,10,13 insufficient 203:5 244:5 339:3 167:9,17 239:19 252:6 278:11 involves 168:16,19 246:1,4,5 insult 364:3 333:15 169:5,15 251:9 255:2 197:15 interrupt in-depth 171:25 260:20,24					
inside 204:7 334:2 invite 183:22 223:13 295:6 366:24 361:2,6 205:20 224:23 install interesting 365:1,2 232:8 242:8 226:3 227:8 282:20 243:17,25 involve 267:17 227:9,13,21 instance 275:25 178:22 239:7 issuing 228:3,4 226:18 303:5 interfaces involved 309:6 232:9,12,19 instances 303:19 183:23 item 234:2 196:2 Interim 184:18 317:20 237:10,20 instituted 357:5 199:24 I.D 238:4,22 194:10 Internet 257:1 332:8 167:1,2,5,8 239:1,10,13 insufficient 203:5 244:5 339:3 167:9,17 239:19 252:6 278:11 involves 168:16,19 246:1,4,5 insult 364:3 333:15 169:5,15 251:9 255:2 insuring 229:19 342:3 171:25 260:20,24 299:12 interruption in-person 172:16,19 2			_		
295:6 install 282:20 instance 275:25 instances 303:19 196:2 instituted 194:10 insufficient 252:6 insult 252:6 insult 252:6 insult 277:15 interrupt 299:12 interruption interesting 361:2,6 365:1,2 205:20 232:8 242:8 267:17 232:8 242:8 267:17 232:8 242:8 266:3 227:8 267:17 267:17 267:17 279:13,21 227:9,13,21 228:3,4 23:19 24:23 226:3 227:8 227:8 23:11 227:9,13,21 227:9,13,21 227:9,13,21 227:9,13,21 227:9,13,21 227:9,13,21 228:3,4 23:19 23:19 23:19 23:19 23:19 23:19 24:23 226:3 227:8 227:9,13,21 227:9,13,21 228:3,4 23:19 23:19 23:19 24:23 226:13 227:8 227:8 227:9,13,21 228:3,4 23:19 23:19 23:19 24:23 226:13 226:3 226:3 227:8 227:8 227:10 227:9,13,21 228:3,4 227:9,13 227:9,13,21 228:3,4 227:9,13,21 228:3,4 226:12 23:13 24:23 226:13 226:12 23:13 24:23 226:13 226:3 226:3 226:3 227:8 227:9,13 226:12 23:10 226:3 226:3 226:3 226:3 226:3 226:3 226:3 226:3 226:3 226:3 226:3 226:3 226:3 226:3 227:8 227:9,13 227:9,13 228:3,4 226:18 23:19 24:28 23:19 24:28 23:19 24:28 23:19 24:28 23:19 24:28 23:19 24:28 23:19 24:28 23:19 24:28 23:19 24:28 23:19 24:28 23:19 24:28 23:19 24:28 23:19 24:28 23:19 24:29 23:19 24:29 23:19 2					
295:6 366:24 361:2,6 205:20 224:23 install interesting 365:1,2 232:8 242:8 226:3 227:8 282:20 243:17,25 involve 267:17 227:9,13,21 instance 275:25 178:22 239:7 issuing 228:3,4 226:18 303:5 interfaces involved 309:6 232:9,12,19 instances 303:19 183:23 item 234:2 196:2 Interim 184:18 317:20 237:10,20 instituted 357:5 199:24 I.D 238:4,22 194:10 Internet 257:1 332:8 167:1,2,5,8 239:1,10,13 insufficient 203:5 244:5 339:3 167:9,17 239:19 252:6 278:11 involves 168:16,19 246:1,4,5 insult 364:3 333:15 169:5,15 251:9 255:2 197:15 interrupt in-depth 171:9,10,20 255:23 insuring 229:19 342:3 171:25 260:20,24 299:12 interruption in-person 172:16,19					
install 282:20interesting 243:17,25365:1,2 involve232:8 242:8 267:17226:3 227:8 227:9,13,21instance275:25178:22 239:7issuing228:3,4 232:9,12,19instancesinterfacesinvolved309:6232:9,12,19instances303:19183:23item234:2196:2Interim184:18317:20237:10,20instituted357:5199:24I.D238:4,22194:10Internet257:1 332:8167:1,2,5,8239:1,10,13insufficient203:5 244:5339:3167:9,17239:19252:6278:11involves168:16,19246:1,4,5insult364:3333:15169:5,15251:9 255:2197:15interruptin-depth171:9,10,20255:23insuring229:19342:3171:25260:20,24299:12interruptionin-person172:16,19261:2					
282:20 243:17,25 involve 267:17 227:9,13,21 instance 275:25 178:22 239:7 issuing 228:3,4 226:18 303:5 interfaces 303:19 183:23 1tem 234:2 196:2 Interim 184:18 317:20 237:10,20 instituted 357:5 199:24 I.D 238:4,22 194:10 Internet 257:1 332:8 167:1,2,5,8 239:1,10,13 insufficient 203:5 244:5 339:3 167:9,17 239:19 252:6 278:11 involves 168:16,19 246:1,4,5 insult 364:3 333:15 169:5,15 251:9 255:2 197:15 interrupt in-depth 171:9,10,20 255:23 insuring 229:19 342:3 171:25 260:20,24 299:12 interruption in-person 172:16,19 261:2					
instance 275:25 178:22 239:7 issuing 228:3,4 226:18 303:5 interfaces 303:19 183:23 item 234:2 196:2 Interim 184:18 317:20 237:10,20 instituted 357:5 199:24 I.D 238:4,22 194:10 Internet 257:1 332:8 167:1,2,5,8 239:1,10,13 insufficient 203:5 244:5 339:3 167:9,17 239:19 252:6 278:11 involves 168:16,19 246:1,4,5 insult 364:3 333:15 169:5,15 251:9 255:2 197:15 interrupt in-depth 171:9,10,20 255:23 insuring 229:19 342:3 171:25 260:20,24 299:12 interruption in-person 172:16,19 261:2					
226:18 303:5 interfaces involved 309:6 232:9,12,19 instances 303:19 183:23 item 234:2 196:2 Interim 184:18 317:20 237:10,20 instituted 357:5 199:24 I.D 238:4,22 194:10 Internet 257:1 332:8 167:1,2,5,8 239:1,10,13 insufficient 203:5 244:5 339:3 167:9,17 239:19 252:6 278:11 involves 168:16,19 246:1,4,5 insult 364:3 333:15 169:5,15 251:9 255:2 197:15 interrupt in-depth 171:9,10,20 255:23 insuring 229:19 342:3 171:25 260:20,24 299:12 interruption in-person 172:16,19 261:2				_	
1nstances 303:19 183:23 1tem 234:2 196:2 1nterim 184:18 317:20 237:10,20 instituted 357:5 199:24 I.D 238:4,22 194:10 203:5 244:5 257:1 332:8 167:1,2,5,8 239:1,10,13 insufficient 203:5 244:5 339:3 167:9,17 239:19 252:6 278:11 involves 168:16,19 246:1,4,5 insult 364:3 333:15 169:5,15 251:9 255:2 197:15 interrupt in-depth 171:9,10,20 255:23 insuring 229:19 342:3 171:25 260:20,24 299:12 interruption in-person 172:16,19 261:2					
instituted 357:5 199:24 I.D 238:4,22 194:10 257:1 332:8 167:1,2,5,8 239:1,10,13 insufficient 203:5 244:5 339:3 167:9,17 239:19 252:6 278:11 involves 168:16,19 246:1,4,5 insult 364:3 333:15 169:5,15 251:9 255:2 197:15 interrupt in-depth 171:9,10,20 255:23 insuring 229:19 342:3 171:25 260:20,24 299:12 interruption in-person 172:16,19 261:2					
instituted 357:5 199:24 I.D 238:4,22 194:10 Internet 257:1 332:8 167:1,2,5,8 239:1,10,13 insufficient 203:5 244:5 339:3 167:9,17 239:19 252:6 278:11 involves 168:16,19 246:1,4,5 insult 364:3 333:15 169:5,15 251:9 255:2 197:15 interrupt in-depth 171:9,10,20 255:23 insuring 229:19 342:3 171:25 260:20,24 299:12 interruption in-person 172:16,19 261:2					237 : 10 , 20
insufficient 203:5 244:5 339:3 167:9,17 239:19 252:6 278:11 involves 168:16,19 246:1,4,5 insult 364:3 333:15 169:5,15 251:9 255:2 197:15 interrupt in-depth 171:9,10,20 255:23 insuring 229:19 342:3 171:25 260:20,24 299:12 interruption 172:16,19 261:2					238:4,22
252:6 278:11 involves 168:16,19 246:1,4,5 insult 364:3 333:15 169:5,15 251:9 255:2 197:15 interrupt in-depth 171:9,10,20 255:23 insuring 229:19 342:3 171:25 260:20,24 299:12 interruption in-person 172:16,19 261:2					239:1,10,13
insult 364:3 333:15 169:5,15 251:9 255:2 197:15 interrupt in-depth 171:9,10,20 255:23 insuring 229:19 342:3 171:25 260:20,24 299:12 interruption in-person 172:16,19 261:2					
197:15 interrupt in-depth 171:9,10,20 255:23 insuring 229:19 342:3 171:25 260:20,24 299:12 interruption in-person 172:16,19 261:2					
insuring 229:19 342:3 171:25 260:20,24 299:12 interruption in-person 172:16,19 261:2					
299:12 interruption in-person 172:16,19 261:2		_	_		
173.0					
integrate 341:10 286:12 1/3:2 265:25		_	_	•	
	integrate	341:10	286:12	1/3:2	265:25
		<u> </u>	1	1	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·



Toll Free: 800.211.DEPO Facsimile: 512.328.8139